

*CHAPTER V*

---

## CHAPTER V

### BI- $\sim$ CECH CLOSURE SPACES

In this chapter, the concept of  $\mathcal{S}$ -closed set in bi- $\sim$  Cech closure space and their properties are analysed.

#### SECTION 5.1

##### ON $\mathcal{S}$ -CLOSED SETS IN BI- $\sim$ CECH CLOSURE SPACES

In this section, the concept of  $\mathcal{S}$ -closed set in bi- $\sim$  Cech closure space and the properties of  $\mathcal{S}$ -closed set in bi- $\sim$  Cech closure space discussed.

##### **Definition: 5.1.1**

Two functions  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  from power set of  $X$  to itself are called **bi- $\sim$  Cech closure operators** (simply biclosure operator) for  $X$  if they satisfy the following properties.

$$(i) k_1(\phi) = \phi \text{ and } k_2(\phi) = \phi$$

$$(ii) A \subset k_1(A) \text{ and } A \subset k_2(A) \text{ for any set } A \subset X$$

$$(iii) k_1(A \cup B) = k_1(A) \cup k_1(B) \quad \text{and} \quad k_2(A \cup B) = k_2(A) \cup k_2(B)$$

for any  $A, B \subset X$

$(X, k_1, k_2)$  is called bi- $\sim$  Cech closure space.

##### **Example: 5.1.2**

Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and define a closure operator  $k_1$  on  $X$  by  $k_1(\{a\}) = \{a\}$ ,  $k_1(\{b\}) = \{b, c\}$ ,  $k_1(\{c\}) = k_1(\{a, c\}) = \{a, c\}$ ,  $k_1(\{a, b\}) = k_1(\{b, c\}) = k_1(\{X\}) = X$ ,  $k_1(\phi) = \phi$ . Define a closure operator  $k_2$  on  $X$  by  $k_2(\{a\}) = \{a\}$ ,

$k_2(\{b\}) = k_2(\{c\}) = k_2(\{b, c\}) = \{b, c\}$ ,  $k_2(\{a, b\}) = k_2(\{a, c\}) = k_2(\{X\}) = X$ ,  $k_2(\phi) = \phi$ . Now,  $(X, k_1, k_2)$  is a bi- $\checkmark$  Cech closure space.

**Definition: 5.1.3**

A subset  $A$  in a bi- $\checkmark$  Cech closure space  $(X, k_1, k_2)$  is said to be

1.  $k_i$ -semi open if  $A \subseteq k_i(\text{int}k_i(A))$ ,  $i = 1, 2$
2.  $k_i$ -semi closed if  $\text{int}k_i(k_i(A)) \subseteq A$ ,  $i = 1, 2$

**Definition: 5.1.4**

A subset  $A$  in bi-cech closure space  $(X, k_1, k_2)$  is said to be a  **$(k_1, k_2)$ -generalized semi closed set** if  $k\text{-scl}_2(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is  $k_1$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Definition: 5.1.5**

A subset  $A$  in bi-cech closure space  $(X, k_1, k_2)$  is said to be a  **$(k_1, k_2)$ - $\$$  closed** if  $k\text{-scl}_2(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is  $k_1$ -gs open set in  $X$ .

**Theorem: 5.1.6**

If  $A$  and  $B$  are  $(k_1, k_2)$ - $\$$  closed sets and so is a  $A \cup B$ .

**Proof**

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be the  $(k_1, k_2)$   $\$$ -closed sets. Let  $U$  be a  $k_1$  gs-open set in  $X$ . Let  $(A \cup B) \subseteq U$ . Therefore,  $A \subseteq U$  and  $B \subseteq U$ .

Then  $k\text{-scl}_2(A) \subseteq U$  and  $k\text{-scl}_2(B) \subseteq U$  Implies  $(k\text{-cl}_2(A) \cup k\text{-scl}_2(B)) \subseteq U$ .

Hence  $k\text{-scl}_2(A \cup B) \subseteq U$ . Thus  $A \cup B$  is a  $(k_1, k_2)$ - $\$$  closed set.

**Theorem: 5.1.7**

If  $A$  is a  $(k_1, k_2)$ - $\mathcal{K}$  closed set. Then  $k\text{-scl}_2(A)-A$  contains no non-empty  $k_1$ -gs closed sets.

**Proof**

Let  $A$  be  $(k_1, k_2)$ - $\mathcal{K}$  closed. Let  $U$  be  $k_1$ -gs closed contained in  $k\text{-scl}_2(A)-A$ .

$$\text{Now, } U \subseteq k\text{-scl}_2(A) \text{ and } U \subseteq A^c \quad (1)$$

Now,

$$U \subseteq A^c \text{ then } A \subseteq U^c .$$

Since  $U$  is  $k_1$ -gs closed,  $U^c$  is  $k_1$ -gs open. Thus,  $k\text{-scl}_2(A) \subseteq U^c$ .

Consequently,

$$U \subseteq [k\text{-scl}_2(A)]^c \quad (2)$$

From (1) and (2)

$$U \subseteq k\text{-scl}_2(A) \cap [k\text{-scl}_2(A)]^c = \phi$$

Therefore,  $U = \phi$ .

Hence,  $k\text{-scl}_2(A)-A$  contain no non-empty  $k_1$ -gs closed sets.

**Theorem: 5.1.8**

If  $A$  is a  $(k_1, k_2)$  - $\mathcal{K}$  closed set, then  $k\text{-scl}_1(x) \cap A \neq \phi$  holds for each  $x \in k\text{-scl}_2(A)$

**Proof**

Let  $A$  be a  $(k_1, k_2)$  - $\$$  closed set.

Suppose  $k\text{-scl}_1(x) \cap A = \phi$ , for some  $x \in k\text{-scl}_2(A)$ ,

Hence,  $A \subseteq [k\text{-scl}_1(x)]^c$ .

Now  $k\text{-scl}_1(x)$  is  $k_1$ -semi closed. Therefore  $[k\text{-scl}_1(x)]^c$  is  $k_1$ -semi open.

Thus  $[k\text{-scl}_1(x)]^c$  is  $k_1$ -gs open.

Since  $A$  is a  $(k_1, k_2)$   $\$$ -closed set, we have  $k\text{-scl}_2(A) \subseteq [k\text{-scl}_1(x)]^c$

Implies  $k\text{-scl}_2(A) \cap k\text{-scl}_1(x) = \phi$ .

Then  $x \notin k\text{-scl}_2(A)$  is a contradiction.

Hence  $k\text{-scl}_2(x) \cap A \neq \phi$  holds for each  $x \in k\text{-scl}_2(A)$ .

**Theorem: 5.1.9**

Let  $(X, k_1, k_2)$  be bi-cech closure space. For each  $x$  in  $X$ ,  $\{x\}$  is  $k_1$ -gs closed or  $\{x\}^c$  is  $(k_1, k_2)$  - $\$$  closed set.

**Proof**

Let  $(X, k_1, k_2)$  be bi-cech closure space.

Suppose that  $\{x\}$  is not  $k_1$ -gs closed,  $\{x\}^c$  is not  $k_1$ -gs open.

Therefore, the only  $k_1$ -gs open set containing  $\{x\}^c$  is  $X$ .

Thus  $\{x\}^c \subset X$ . Now,  $k\text{-scl}_2[\{x\}^c] \subseteq k\text{-scl}_2(X) = X$ .

Hence  $\{x\}^c$  is a  $(k_1, k_2)$  - $\$$  closed set.

**Theorem: 5.1.10**

Let  $A$  be a  $(k_1, k_2)$ - $\$$  closed subset and if  $A$  is  $k_1$ -gs open then  $A = k\text{-scl}_2(A)$ .

**Proof**

Let  $A$  be a  $(k_1, k_2)$ - $\$$  closed subset of a bi-cech closure space  $(X, k_1, k_2)$  and let  $A$  be a  $k_1$ -gs open set.

Then  $k\text{-scl}_2(A) \subseteq U$ , whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is a  $k_1$ -gs open set in  $X$ .

Since  $A$  is  $k_1$ -gs open and  $A \subseteq A$ , We have  $k\text{-scl}_2(A) \subseteq A$  but always,  $A \subseteq k\text{-scl}_2(A)$

Thus,  $A = k\text{-scl}_2(A)$ .

**Theorem: 5.1.11**

Let  $A \subseteq Y \subseteq X$  and suppose that  $A$  is  $(k_1, k_2)$ - $\$$  closed in  $(X, k_1, k_2)$ . Then  $A$  is  $(k_1, k_2)$ - $\$$  closed relative to  $Y$ .

**Proof**

Let  $S$  be any  $k_1$ -gs open set in  $Y$  such that  $A \subseteq S$ .

Then  $S = U \cap Y$  for some  $U$  is  $k_1$ -gs open in  $X$ .

Therefore  $A \subseteq U \cap Y$  implies  $A \subseteq U$ . Since  $A$  is a  $(k_1, k_2)$ - $\$$  closed set in  $X$ ,

We have  $k\text{-scl}_2(A) \subseteq A$ . Hence  $Y \cap k\text{-scl}_2(A) \subseteq Y \cap U = S$ .

Thus  $A$  is a  $(k_1, k_2)$ - $\$$  closed set relative to  $Y$ .