



Chapter VI

CHAPTER VI

REGULARITY PRESERVERS FOR MATRICES OVER SEMIRINGS

Definition: 6.1

A mapping $T : M_{m,n}(S) \rightarrow M_{m,n}(S)$ is called a **linear operator** if $T(\alpha A + \beta B) = \alpha T(A) + \beta T(B)$ for all $A, B \in M_{m,n}(S)$ and for all $\alpha, \beta \in S$.

Definition: 6.2

Let T be a linear operator $M_{m,n}(S)$. We say that

- (*) T **preserves regularity** (or T **preserves $R(S)$**) if $T(A) \in R(S)$ whenever $A \in R(S)$;
- (*) T **strongly preserves regularity** (or T **strongly preserves $R(S)$**) when $T(A) \in R(S)$ if and only if $A \in R(S)$ for all $A \in M_{m,n}(S)$.
- (*) T is **singular** if $T(X) = 0$ for some nonzero X ; otherwise T is **nonsingular**.

Example: 6.3

Let A be any nonzero regular matrix in $M_{m,n}(S)$, where $S = \mathbb{B}$ or \mathbb{C} , where \mathbb{B} is a Boolean algebra $\{0, 1\}$ and \mathbb{C} is a chain semiring. Define a linear operator T on $M_{m,n}(S)$ by

$$T(X) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n x_{i,j} \right) A$$

for all $X \in M_{m,n}(S)$. Then we can easily show that T is a nonsingular linear operator that preserves regularity. But T does not preserve nonregular matrices. Hence T does not strongly preserve regularity.

Lemma: 6.4

Let $\min\{m, n\} \leq 3$. If T strongly preserves regularity on $M_{m,n}(S)$, then T is nonsingular.

Proof:

If $T(X) = 0$ for some nonzero $X \in M_{m,n}(S)$, then $T(E) = 0$ for all cells $E \subseteq X$. For each E , there is a matrix A such that $A \in \mathcal{R}(S)$ and $E+A \notin \mathcal{R}(S)$ by Theorem 2.11. Nevertheless, $T(E+A) = T(A)$, a contradiction to the fact that T strongly preserves regularity. Hence $T(X) \neq 0$ for all nonzero X . Therefore T is nonsingular.

Definition: 6.5

Let A and B be matrices in $M_{m,n}(S)$. Then the matrix $A \circ B$ denotes the **Hadamard product** (or schur product). That is the $(i, j)^{\text{th}}$ entry of $A \circ B$ is $a_{i,j}b_{i,j}$.

Lemma: 6.6

Let $\min\{m, n\} \geq 3$ and $B \in M_{m,n}(S)$. Suppose that T is a linear operator on $M_{m,n}(S)$ defined by $T(X) = X \circ B$ for all $X \in M_{m,n}(S)$. If T strongly preserves regularity, then all entries of B are nonzero and regular. In particular if $\text{fr}(B) = 1$, then there are diagonal matrices D and E such that $T(X) = DXE$ for all $X \in M_{m,n}(S)$.

Definition: 6.7

For $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ with $\text{fr}(A) = k$, A is said to be **space decomposable** if there are matrices $B \in M_{m,k}(\mathbb{B})$ and $C \in M_{k,n}(\mathbb{B})$ such that $A = BC$, $C(A) = C(B)$ and $R(A) = R(B)$.

Theorem: 6.8

Let A be a matrix in $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$. Then A is regular if and only if A is space decomposable.

Lemma: 6.9

Let A is a matrix in $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ with $\text{fr}(A) \leq 2$, then A is regular.

Lemma: 6.10

Let $\min\{m, n\} \leq 2$. If T is an operator (that need not be linear) on $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$, then T strongly preserves regularity.

Definition: 6.11

If T is a linear operator on $M_{m,n}(S)$, let T^* , its pattern be the linear operator on $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ defined by $T^*(E_{i,j}) = [T(E_{i,j})]^*$ for all cells $E_{i,j}$.

Note: 6.12

Since S is a semiring that is commutative, antinegative and free of zero-divisors, we have $T^*(A) = [T(A)]^*$ for all $A \in M_{m,n}(S)$.

Theorem: 6.13

Let $A \in M_{m,n}(S)$ be a sum of k cells with $\text{fr}(A) = k$, where $\min\{m, n\} \geq 3$ and $2 \leq k \leq \min\{m, n\}$. Then $JVA \in R(S)^*$ if and only if $k = 2$. In particular, $JVA \notin R(S)$ for $k \geq 3$.

Proof:

Without loss of generality, we assume that $\min\{m, n\} = m \geq 3$ and

$$A = \sum_{t=1}^k E_{t,t}. \text{ If } k = 2, \text{ consider a matrix } X = \begin{bmatrix} X' & J_{2,n-2} \\ J_{m-2,2} & 2J_{m-2,n-2} \end{bmatrix} \in M_{m,n}(S),$$

where $X' = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Then $X(G_{1,2} + G_{2,1})X = X$, where $G_{i,j}$ are cells in $M_{m,n}(S)$;

that is, $X \in \mathcal{R}(S)$. Hence $J \setminus A (=X^*) \in \mathcal{R}(S)^*$.

Let $k \geq 3$. Now we will show that $Y = J \setminus A \notin \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{B})$. If not, there is a nonzero $B \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ such that $Y = YBY$. Then the $(t, t)^{\text{th}}$ entry of YBY becomes

$$\sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in J} b_{i,j} \quad (*)$$

for all $t = 1, \dots, k$, where $I = \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{t\}$ and $J = \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus \{t\}$. From $y_{1,1} = 0$ and (*) we have $b_{i,j} = 0$ for all $i = 2, \dots, n$; $j = 2, \dots, m$. (*)

consider the 1st row and the 1st column of B . It follows from $y_{2,2} = 0$ and (*) that $b_{i,1} = 0 = b_{1,j}$ for all $i = 1, 3, 4, \dots, n$; $j = 1, 3, 4, \dots, m$. (*)

Also, from $y_{3,3} = 0$, we obtain $b_{1,2} = b_{2,1} = 0$, and hence $B = 0$ by (*) and (*₂), a contradiction. Thus $J \setminus A \notin \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{B})$, equivalently $Z \notin \mathcal{R}(S)$ for all $Z \in M_{m,n}(S)$ with $Z^* = J \setminus A$. Hence $J \setminus A \notin \mathcal{R}(S)^*$.

Note: 6.14

As shown in Example 6.3, if $\min\{m, n\} \geq 3$, there is a linear operator on $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ such that T preserves regularity, while T does not strongly preserve regularity.

Theorem: 6.15

Assume that $\min\{m, n\} = m \geq 3$ and T is a linear operator on $M_{m,n}(S)$ that strongly preserves $\mathcal{R}(S)$. Then T^* strongly preserves $\mathcal{R}(S)^*$.

Let $k_{\max} = \max\{w(N) : N \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{B}) \text{ and } N \notin \mathcal{R}(S)^*\}$ and $\mathcal{N} = \{N \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{B}) : N \notin \mathcal{R}(S)^* \text{ with } w(N) = k_{\max}\}$.

Since $J \in \mathcal{R}(S)$, $k_{\max} < mn$, and so by Theorem 6.13, $mn - 3 \leq k_{\max} \leq mn - 1$.

Theorem: 6.16

For distinct cells, E and F , $T^*(E) \not\subseteq T^*(F)$. In particular if $w(T^*(E)) = w(T^*(F)) = 1$, then $T^*(E) \neq T^*(F)$.

Proof:

Suppose $T^*(E) \subseteq T^*(F)$ for some distinct cells E and F . Then there are cells E_1 and E_2 different from F such that $\text{fr}(E+E_1+E_2) = 3$. Since $F \subseteq J \setminus (E+E_1+E_2)$, we have $T^*(E) \subseteq T^*(F) \subseteq T^*(J \setminus (E+E_1+E_2))$.

So that $T^*(J \setminus (E_1+E_2)) = T^*(E) + T^*(J \setminus (E+E_1+E_2)) = T^*(J \setminus (E+E_1+E_2))$

But this is impossible as $J \setminus (E+E_1+E_2) \notin \mathcal{R}(S)^*$ while $J \setminus (E_1+E_2) \in \mathcal{R}(S)^*$ by Theorem 6.13.

Lemma: 6.17

If $k_{\max} = mn - 1$, then $T^*(\mathcal{N}) \subseteq \mathcal{N}$.

Proof:

If $k_{\max} = mn - 1$, then $\mathcal{N} = \{J \setminus E \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{B}) : E \text{ is a cell}\}$. It suffices to show that $w(T^*(J \setminus E)) = mn - 1$ for all cells E . If $w(T^*(J \setminus E)) = mn$ for some cell E , then $T^*(J \setminus E) = J \in \mathcal{R}(S)^*$ which contradicts $J \setminus E \notin \mathcal{R}(S)^*$. Next suppose $w(T^*(J \setminus E)) < mn - 1$ for some cell E . Then there is a matrix C with $C \subseteq J \setminus E$ and $w(C) < mn - 1$ such that $T^*(C) = T^*(J \setminus E)$. Take a cell F different from E such that $F \not\subseteq C$. It follows from $(J \setminus E) + (J \setminus (C+F)) = J$ that

$$\begin{aligned} T^*(J) &= T^*(J \setminus E) + T^*(J \setminus (C+F)) = T^*(C) + T^*(J \setminus (C+F)) \\ &= T^*(J \setminus F) \end{aligned}$$

But this is impossible as $J \setminus F \notin \mathcal{R}(S)^*$ while $J \in \mathcal{R}(S)^*$.

Lemma: 6.18

If $m = n = 3$ and $k_{\max} = 7$, then $T^*(\mathcal{N}) \subseteq \mathcal{N}$.

Proof:

In this case, $\mathcal{N} = \{N \in M_3(\mathbb{B}) : N \notin \mathcal{R}(S)^* \text{ and } w(N) = 7\}$.

Let $N \in \mathcal{N}$ be arbitrary. It suffices to show $w(T^*(N)) = 7$. It follows from $k_{\max} = 7$

that $w(T^*(N)) \leq 7$. Suppose $w(T^*(N)) \leq 6$. Write $N = \sum_{i=1}^7 E_i$ and $J = \sum_{i=1}^9 E_i$ for cells

E_1, \dots, E_9 . By Lemma 6.4 $w(T^*(E_i)) \leq 1$ for all i . $w(T^*(E_i)) = 1$ for all

$i = 1, \dots, 7$, then by Theorem 6.16, $T^*(E_1), \dots, T^*(E_7)$ are distinct cells.

But then $7 = w(T^*(E_1) + \dots + T^*(E_7)) = w(T^*(E_1) + \dots + T^*(E_7)) =$

$w(T^*(N)) \leq 6$, a contradiction. Hence one of $T^*(E_i)$, say $T^*(E_1)$, has at least two

nonzero entries. Since $w(T^*(N)) \leq 6$, we can find four cells in $\{E_2, \dots, E_7\}$,

say E_2, \dots, E_7 such that $T^*(E_1 + \dots + E_n) = T^*(N)$.

Notice that by Theorem 6.13, $\text{fr}(J \setminus N) = 1$. By Theorem 2.4, we have

$X \in \mathcal{N}$ for all $X \in M_3(\mathbb{B})$ with $w(X) = 7$ and $\text{fr}(J \setminus X) = 1$. If $\text{fr}(E_6 + E_7) = 1$, then

$$T^*(J) = T^*(N) + T^*(E_8 + E_9) = T^*(E_1 + \dots + E_n) + T^*(E_8 + E_9) = T^*(J \setminus (E_6 + E_7)),$$

which is impossible as $J \setminus (E_6 + E_7) \notin \mathcal{R}(S)^*$ while $J \in \mathcal{R}(S)^*$. Thus $\text{fr}(E_6 + E_7) = 2$.

Since $m = n = 3$, there is a cell in $\{E_6 + E_7\}$, say E_6 , and a cell in $\{E_8 + E_9\}$, say E_8

such that $\text{fr}(E_6 + E_8) = 1$. Since $T^*(N) = T^*(E_1 + \dots + E_5) \subseteq T^*(E_1 + \dots + E_5 +$

$E_7) \subseteq T^*(N)$, we have $T^*(N) = T^*(E_1 + \dots + E_5 + E_7)$. But then

$$\begin{aligned} T^*(J \setminus E_8) &= T^*(N) + T^*(E_9) = T^*(E_1 + \dots + E_5 + E_7) + T^*(E_9) \\ &= T^*(J \setminus (E_6 + E_8)), \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible because $J \setminus E_8 \in \mathcal{R}(S)^*$ while $J \setminus (E_6 + E_8) \notin \mathcal{R}(S)^*$. Thus,

$w(T^*(N)) = 7$.

Lemma: 6.19

If $m = n = 3$ and $k_{\max} = 6$, then $T^*(\mathcal{N}) \subseteq \mathcal{N}$.

Proof:

In this case, $\mathcal{N} = \{N \in M_3(\mathbb{B}) : N \notin \mathcal{R}(S)^* \text{ and } w(N) = 6\}$. Let $N \in \mathcal{N}$ be arbitrary. It suffices to show $w(T^*(N)) = 6$. It follows from $k_{\max} = 6$ that $w(T^*(N)) \leq 6$. Suppose $w(T^*(N)) \leq 5$. Write $N = \sum_{i=1}^6 E_i$ and $J = \sum_{i=1}^9 E_i$ for cells E_1, \dots, E_9 . By Lemma 6.4, $w(T^*(E_i)) \geq 1$ for all i . We will claim that there are distinct cells E_i, E_j, E_k in $\{E_1, \dots, E_6\}$ such that

$$T^*(E_i) + T^*(E_j) + T^*(E_k) = T^*(E_i + E_j + E_k) = T^*(N).$$

Clearly the claim holds if there is a cell E_i in $\{E_1, \dots, E_6\}$ such that $w(T^*(E_i)) \geq 3$. Suppose $w(T^*(E_i)) \leq 2$ for $i = 1, \dots, 6$. Let $F_i = T^*(E_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, 6$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$w(F_1) = \dots = w(F_r) = 2 \text{ and } w(F_{r+1}) = \dots = w(F_6) = 1 \text{ for some } r.$$

If $r = 0$ or $r = 1$, then by Theorem 6.16, we see that F_1, \dots, F_6 are all disjoint and hence

$$w(T^*(N)) = w(F_1 + \dots + F_6) = w(F_1) + \dots + w(F_6) \geq 6,$$

which is impossible. Thus $r \geq 2$. Now suppose $w(F_i + F_j) \leq 3$ for all $1 < i < j \leq r$. Then there is a cell G such that $G \subseteq F_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, r$. It follows from Theorem 6.16 that the six cells $F_1|G, \dots, F_r|G, F_{r+1}, \dots, F_6$ are distinct and so

$$w(T^*(N)) = w(F_1 + \dots + F_6) \geq w(F_1|G) + \dots + w(F_r|G) + w(F_{r+1}) + \dots + w(F_6) = 6$$

which is impossible. Thus there are two cells in $\{F_1, \dots, F_r\}$, say F_1 and F_2 , such that $w(F_1 + F_2) = 4$. In this case, we can always find another cell F_k in $\{F_3, \dots, F_6\}$ such that $F_1 + F_2 + F_k = T^*(N)$. So our claim holds.

Without loss of generality, we may assume $T^*(E_1 + E_2 + E_3) = T^*(N)$. Since $N \notin \mathcal{R}(S)^*$, we must have $E_1 + E_2 + E_3 \notin \mathcal{R}(S)^*$ and it can be easily checked that this is possible only if $E_1 + E_2 + E_3 = P(E_{11} + E_{12} + E_{21})Q$ for some permutation matrices P and Q . If $PE_{22}Q \in \{E_4, E_5, E_6\}$, then

$$T^*(N) = T^*(P(E_{11} + E_{12} + E_{21})Q) \subseteq T^*(P(E_{11} + E_{12} + E_{21} + E_{22})Q) \subseteq T^*(N),$$
and so $T^*(P(E_{11} + E_{12} + E_{21} + E_{22})Q) = T^*(N)$ which is impossible as $P(E_{11} + E_{12} + E_{21} + E_{22})Q \in \mathcal{R}(S)^*$. Thus, $PE_{22}Q \notin \{E_4, E_5, E_6\}$. Similarly, we can check $PE_{23}Q, PE_{32}Q \notin \{E_4, E_5, E_6\}$.

Therefore, $\{E_4, E_5, E_6\} = \{PE_{13}Q, PE_{31}Q, PE_{33}Q\}$. In particular, we have $T^*(P(E_{11} + E_{12} + E_{21} + E_{13})Q) = T^*(P(E_{11} + E_{12} + E_{21})Q)$. But then

$$\begin{aligned} T^*(P(J \setminus E_{22} + E_{31})Q) &= T^*(P(E_{11} + E_{12} + E_{21} + E_{13})Q) + T^*(P(E_{23} + E_{32} + E_{33})Q) \\ &= T^*(P(E_{11} + E_{12} + E_{21})Q) + T^*(P(E_{23} + E_{32} + E_{33})Q) \\ &= T^*(P(J \setminus (E_{13} + E_{22} + E_{31}))Q), \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible by Theorem 6.13. Therefore $w(T^*(N)) = 6$ and the result follows.

Lemma: 6.20

Suppose $n \geq 4$ and $k_{\max} \leq mn - 2$. For any matrix A with $w(A) \leq mn - 2$, $w(T^*(A)) \geq w(A)$. Consequently, we have $T^*(\mathcal{N}) \subseteq \mathcal{N}$.

Proof:

Let $w(A) = p$, we will prove the first part of the result by induction on p . By lemma 6.4 the result holds for $p = 1$. Assume that the result holds for all B with $w(B) < p$. Let A be an arbitrary matrix with $w(A) = p$.

Suppose at least two rows and two columns of A contains zero entries. Since $n \geq 4$, there are cells $E_1, E_2 \not\subseteq A$ and $E_3 \subseteq A$ such that $\text{fr}(E_1+E_2+E_3) = 3$. If $w(T^*(A)) = w(T^*(A \setminus E_3))$, then $T^*(A) = T^*(A \setminus E_3)$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} T^*(J \setminus (E_1+E_2)) &= T^*(A) + T^*(J \setminus (A+E_1+E_2)) \\ &= T^*(A \setminus E_3) + T^*(J \setminus (A+E_1+E_2)) \\ &= T^*(J \setminus (E_1+E_2+E_3)), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction by Theorem 6.13. Then by assumption, we have $w(T^*(A)) > w(T^*(A \setminus E_3)) \geq w(A \setminus E_3) = p-1$. Thus, the result follows.

Now suppose A has zero entries in one row only. Since $w(A) \leq mn-2$, without loss of generality we may assume that A has zero entries in the first row with zero $(1,1)^{\text{th}}$ and $(1,2)^{\text{th}}$ entries.

By assumption, $w(T^*(A)) \geq w(T^*(A \setminus E_{21})) \geq w(A \setminus E_{21}) = p-1$.

Suppose $w(T^*(A)) = p-1$. Take

$G_1 = E_{21}+E_{33}$, $G_2 = E_{21}+E_{34}$, $G_3 = E_{22}+E_{33}$ and $G_4 = E_{22}+E_{34}$. We claim that there is an index i in $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ such that $T^*(A \setminus G_i) = T^*(A)$.

If the claim holds, we take $F = \begin{cases} E_{12} & \text{if } i \in \{1, 2\}; \\ E_{11} & \text{if } i \in \{3, 4\}. \end{cases}$

Then $\text{fr}(F+G_i) = 3$ and

$$\begin{aligned} T^*(J \setminus F) &= T^*(A) + T^*(J \setminus (A+F)) = T^*(A \setminus G_i) + T^*(J \setminus (A+F)) \\ &= T^*(J \setminus (F+G_i)), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction by Theorem 6.13. Thus, $w(T^*(A)) \geq p$ and the result follows.

It remains to prove our claim. If the claim does not hold, then for each $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. $p-1 = w(T^*(A)) > w(T^*(A \setminus G_i)) \geq w(A \setminus G_i) = p-2$.

That is, $w(T^*(A \setminus G_i)) = p-2$ and so $T^*(A \setminus G_i) = T^*(A) \setminus H_i$ for some cell $H_i \subseteq T^*(A)$.

Notice that for any $i \neq j$, $(A \setminus G_i) + (A \setminus G_j)$ equals either A or $A \setminus E$ for some

$E \in \{E_{21}, E_{22}, E_{33}, E_{34}\}$. Since $p-1 = w(T^*(A)) \geq w(T^*(A \setminus E)) \geq p-1$, we have $T^*(A \setminus E) = T^*(A)$ and so $T^*(A \setminus G_i) + (A \setminus G_i) = T^*(A)$ in both cases. Then

$$\begin{aligned} T^*(A) &= T^*((A \setminus G_i) + (A \setminus G_i)) = T^*(A \setminus G_i) + T^*(A \setminus G_i) = \\ &= (T^*(A) \setminus H_i) + (T^*(A) \setminus H_j). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we must have $H_i \neq H_j$. Hence $w(H_1+H_2+H_3+H_4) = 4$.

Now let $B = A \setminus (E_{21}+E_{22}+E_{33}+E_{34})$. Since $T^*(B) \subseteq T^*(A \setminus G_i)$ and $H_i \not\subseteq T^*(B)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, 4$ and hence $H_1+H_2+H_3+H_4 \not\subseteq T^*(B)$. Then $T^*(B) \subseteq T^*(A) \setminus (H_1+H_2+H_3+H_4)$ and hence by assumption.

$$\begin{aligned} p-4 = w(B) &\leq w(T^*(B)) \leq w(T^*(A) \setminus (H_1+H_2+H_3+H_4)) \\ &= (p-1) - 4 = p-5. \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible. Therefore, our claim holds.

Finally suppose A has zero entries in one column only. Without loss of generality we may assume that A has zero entries in the first column with zero $(1, 1)^{\text{th}}$ and $(2, 1)^{\text{th}}$ entries. Then the result follows by a similar argument with $G_1 = E_{12} + E_{33}$, $G_2 = E_{12}+E_{34}$, $G_3 = E_{22}+E_{33}$ and $G_4 = E_{22}+E_{34}$.

Corollary: 6.21

The map $T^*|_{\mathcal{N}}$ is bijective from \mathcal{N} onto \mathcal{N} .

Proof:

Suppose $T^*(N) = T^*(M)$ for some distinct $N, M \in \mathcal{N}$.

Then $T^*(N+M) = T^*(N) + T^*(M) = T^*(N) \notin \mathcal{R}(S)^*$.

But $w(N+M) > w(N) = k_{\max}$ which contradicts the definition of k_{\max} . Thus, T^* is injective in \mathcal{N} . Also by Lemmas 6.17, 6.18, 6.19 and 6.20, $T^*(\mathcal{N}) \subseteq \mathcal{N}$. Since \mathcal{N} is finite $T^*(\mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{N}$. Thus, the result follows.

Lemma: 6.22

For any cell E , $T^*(E)$ is a cell. Furthermore, T^* is bijective on the set of cells.

Lemma: 6.23

T^* preserves all line matrices.

Proof:

By Lemma 6.22, T^* is bijective on the set of cells. If T^* does not map some line matrix into a line matrix, without loss of generality, we assume that $T^*(E_{1,1}) = E_{1,1}$ and $T^*(E_{1,2}) = E_{2,2}$.

Case 1:

$E_{1,1} + E_{1,2} + E_{2,2} \notin \mathcal{R}(S)^*$: consider a matrix $X = E_{1,1} + E_{1,2} + E_{i,j}$, where $i \geq 2$ and $j \leq 2$. Then $X \notin \mathcal{R}(S)^*$. Since T^* strongly preserves $\mathcal{R}(S)^*$, $T^*(X) \notin \mathcal{R}(S)^*$ and hence $T^*(E_{ij}) = E_{1,2}$ or $E_{2,1}$ for all $i \geq 2$ and $j \leq 2$. This contradicts Lemma 6.22.

Case 2:

$E_{1,1} + E_{1,2} + E_{2,2} \in \mathcal{R}(S)^*$: consider a matrix $X = E_{1,1} + E_{1,2} + E_{i,j}$. Then we have $\text{fr}(T(X)) = 2$ or 3 . By Theorem 2.19 there is a matrix B with $w(B) = 2$ such that $(T(X) + B)^* \notin \mathcal{R}(S)^*$. Furthermore we can write $B = T(C)$ for some matrix C with $w(C) = 2$ so that $T(X) + B = T(X + C)$. But then $(X + C)^* \in \mathcal{R}(S)^*$ by Theorem 2.16, contradicting that T^* strongly preserves $\mathcal{R}(S)^*$.

Therefore T^* preserves all line matrices.

Definition: 6.24

An operator T on $M_{m,n}(S)$ is called an **(P, Q, B)-operator** if there are permutation matrices P and Q , and a matrix B with $B^* = J$ such that $T(X) = P(X \circ B)Q$ for all $X \in M_{m,n}(S)$, or $m = n$ and $T(X) = P(X^t \circ B)Q$ for all $X \in M_n(S)$.

Theorem: 6.25

Let T be a linear operator on $M_{m,n}(S)$ with $\min\{m, n\} \geq 3$. If T strongly preserves regularity, then T is a (P, Q, B) -operator.

Proof:

Suppose that T strongly preserves regularity. Then T^* is bijective on the set of cells by Lemma 6.22 and T^* preserves all line matrices by Corollary 6.23. Since no combination of s row matrices and t column matrices can dominate $J_{m,n}$ where $s+t = \min\{m, n\}$ unless $s = 0$ or $t = 0$, we have that either

- i) the image of T^* of each row matrix is a row matrix and the image of T^* of each column matrix is a column matrix, or
- ii) the image of T^* of each row matrix is a column matrix and the image of T^* of each column matrix is a row matrix.

If (i) holds, then there are permutations σ and T of $\{1, \dots, m\}$ and $\{1, \dots, n\}$, respectively such that $T^*(R_i) = R_{\sigma(i)}$ and $T^*(C_j) = C_{T(j)}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, \dots, n$. Let P and Q be permutation matrices corresponding to σ and T , respectively. Then we have

$T(E_{i,j}) = b_{i,j}E_{\sigma(i),T(j)} = P(b_{i,j}E_{i,j})Q$, where $b_{i,j} \neq 0$ for all cells $E_{i,j}$. By the action of T on the cells, we have $T(X) = P(X \circ B)Q$. If (ii) holds, then $m = n$ and a parallel argument show that there are permutation matrices P and Q , and a matrix B with $B^* = J$ such that $T(X) = P(X^t \circ B)Q$ for all $X \in M_n(S)$.

Corollary: 6.26

The T be a linear operator on $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ with $\min\{m,n\} \geq 3$. Then T strongly preserves regularity if and only if there are permutation matrices P and Q such that $T(X) = PXQ$ for all $X \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$, or $m = n$ and $T(X) = PX^tQ$ for $X \in M_n(\mathbb{B})$.

Proof:

It follows from Theorems 6.25 and 6.24.

CHARACTERIZATIONS OF LINEAR OPERATORS THAT STRONGLY PRESERVE REGULARITY OVER SEMIRINGS

Notation: 6.27

Let \mathbb{P}_+ be the nonnegative part of a subring \mathbb{P} with identity of the reals. The nonnegative integers \mathbb{Z}_+ , and the nonnegative reals \mathbb{R}_+ are good examples of \mathbb{P}_+ .

Theorem: 6.28

Let a, b, c and d be units in \mathbb{P}_+ . Then $X = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ is regular over \mathbb{P}_+ if

and only if $ad = bc$.

Proof:

If $ad = bc$, then we have $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$.

Conversely assume that X is regular. Then there is a nonzero matrix

$Y = \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{bmatrix}$, say $x \neq 0$ such that $XYX = X$: that is

$$\begin{bmatrix} a(ax+by)+b(az+cw) & a(bx+dy)+b(bz+dw) \\ c(ax+by)+d(az+cw) & c(bx+dy)+d(bz+dw) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$$

From (1, 2)th and (2, 2)th entries of XYX and X , we have $ab^{-1}(bx+dy) + (bz+dw) = 1 = cd^{-1}(bx+dy) + (bz+dw)$, and hence $ab^{-1}(bx+dy) = cd^{-1}(bx+dy)$. Since $bx+dy \neq 0$, it follows by the cancellation property that $ab^{-1} = cd^{-1}$, equivalently $ad = bc$.

Theorem: 6.29

Let $\min\{m, n\} \geq 3$ and T be a linear operator on $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{P}_+)$. Then T strongly preserves regularity if and only if there are invertible matrices U and V such that $T(X) = UXV$ for all $X \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{P}_+)$, or $m = n$ and $T(X) = UX^tV$ for all $X \in M_n(\mathbb{P}_+)$.

Proof:

By Theorem 2.4, the sufficient is obvious. To prove the necessity assume that T strongly preserves regularity. By Theorem 6.25, there are permutation matrices P and Q , a matrix B with $B^* = J$ such that $T(X) = P(X \circ B)Q$ for all $X \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{P}_+)$ or $m = n$ and $T(X) = P(X^t \circ B)Q$ for all $X \in M_n(\mathbb{P}_+)$. For the case of $T(X) = P(X \circ B)Q$, we define the operator L on $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{P}_+)$ by $L(X) = P^t T(X) Q^t = X \circ B$. Since T strongly preserves regularity, so does L . By Lemma 6.6, all entries of B are regular and hence units because only units are nonzero regular elements over \mathbb{P}_+ .

If $\text{fr}(B) \neq 1$, there is a 2×2 submatrix C of B such that $\text{fr}(C) = 2$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $C = \begin{bmatrix} b_{1,1} & b_{1,2} \\ b_{2,1} & b_{2,2} \end{bmatrix}$. Then $b_{1,1}b_{2,2} \neq b_{1,2}b_{2,1}$ and hence C is not regular by Theorem 6.28. Consider a matrix $Y = E_{1,1} + E_{1,2} +$

$E_{2,1} + E_{2,2}$. Then clearly Y is regular, while $L(Y) = \begin{bmatrix} C & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix}$ is not regular by

theorem 2.5, a contradiction. Hence $\text{fr}(B) = 1$. By Lemma 6.6, there are diagonal matrices D and E such that $L(X) = DXE$ for all $X \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{P}_+)$. Since all entries of B are units, all diagonal entries of D and E are units. Since $L(X) = P^t T(X) Q^t = X \circ B$, we have $T(X) = PDXEQ$. If we let $U = PD$ and $V = EQ$, then $U \in M_m(\mathbb{P}_+)$ and $V \in M_n(\mathbb{P}_+)$ are invertible. Thus we have $T(X) = UXV$ for all $X \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{P}_+)$.

If $m = n$ and T is of the form $T(X) = P(X^t \circ B)Q$, then a parallel argument shows that there are invertible matrices U and V such that $T(X) = UX^tV$ for all $X \in M_n(\mathbb{P}_+)$.

Corollary: 6.30

Let $\min\{m, n\} \geq 3$ and T be a linear operator on $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$. Then T strongly preserves regularity if and only if there are permutation matrices P and Q such that $T(X) = PXQ$ for all $X \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}_+)$, or $m = n$ and $T(X) = PX^tQ$ for all $X \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}_+)$.

Theorem: 6.31

Let S be only chain semiring. Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ q & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ be a matrix in $M_2(S)$ with $pq \neq 0$. Then A is regular if and only if $pq = p$.

Proof:

If $pq = p$, then $\begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ q & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ q & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ q & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and hence A is regular.

Conversely, assume that A is regular and $pq \neq b$. Then $p \neq q$, $pq = q$ (i.e., $q < p$) and there is a nonzero $G = \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{bmatrix} \in M_2(S)$ such that $AGA = A$ and

$$\text{hence } AGA = \begin{bmatrix} px + q(y + z + w) & q(x + z) \\ q(x + y) & qx \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ q & 0 \end{bmatrix} = A.$$

From $(2, 2)^{\text{th}}$ entries of AGA and A , $x = 0$ since $q \neq 0$. Again from $(1, 1)^{\text{th}}$ entries of AGA and A , $q(y+z+w) = p$. But this is impossible because $q < p$. Therefore A is not regular for $pq \neq p$.

Note: 6.32

If A is a monomial matrix in $M_n(S)$, then A is invertible if and only if A is a permutation matrix because 1 is the only unit element in S .

Theorem: 6.33

Let $\min\{m, n\} \geq 3$ and T be a linear operator on $M_{m,n}(S)$. Then T strongly preserves regularity if and only if there are permutation matrices P and Q such that $T(X) = PXQ$ for all $X \in M_{m,n}(S)$, or $m = n$ and $T(X) = PX^tQ$ for all $X \in M_n(S)$.

Proof:

The sufficient follows Theorem 2.4. For the necessary, assume that T strongly preserves regularity. By Theorem 6.25 there are permutation matrices P, Q and a matrix B with $B^* = J$, such that $T(X) = P(X \circ B)Q$ for all $X \in M_{m,n}(S)$, or $m = n$ and $T(X) = P(X^t \circ B)Q$ for all $X \in M_n(S)$.

Let T be of the form $T(X) = P(X \circ B)Q$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $P = I_m$ and $Q = I_n$ so that $T(X) = X \circ B$ and $T(J) = B$. Now we will show that $B = J$, equivalently $b_{i,j} = 1$ for all i and j . It is sufficient to consider $b_{1,1}$: for $b_{i,j}$ is any entry of $T(J)$, let P' be the transposition matrix that

exchanges 1st and ith rows from identity matrix I_m , and Q' the transposition matrix that exchanges 1st and jth rows from identity matrix I_n . Define a linear operator L on $M_{m,n}(S)$ by $L(X) = P'T(X)Q'$ for all X . Since T strongly preserves regularity, so does L . Furthermore the $(1, 1)^{\text{th}}$ entry of $L(J)$ is $b_{i,j}$.

If $b_{1,1} \neq 1$, let $\alpha = \min\{b_{1,1}, b_{1,2}, b_{2,1}\}$. Then $\alpha \neq 0, 1$. Consider a matrix $A = E_{1,1} + \alpha(E_{1,2} + E_{2,1})$. By Theorem 6.31, A is not regular and hence $T(A) = b_{1,1}E_{1,1} + \alpha(E_{1,2} + E_{2,1})$ is not regular so that $b_{1,1}\alpha = \alpha$ and $b_{1,1}\alpha \neq \alpha$. Thus $\alpha = b_{1,1}$ or $b_{2,1}$. If $\alpha = b_{1,2}$, consider a matrix $A_1 = b_{1,1}(E_{1,1} + E_{1,2}) + \alpha E_{2,1}$. Then A_1 is regular $A_2[b_{1,1}(G_{1,2}+G_{2,1})] A_1 = A_1$, where $G_{i,j}$ are cells in $M_{n,m}(S)$. But $T(A_1) = b_{1,1}E_{1,1} + \alpha(E_{1,2} + E_{2,1})$ is not regular by Theorem 6.31, a contradiction. For the case $\alpha = b_{2,1}$, if we consider a matrix $A_2 = b_{1,1}(E_{1,1} + E_{2,1}) + \alpha E_{1,2}$, then A_2 is regular while $T(A_2)$ is not regular, a contradiction. Therefore $b_{1,1} = 1$. Hence $B = J$. Therefore $T(X) = PXQ$ for all $X \in M_{m,n}(S)$.

For the case of $m = n$ and $T(X) = P(X^t \circ B)Q$, a parallel argument shows that $B = J$ so that $T(X) = PX^tQ$ for all $X \in M_n(S)$.