

$g\pi$ - Compactness and $g\pi$ -Connectedness Topological Spaces

S. Saranya* & A. Parvathi

Department of Mathematics, Avinashilingam University, Coimbatore-641043, India

E-mail: saranya.subbaiyan@gmail.com, aparvathi.s@gmail.com

(Received on: 17-10-11; Accepted on: 01-11-11)

ABSTRACT

This paper deals with $g\pi$ -compact spaces and their properties by using nets, filter base and $g\pi$ -complete accumulation points. The notion of $g\pi$ -connectedness in topological spaces is also introduced and their properties are studied.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 54D05, 54D30

Keywords: $g\pi$ -open set, $g\pi$ -closed sets, $g\pi$ -compact spaces, $g\pi$ -complete accumulation point, $g\pi$ -connectedness.

1. Introduction:

The notions of compactness and connectedness are useful and fundamental notions of not only general topology but also of other advanced branches of mathematics. Many researchers have investigated the basic properties of compactness and connectedness. The productivity and fruitfulness of these notions of compactness and connectedness motivated mathematicians to generalize these notions. In the course of these attempts many stronger and weaker forms of compactness and connectedness have been introduced and investigated.

In 1970, Levine [6] initiated the study of so called g -closed sets, that is, a subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is said to be g -closed if the closure of A is included in every open superset of A and defined a T_{12} space to be one in which the closed sets and g -closed sets coincide. Recently, Dontchev and Noiri [4] introduced the notion of πg -closed sets and used this notion to obtain a characterizations and some preservation theorems for quasi normal spaces.

More recently, Park [7] has introduced and studied the notion of πgp -closed sets which is implied by that of gp -closed sets. Park and Park [8] continued the study of πgp -closed sets and associated functions and introduced the concepts of πGP -compactness and πGP -connectedness. Also Aslim, Guler and Noiri [3] introduced the concept of πgs -closed sets and studied its basic properties. Moreover they also introduced the notions of $\pi gs-T_{1/2}$ spaces and πgs -continuity in topological spaces. The aim of this paper is to introduce the concept of $g\pi$ -compactness and $g\pi$ -connectedness in topological spaces and is to give some characterizations of $g\pi$ -compact spaces in terms of nets and filter bases. The notion of $g\pi$ -complete accumulation points is introduced and is used to characterize $g\pi$ -compactness. Further it is proved that $g\pi$ -connectedness is preserved under $g\pi$ -irresolute surjections.

2 Preliminary Notes:

Throughout this paper (X, τ) , (Y, σ) are topological spaces with no separation axioms assumed unless otherwise stated. Let $A \subseteq X$. The closure of A and the interior of A will be denoted by $Cl(A)$ and $Int(A)$ respectively.

Definition: 2.1 A subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is said to be $g\pi$ -closed [9] if $\pi cl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is open in X .

Definition: 2.2 The $g\pi$ -closure [9] of a set A , denoted by $g\pi Cl(A)$, is the intersection of all $g\pi$ -closed sets containing A .

Definition: 2.3 The $g\pi$ -interior [9] of a set A , denoted by $g\pi Int(A)$, is the union of all $g\pi$ -open sets contained in A .

Remark: 2.4 [9] Every π -closed set is $g\pi$ -closed.

Corresponding author: S. Saranya, *E-mail: saranya.subbaiyan@gmail.com

3 $g\pi$ - Compactness:

Definition: 3.1 A collection $\{A_i; i \in \Lambda\}$ of $g\pi$ -open sets in a topological space X is called a $g\pi$ -open cover of a subset B of X if $B \subset \{A_i; i \in \Lambda\}$ holds.

Definition 3.2 A topological space X is $g\pi$ -compact if every $g\pi$ -open cover of X has a finite sub-cover.

Definition: 3.3 A subset B of a topological space X is said to be $g\pi$ -compact relative to X if, for every collection $\{A_i; i \in \Lambda\}$ of $g\pi$ -open subsets of X such that $B \subset \{A_i; i \in \Lambda\}$ there exists a finite subset Λ_0 of Λ such that $B \subset \{A_i; i \in \Lambda_0\}$.

Definition: 3.4 A subset B of a topological space X is said to be $g\pi$ -compact if B is $g\pi$ -compact as a subspace of X .

Theorem: 3.5 Every $g\pi$ -closed subset of a $g\pi$ -compact space is $g\pi$ -compact relative to X .

Proof: Let A be $g\pi$ -closed subset of $g\pi$ -compact space X . Then A^c is $g\pi$ -open in X .

Let $M = \{G_\alpha; \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be a cover of A by $g\pi$ -open sets in X . Then $M^* = M \cup A^c$ is a $g\pi$ -open cover of X . Since X is $g\pi$ -compact M^* is reducible to a finite subcover of X , say

$$X = G_{\alpha_1} \cup G_{\alpha_2} \cup \dots \cup G_{\alpha_m} \cup A^c, G_{\alpha_k} \in M. \text{ But } A \text{ and } A^c \text{ are disjoint hence}$$

$A \subset G_{\alpha_1} \cup \dots \cup G_{\alpha_m}, G_{\alpha_k} \in M$, which implies that any $g\pi$ -open cover M of A contains a finite sub-cover. Therefore A is $g\pi$ -compact relative to X . Thus every $g\pi$ -closed subset of a $g\pi$ -compact space X is $g\pi$ -compact.

Definition: 3.6 A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be $g\pi$ -continuous [5] if $f^{-1}(V)$ is $g\pi$ -closed in X for every closed set V of Y .

Definition: 3.7 A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be $g\pi$ -irresolute [5] if $f^{-1}(V)$ is $g\pi$ -closed in X for every $g\pi$ -closed set V of Y .

Theorem: 3.8 A $g\pi$ -continuous image of a $g\pi$ -compact space is compact.

Proof: Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a $g\pi$ -continuous map from a $g\pi$ -compact space X onto a topological space Y . Let $\{A_i; i \in \Lambda\}$ be an open cover of Y . Then $\{f^{-1}(A_i); i \in \Lambda\}$ is a $g\pi$ -open cover of X . Since X is $g\pi$ -compact it has a finite sub-cover say $\{f^{-1}(A_1), f^{-1}(A_2), \dots, f^{-1}(A_n)\}$. Since f is onto $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ is a cover of Y , which is finite. Therefore Y is compact.

Theorem: 3.9 If a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is $g\pi$ -irresolute and a subset B of X is $g\pi$ -compact relative to X , then the image $f(B)$ is $g\pi$ -compact relative to Y .

Proof: Let $\{A_\alpha; \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be any collection of $g\pi$ -open subsets of Y such that $f(B) \subset \cup \{A_\alpha; \alpha \in \Lambda\}$. Then $B \subset \{f^{-1}(A_\alpha); \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ holds. Since by hypothesis B is $g\pi$ -compact relative to X there exists a finite subset Λ_0 of Λ such that $B \subset \cup \{f^{-1}(A_\alpha); \alpha \in \Lambda_0\}$.

Therefore we have $f(B) \subset \cup \{A_\alpha; \alpha \in \Lambda_0\}$, which shows that $f(B)$ is $g\pi$ -compact relative to Y .

Definition: 3.10 Let Λ be a directed set. A net $\xi = \{x_\alpha; \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ $g\pi$ accumulates at a point $x \in X$ if the net is frequently in every $U \in g\pi O(X, x)$, i.e., for each $U \in g\pi O(X, x)$ and for each $\alpha_0 \in \Lambda$, there is some $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ such that $x_\alpha \in U$. The net ξ $g\pi$ -converges to a point x of X if it is eventually in every $U \in g\pi O(X, x)$.

Definition: 3.11 We say that a filter base $\Theta = \{F_\alpha; \alpha \in \Gamma\}$ $g\pi$ -accumulates at a point $x \in X$ if $x \in D \cap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} g\pi Cl(F_\alpha)$. A filter base $\Theta = \{F_\alpha; \alpha \in \Gamma\}$ $g\pi$ -converges to a point x in X if for each $U \in g\pi O(X, x)$, there exists an F_α in Θ such that $F_\alpha \subset U$.

Definition: 3.12 A point x in a space X is said to be $g\pi$ -complete accumulation point of a subset S of X if $Card(S \cap U) = Card(S)$ for each $U \in g\pi O(X, x)$, where $Card(S)$ denotes the cardinality of S .

Definition: 3.13 In a topological space X , a point x is said to be a $g\pi$ -adherent point of a filter base Θ on X if it lies in the $g\pi$ -closure of all sets of Θ .

Theorem: 3.14 A space X is $g\pi$ -compact if and only if each infinite subset of X has a $g\pi$ -complete accumulation point.

Proof: Let the space X be $g\pi$ -compact and let S be an infinite subset of X . Let K be the set of points x in X which are not $g\pi$ -complete accumulation points of S . Now it is obvious that for each x in K , we are able to find $U(x) \in g\pi O(X, x)$ such that $\text{Card}(S \cap U(x)) \neq \text{Card}(S)$. If K is the whole space X , then $\Theta = \{U(x) : x \in X\}$ is a $g\pi$ -cover of X . By the hypothesis X is $g\pi$ -compact, so there exists a finite sub-cover $\psi = \{U(x_i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $S \subset \{U(x_i) \cap S : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Then $\text{Card}(S) = \max \{\text{Card}(U(x_i) \cap S) : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$, which does not agree with what we assumed. This implies that S has $g\pi$ -complete accumulation point.

Conversely, suppose that X is not $g\pi$ -compact and that every infinite subset $S \subset X$ has a $g\pi$ -complete accumulation point in X . It follows that there exists a $g\pi$ -cover E with no finite sub-cover. Set $\delta = \min \{\text{Card}(\Phi) : \Phi \in E\}$, where Φ is a $g\pi$ -cover of X . Fix $\psi \in E$ for which $\text{Card}(\psi) = \delta$ and $\cup \{U : U \in \psi\} = X$. Let N denote the set of natural numbers. Then by hypothesis $\delta \geq \text{Card}(N)$. By well ordering of ψ by some minimal well ordering \sim suppose that U is any member of ψ . By minimal well ordering \sim we have $\text{Card}(\{V : V \in \psi, V \sim U\}) < \text{Card}(\{V : V \in \psi\})$. Since ψ can not have any sub-cover with cardinality less than δ , then for each $U \in \psi$ we have $X \neq \cup \{V : V \in \psi, V \sim U\}$. For each $U \in \psi$ choose a point $x(U) \in X - \cup \{V \in \psi : V \sim U\}$. We are always able to do this if not one can choose a cover of smaller cardinality from ψ . If $H = \{x(U) : U \in \psi\}$, then to finish the proof we will show that H has no $g\pi$ -accumulation points in X . Suppose that z is a point of X . Since ψ is a $g\pi$ -cover of X , then z is a point of some set W in ψ . By the fact that $U \sim W$, we have $x(U) \in W$. But $\text{Card}(T) < \delta$. Therefore, $\text{Card}(H \cap W) < \delta$. But $\text{Card}(H) = \delta \geq \text{Card}(N)$, since for two distinct points U and W in ψ , we have $x(U) \neq x(W)$.

This means that H has no $g\pi$ -complete accumulation point in X which contradicts our assumptions. Therefore X is $g\pi$ -compact.

Theorem: 3.15 For a space X the following are equivalent.

- (1) X is $g\pi$ -compact.
- (2) Every net in X with a well ordered directed set as its domain $g\pi$ -accumulates to some point of X .

Proof: (1) \Rightarrow (2):

Suppose that (X, τ) is $g\pi$ -compact and $\xi = \{x_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ a net with a well ordered directed set Λ as domain. Assume that ξ has no $g\pi$ adherent point in X . Then for each point x in X , there exist $V(x) \in g\pi O(X, x)$ and an $\alpha(x) \in \Lambda$ such that $V(x) \cap \{x_\alpha : \alpha \geq \alpha(x)\} = \emptyset$. This implies that $\{x_\alpha : \alpha \geq \alpha(x)\}$ is a subset of $X - V(x)$. Then the collection $C = \{V(x) : x \in X\}$ is a $g\pi$ -cover of X . By hypothesis of theorem, X is $g\pi$ -compact and so C has a finite subfamily $\{V(x_i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $X = \cup \{V(x_i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Suppose that the corresponding elements of Λ are $\{\alpha(x_i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Since Λ is well ordered and $\{\alpha(x_i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is finite, the largest element of $\{\alpha(x_i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ exists. Suppose it is $\{\alpha(x_i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$, then for $\gamma \geq \{\alpha(x_i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. We have $\{x_\delta : \delta \geq \gamma\} \subset \cup_{i=1}^n (X - V(x_i)) = X - \cup_{i=1}^n V(x_i) = \emptyset$ which is impossible.

This shows that ξ has at least one $g\pi$ -adherent point in X .

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Now by the last Theorem 3.14, it is enough to prove that each infinite subset has a $g\pi$ -complete accumulation point. Suppose that $S \subset X$ is an infinite subset of X . According to Zorn's lemma, the infinite set S can be well ordered. This means that we can assume S to be a net with a domain, which is a well ordered index set. It follows that S has a $g\pi$ -adherent point z . Therefore z is a $g\pi$ -complete accumulation point of S . This shows that X is $g\pi$ -compact.

Theorem: 3.16 A space X is $g\pi$ -compact if and only if each family of $g\pi$ -closed subsets of X with the finite intersection property has a non-empty intersection.

Proof: Given a collection \mathbf{A} of subsets of X , let $C = \{X - A : A \in \mathbf{A}\}$ be the collection of their complements. Then the following statements hold.

- (a) \mathbf{A} is a collection of $g\pi$ -open sets if and only if C is a collection of $g\pi$ -closed sets.
- (b) The collection \mathbf{A} covers X if and only if the intersection $\cap_{C \in C} C$ of all the elements of C is non-empty.
- (c) The finite sub collection $\{A_1 \cdot \cdot \cdot A_n\}$ of \mathbf{A} covers X if and only if the intersection of the corresponding elements $C_i = X - A_i$ of C is empty. The statement (a) is trivial, while the (b) and (c) follow from DeMorgan's law.

$X - (DU_{\alpha \in J} A_{\alpha}) = D \cap_{\alpha \in J} (X - A_{\alpha})$ The proof of the theorem now proceeds in two steps, taking the contrapositive of the theorem and then the complement.

The statement X is $g\pi$ -compact is equivalent to: Given any collection \mathfrak{A} of $g\pi$ -open subsets of X , if \mathfrak{A} covers X , then some finite sub collection of \mathfrak{A} covers X . This statement is equivalent to its contrapositive, which is the following.

Given any collection \mathfrak{A} of $g\pi$ -open sets, if no finite sub-collection of \mathfrak{A} covers X , then \mathfrak{A} does not cover X . Letting C be as earlier, the collection $\{X - A : A \in \mathfrak{A}\}$ and applying (a) to (c), we see that this statement is in turn equivalent to the following:

Given any collection C of $g\pi$ -closed sets, if every finite intersection of elements of C is non-empty, then the intersection of all the elements of C is non-empty. This is just the condition of our theorem.

Theorem: 3.17 A space X is $g\pi$ -compact if and only if each filter base in X has at least one $g\pi$ -adherent point.

Proof: Suppose that X is $g\pi$ -compact and $\theta = \{F_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Gamma\}$ a filter base in it. Since all finite intersections of F_{α} 's are non-empty, it follows that all finite intersections of $g\pi Cl(F_{\alpha})$'s are also non-empty. Now it follows from Theorem 3.16 that $D \cap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} g\pi Cl(F_{\alpha})$ is non-empty. This means that θ has at least one $g\pi$ -adherent point.

Conversely, suppose θ is any family of $g\pi$ -closed sets. Let each finite intersection be non-empty. The sets (F_{α}) with their finite intersection establish a filter base θ . Therefore θ $g\pi$ -accumulates to some point z in X . It follows that $z \in D \cap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} (F_{\alpha})$. Now by Theorem 3.16, we have that X is $g\pi$ -compact.

Theorem: 3.18 A space X is $g\pi$ -compact if and only if each filter base on X with at most one $g\pi$ -adherent point is $g\pi$ -convergent.

Proof: Suppose that X is $g\pi$ -compact, x a point of X and a filter base on X . The $g\pi$ -adherence of θ is a subset of $\{x\}$. Then the $g\pi$ -adherence of θ is equal to $\{x\}$ by Theorem 3.17. Assume that there exists $V \in g\pi O(X, x)$ such that for all $F \in \theta$, $F \cap (X - V)$ is non-empty. Then

$\psi = \{F - V : F \in \theta\}$ is a filter base on X . It follows that the $g\pi$ -adherence of θ is non-empty. However,

$$D \cap_{F \in \psi} g\pi Cl(F - V) \subset (D \cap_{F \in \theta} g\pi Cl(F) \cap (X - V)) = \{x\} \cap \{X - V\} = \emptyset.$$

But this is a contradiction. Hence for each $V \in g\pi O(X, x)$ there exists an $F \in \theta$ with $F \subset V$. This shows that θ $g\pi$ -converges to x .

To prove the converse, it suffices to show that each filter base in X has at least one $g\pi$ -accumulation point. Assume that θ is a filter base on X with no $g\pi$ -adherent point. By hypothesis, θ $g\pi$ -converges to some point z in X . Suppose F_{α} is an arbitrary element of θ . Then for each $V \in g\pi O(X, z)$, there exists $F_{\beta} \in \theta$ such that $F_{\beta} \subset V$. Since θ is a filter base, there exists a γ such that $F_{\gamma} \subset F_{\alpha} \cap F_{\beta} \subset F_{\alpha} \cap V$, where F_{γ} non-empty. This means that $F_{\alpha} \cap V$ is non-empty for every $V \in g\pi O(X, z)$ and correspondingly for each α , z is a point of $g\pi Cl(F_{\alpha})$ it follows that $z \in D \cap_{\alpha} g\pi Cl(F_{\alpha})$. Therefore z is a $g\pi$ -adherent point of θ which is contradiction.

This shows that X is $g\pi$ -compact.

4 $g\pi$ - Connectedness:

Definition: 4.1 A topological space X is said to be $g\pi$ -connected if X can not be expressed as a disjoint union of two non-empty $g\pi$ -open sets. A subset of X is $g\pi$ -connected if it is $g\pi$ -connected as a subspace.

Example: 4.2 Let $X = \{a, b\}$ and let $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}\}$. Then it is $g\pi$ -connected.

Remark: 4.3 Every $g\pi$ -connected space is connected but the converse need not be true in general, which follows from the following example.

Example: 4.4 Let $X = \{a, b\}$ and let $\tau = \{X, \emptyset\}$. Clearly (X, τ) is connected. The $g\pi$ -open sets of X are $\{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}\}$. Therefore (X, τ) is not a $g\pi$ -connected space, because $X = \{a\} \cup \{b\}$ where $\{a\}$ and $\{b\}$ are non-empty $g\pi$ -open sets.

Theorem: 4.5 For a topological space X the following are equivalent.

- (i) X is $g\pi$ -connected.
- (ii) O and O^c are the only subsets of X which are both $g\pi$ -open and $g\pi$ -closed.
- (iii) Each $g\pi$ -continuous map of X into a discrete space Y with at least two points is a constant map.

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii):

Let O be any $g\pi$ -open and $g\pi$ -closed subset of X . Then O^c is both $g\pi$ -open and $g\pi$ -closed. Since X is disjoint union of the $g\pi$ -open sets O and O^c implies from the hypothesis of (i) that either $O = \emptyset$ or $O = X$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i):

Suppose that $X = A \cup B$ where A and B are disjoint non-empty $g\pi$ -open subsets of X . Then A is both $g\pi$ -open and $g\pi$ -closed. By assumption $A = \emptyset$ or X .

Therefore X is $g\pi$ -connected.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii):

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a $g\pi$ -continuous map. Then X is covered by $g\pi$ -open and $g\pi$ -closed covering $\{f^{-1}(y) : y \in (Y)\}$. By assumption $f^{-1}(y) = \emptyset$ or X for each $y \in Y$. If $f^{-1}(y) = \emptyset$ for all $y \in Y$, then f fails to be a map. Then there exists only one point $y \in Y$ such that $f^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset$ and hence $f^{-1}(y) = X$. This shows that f is a constant map.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii):

Let O be both $g\pi$ -open and $g\pi$ -closed in X . Suppose $O \neq \emptyset$. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a $g\pi$ -continuous map defined by $f(O) = y$ and $f(O^c) = \{w\}$ for some distinct points y and w in Y .

By assumption f is constant. Therefore we have $O = X$.

Theorem: 4.6 If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a $g\pi$ -continuous and X is $g\pi$ -connected, then Y is connected.

Proof: Suppose that Y is not connected. Let $Y = A \cup B$ where A and B are disjoint non-empty open set in Y . Since f is $g\pi$ -continuous and onto, $X = f^{-1}(A) \cup f^{-1}(B)$ where $f^{-1}(A)$ and $f^{-1}(B)$ are disjoint non-empty $g\pi$ -open sets in X . This contradicts the fact that X is $g\pi$ -connected. Hence Y is connected.

Theorem: 4.7 If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a $g\pi$ -irresolute surjection and X is $g\pi$ -connected, then Y is $g\pi$ -connected.

Proof: Suppose that Y is not $g\pi$ -connected. Let $Y = A \cup B$ where A and B are disjoint non-empty $g\pi$ -open set in Y . Since f is $g\pi$ -irresolute and onto, $X = f^{-1}(A) \cup f^{-1}(B)$ where $f^{-1}(A)$ and $f^{-1}(B)$ are disjoint non-empty $g\pi$ -open sets in X . This contradicts the fact that X is $g\pi$ -connected. Hence Y is $g\pi$ -connected.

Theorem: 4.8 In a topological space (X, τ) with at least two points, if $\pi O(X, \tau) = \pi CL(X, \tau)$ then X is not $g\pi$ -connected.

Proof: By hypothesis we have $\pi O(X, \tau) = \pi CL(X, \tau)$ and by Remark 2.4 we have every π closed set is $g\pi$ -closed, there exists some non-empty proper subset of X which is both $g\pi$ -open and $g\pi$ -closed in X . So by last Theorem 4.5 we have X is not $g\pi$ -connected.

Definition: 4.9 A topological space X is said to be $T_{g\pi}$ -space if every $g\pi$ -closed subset of X is closed subset of X .

Theorem: 4.10 Suppose that X is a $T_{g\pi}$ -space then X is connected if and only if it is $g\pi$ -connected.

Proof: Suppose that X is connected. Then X can not be expressed as disjoint union of two non-empty proper subsets of X . Suppose X is not a $g\pi$ -connected space. Let A and B be any two $g\pi$ -open subsets of X such that $X = A \cup B$, where $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and $A \subset X$, $B \subset X$. Since X is $T_{g\pi}$ -space and A, B are $g\pi$ -open, A, B are open subsets of X , which contradicts that X is connected. Therefore X is $g\pi$ -connected.

Conversely, every open set is $g\pi$ -open. Therefore every $g\pi$ -connected space is connected.

Theorem: 4.11 If the $g\pi$ -open sets C and D form a separation of X and if Y is $g\pi$ -connected subspace of X , then Y lies entirely within C or D .

Proof: Since C and D are both $g\pi$ -open in X the sets $C \cap Y$ and $D \cap Y$ are $g\pi$ -open in Y these two sets are disjoint and their union is Y . If they were both non-empty, they would constitute a separation of Y . Therefore, one of them is empty. Hence Y must lie entirely in C or in D .

Theorem: 4.12 Let A be a $g\pi$ -connected subspace of X . If $A \subset B \subset g\pi Cl(A)$ then B is also $g\pi$ -connected.

Proof: Let A be $g\pi$ -connected and let $A \subset B \subset g\pi Cl(A)$. Suppose that $B = C \cup D$ is a separation of B by $g\pi$ -open sets. Then by Theorem 4.11 above A must lie entirely in C or in D . Suppose that $A \subset C$, then $g\pi Cl(A) \subseteq g\pi Cl(C)$. Since

$g\pi Cl(C)$ and D are disjoint, B cannot intersect D . This contradicts the fact that D is non-empty subset of B . So $D = \emptyset$ which implies B is $g\pi$ -connected.

References:

- [1] D. Andrijevic: Semi-preopen sets, Mat. Vesnik. 38(1) (1986), 24–32.
- [2] S. P. Arya, T. M. Nour: Characterization of s -normal spaces, Indian. J. Pure. Appl. Math., 21 (1990), 717–719.
- [3] G. Aslim, A. Cakshu Guler, T. Noiri: On πg s-closed sets in topological spaces, Acta. Math. Hungar., 112(4) (2006), 275–283.
- [4] J. Dontchev, T. Noiri: Quasi normal spaces and πg -closed sets, Acta. Math. Hungar., 89 (2000), 211–219.
- [5] E. D. Khalimsky: Applications of connected ordered topological spaces in topology, in: Conference of Math, Department of Povolzia (1970).
- [6] N. Levine: Generalized closed sets in topology, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo. 19(2) (1970), 89–96.
- [7] J. H. Park: On πg p-closed sets in topological spaces, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math (to appear).
- [8] J. H. Park, J. K. Park: On πg p-continuous functions in topological spaces, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 20 (2004), 467–477.
- [9] S. Saranya, A. Parvathi, $g\pi$ -closed sets in Topological spaces (Submitted)
- [10] V. Zaitsev: On certain classes of topological spaces and their bicompletions, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 178 (1978), 778–779.

ON $g\pi$ US SPACES

S. Saranya* and A. Parvathi

Department of Mathematics, Avinashilingam University for Women, Coimbatore - 43, Tamil Nadu, India

E-mail: saranya.subbaiyan@gmail.com, aparvathi.s@gmail.com

(Received on: 13-10-11; Accepted on: 30-10-11)

Abstract

In this paper we introduce and study the notion of $g\pi$ -US spaces, $g\pi$ -convergency, sequentially $g\pi$ -compactness, sequentially $g\pi$ -continuity and sequentially $g\pi$ -sub-continuity by utilizing $g\pi$ -open sets.

Keywords and phrases: topological spaces, $g\pi$ -open sets, $g\pi$ US-spaces, $g\pi$ -convergence, sequentially $G\pi O$ -compactness.

(2000) *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 54C10, 54D10.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries:

In 1967, A. Wilansky [11] introduced and studied the concept of US-spaces. Levine [8] introduced the concept of generalized closed sets of a topological space. Since the advent of these notions, several research papers with interesting results in different respects came to existence (see, [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [9]). This paper is devoted to deal with the concepts of $g\pi$ -US spaces, $g\pi$ -convergence, sequentially $G\pi O$ -compactness, sequentially $g\pi$ -continuity and sequentially $g\pi$ -sub-continuity.

Throughout the present paper (X, τ) and (Y, σ) (or simply X and Y) denote topological spaces. Let A be a subset of X . We denote the interior and the closure of a set A by $\text{Int}(A)$ and $\text{Cl}(A)$, respectively. A subset A is said to be regular open (resp. regular closed) if $A = \text{int}(\text{cl}(A))$ (resp. $A = \text{cl}(\text{int}(A))$). The finite union of regular open sets is said to be π -open. The complement of a π -open set is said to be π -closed. $A \subset X$ is called a generalized closed set (briefly g -closed set) of X [8] if $\text{Cl}(A) \subset G$ holds whenever $A \subset G$ and G is open in X . A subset A of X is called a g -open set of X , if its complement A^c is g -closed in X . $A \subset X$ is called a $g\pi$ -closed set of X [10] if $\pi\text{Cl}(A) \subset G$ holds whenever $A \subset G$ and G is open in X . A subset A of X is called a $g\pi$ -open set of X , if its complement A^c is $g\pi$ -closed in X .

A space X is $G O$ compact if every g -open cover of X has a finite subcover. A subset A of a space X is said to be GO -compact if A is GO -compact as a subspace of X . The product space of two non-empty spaces is GO -compact, if each factor space is GO compact [1]. If A is g -open in X and B is g -open in Y , then $A \times B$ is g -open in $X \times Y$ [8]. A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be g -continuous (resp. $g\pi$ continuous) [1] ([10]) if the inverse image of every closed set in Y is g -closed (resp. $g\pi$ -closed) in X .

2. $g\pi$ -US spaces:

Definition: 1 A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a space X $g\pi$ -converges to a point $x \in X$ if $\{x_n\}$ is eventually in every $g\pi$ -open set containing x .

Definition: 2 A space X is said to be $g\pi$ -US if every sequence in X $g\pi$ -converges to a point of X .

Definition: 3 A space X is said to be:

(1) $g\pi$ - T_1 if for each pair of distinct points x and y in X there exist a $g\pi$ -open set U in X such that $x \in U$ and $y \notin U$ and a $g\pi$ -open set V in X such that $y \in V$ and $x \notin V$.

(2) $g\pi$ - T_2 if for each pair of distinct points x and y in X there exist $g\pi$ -open sets U and V such that $U \cap V = \emptyset$ and $x \in U$, $y \in V$.

***Corresponding author: S. Saranya*, *E-mail: saranya.subbaiyan@gmail.com**

Theorem: 2.1 Every $g\pi$ -US space is $g\pi$ - T_1 .

Proof: Let X be a $g\pi$ -US space and x, y be two distinct points of X . Consider the sequence $\{x_n\}$, where $x_n = x$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly, $\{x_n\}$ $g\pi$ converges to x . Since $x \neq y$ and X is $g\pi$ -US, $\{x_n\}$ does not $g\pi$ -converge to y , i.e., there exists a $g\pi$ -open set U containing x but not y . Similarly, we obtain a $g\pi$ -open set V containing y but not x . Thus, X is $g\pi$ - T_1 .

Theorem: 2.2 Every $g\pi$ - T_2 space is $g\pi$ -US.

Proof: Let X be a $g\pi$ - T_2 space and $\{x_n\}$ a sequence in X . Assume that $\{x_n\}$ $g\pi$ -converges to two distinct points x and y . Then $\{x_n\}$ is eventually in every $g\pi$ -open set containing x and also in every $g\pi$ -open set containing y . Since X is $g\pi$ - T_2 then $\{x_n\}$ is eventually in two disjoint $g\pi$ -open sets. This is a contradiction. Therefore, X is $g\pi$ -US.

Definition: 4 A subset A of a space X is said to be:

- (1) Sequentially $g\pi$ -closed if every sequence in A $g\pi$ -converges to a point in A ,
- (2) Sequentially $G\pi$ O-compact if every sequence in A has a subsequence which $g\pi$ converges to a point in A .

Theorem: 2.3 A space is $g\pi$ -US if and only if the diagonal set Δ is a sequentially $g\pi$ -closed subset of the product space $X \times X$.

Proof: Suppose that X is a $g\pi$ -US space and $\{(x_n, x_n)\}$ is a sequence in the diagonal Δ . It follows that $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X . Since X is $g\pi$ -US, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ $g\pi$ -converges to a unique point, say $x \in X$. This implies that the sequence $\{(x_n, x_n)\}$ $g\pi$ -converges to (x, x) which clearly belongs to Δ . Therefore, Δ is a sequentially $g\pi$ -closed subset of $X \times X$.

Conversely, suppose that the diagonal Δ is a sequentially $g\pi$ -closed subset of $X \times X$. Assume that a sequence $\{x_n\}$ is $g\pi$ -converging to x and y . Then it follows that $\{(x_n, x_n)\}$ $g\pi$ -converges to (x, y) . By hypothesis, since Δ is sequentially $g\pi$ -closed, we have $(x, y) \in \Delta$. Thus, $x = y$. Therefore, X is $g\pi$ -US.

Theorem: 2.4 If a space X is $g\pi$ -US and a subset M of X is sequentially $G\pi$ O compact, then M is sequentially $g\pi$ -closed.

Proof: Assume that $\{x_n\}$ is any sequence in M which $g\pi$ -converges to a point $x \in X$. Since M is sequentially $G\pi$ O-compact, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $\{x_{n_k}\}$ $g\pi$ -converges to $m \in M$. Since X is $g\pi$ -US, we have $x = m$. This shows that M is sequentially $g\pi$ -closed.

Theorem: 2.5 The product space of an arbitrary family of $g\pi$ -US topological spaces is a $g\pi$ -US topological space.

Proof: Let $\{X_\lambda: \lambda \in \Delta\}$ be a family of $g\pi$ -US topological spaces with the index set Δ . The product space of $\{X_\lambda: \lambda \in \Delta\}$ is denoted by $\prod X_\lambda$. Let $\{x_n(\lambda)\}$ be a sequence in $\prod X_\lambda$. Suppose that $\{x_n(\lambda)\}$ $g\pi$ -converges to two distinct points x and y in $\prod X_\lambda$. Then there exists a $\lambda_0 \in \Delta$ such that $x(\lambda_0) \neq y(\lambda_0)$. Then $\{x_n(\lambda_0)\}$ is a sequence in X_{λ_0} . Let V_{λ_0} be any $g\pi$ -open set in X_{λ_0} containing $x(\lambda_0)$. Then $V = V_{\lambda_0} \times \prod_{\lambda \neq \lambda_0} X_\lambda$ is a $g\pi$ -open set of $\prod X_\lambda$ containing x . Therefore, $\{x_n(\lambda)\}$ is eventually in V . Thus, $\{x_n(\lambda_0)\}$ is eventually in V_{λ_0} and it $g\pi$ -converges to $x(\lambda_0)$. Similarly, the sequence $\{x_n(\lambda_0)\}$ $g\pi$ -converges to $y(\lambda_0)$. This is a contradiction as X_{λ_0} is a $g\pi$ -US space. Therefore, the product space $\prod X_\lambda$ is $g\pi$ -US.

3. Sequentially $G\pi$ O-compact preserving functions:

Definition: 5 A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be:

- (1) Sequentially $g\pi$ -continuous at $x \in X$ if the sequence $\{f(x_n)\}$ $g\pi$ -converges to $f(x)$ whenever a sequence $\{x_n\}$ $g\pi$ -converges to x . If f is sequentially $g\pi$ -continuous at each $x \in X$, then it is said to be sequentially $g\pi$ -continuous.
- (2) Sequentially nearly $g\pi$ -continuous, if for each sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X that $g\pi$ -converges to $x \in X$, there exists subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that the sequence $\{f(x_{n_k})\}$ $g\pi$ -converges to $\{f(x)\}$.
- (3) Sequentially sub $g\pi$ -continuous if for each point $x \in X$ and each sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X $g\pi$ -converging to x , there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ and a point $y \in Y$ such that the sequence $\{f(x_{n_k})\}$ $g\pi$ -converge to y .
- (4) Sequentially $G\pi$ O-compact preserving if the image $f(M)$ of every sequentially $G\pi$ O-compact set M of X is a sequentially $G\pi$ O-compact subset of Y .

Theorem: 3.1 Let $f_1: X \rightarrow Y$ and $f_2: X \rightarrow Y$ be two sequentially $g\pi$ -continuous functions. If Y is $g\pi$ -US, then the set $E = \{x \in X: f_1(x) = f_2(x)\}$ is sequentially $g\pi$ -closed.

Proof: Suppose that Y is $g\pi$ -US and $\{x_n\}$ is any sequence in E that f_1 -converges to $x \in X$. Since f_1 and f_2 are sequentially $g\pi$ -continuous functions, the sequence $\{f_1(x_n)\}$ (respectively, $\{f_2(x_n)\}$) converges to $f_1(x)$ respectively, $f_2(x)$. Since $x_n \in E$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and Y is $g\pi$ -US, $f_1(x) = f_2(x)$ and hence $x \in E$. This shows that E is sequentially $g\pi$ -closed.

Lemma: 3.2 Every function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is sequentially sub- $g\pi$ -continuous if Y is sequentially $G\pi O$ -compact.

Proof: Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X that $g\pi$ converges to $x \in X$. It follows that $\{f(x_n)\}$ is a sequence in Y . Since Y is sequentially $G\pi O$ compact, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ that $g\pi$ -converges to a point $y \in Y$.

Therefore, $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is sequentially sub- $g\pi$ -continuous.

Theorem 3.3 Every sequentially nearly $g\pi$ -continuous function is sequentially $G\pi O$ -compact preserving.

Proof: Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a sequentially nearly $g\pi$ -continuous function and M be any sequentially $G\pi O$ -compact subset of X . We will show that $f(M)$ is a sequentially $G\pi O$ -compact subset of Y . So, assume that $\{y_n\}$ is any sequence in $f(M)$. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a point $x_n \in M$ such that $f(x_n) = y_n$. Now M is sequentially $G\pi O$ -compact, so there exist a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ that $g\pi$ -converges to a point $x \in M$. Since f is sequentially nearly $g\pi$ -continuous, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_k(i)}\}$ of $\{x_{n_k}\}$ such that $\{f(x_{n_k(i)})\}$ $g\pi$ -converges to $f(x)$. Therefore, there exists a subsequence $\{y_{n_k(i)}\}$ of $\{y_n\}$ that $g\pi$ -converges to $f(x)$. This implies that $f(M)$ is a sequentially $G\pi O$ -compact set of Y .

Theorem: 3.4 Every sequentially $G\pi O$ -compact preserving function is sequentially sub- $g\pi$ -continuous.

Proof: Suppose that $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a sequentially $G\pi O$ -compact preserving function. Let x be any point of X and $\{x_n\}$ a sequence that $g\pi$ converges to x . We denote the set $\{x_n: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ by A and put $M = A \cup \{x\}$. Since $\{x_n\}$ $g\pi$ -converges to x , M is sequentially $G\pi O$ -compact. By hypothesis, f is sequentially $G\pi O$ -compact preserving and hence $f(M)$ is a sequentially $G\pi O$ -compact subset of Y . Now in $f(M)$ there exists a subsequence $\{f(x_{n_k})\}$ of $\{f(x_n)\}$ that $g\pi$ -converges to a point $y \in f(M)$. This implies that f sequentially sub- $g\pi$ -continuous.

Theorem 3.5 A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is sequentially $G\pi O$ -compact preserving if and only if $f|_M: M \rightarrow f(M)$ is sequentially sub $g\pi$ -continuous for each sequentially $G\pi O$ -compact set M of X .

Proof: Necessity: suppose that $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a sequentially $G\pi O$ -compact preserving function. Then $f(M)$ is sequentially $G\pi O$ -compact in Y for each sequentially $G\pi O$ -compact subset M of X . Therefore, by Theorem 3.4 $f|_M: M \rightarrow f(M)$ is sequentially sub- $g\pi$ -continuous.

Sufficiency: Let M be any sequentially $G\pi O$ -compact set of X . We will show that $f(M)$ is sequentially $G\pi O$ -compact subset of Y . Let $\{y_n\}$ be any sequence in $f(M)$. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a point $x_n \in M$ such that $f(x_n) = y_n$.

Since $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in the sequentially $G\pi O$ -compact set M there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ that $g\pi$ -converges to a point in M . By hypothesis $f|_M: M \rightarrow f(M)$ is sequentially sub- $g\pi$ -continuous hence there exists a subsequence $\{y_{n_k}\}$ of $\{y_n\}$ that $g\pi$ -converges to $y \in f(M)$. This implies that $f(M)$ is sequentially $G\pi O$ -compact in Y .

Corollary: 3.6 If a function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is sequentially sub- $g\pi$ -continuous and $f(M)$ is sequentially $g\pi$ -closed in Y for each sequentially $G\pi O$ -compact set M of X , then f is sequentially $G\pi O$ -compact preserving.

Proof: It will suffice to show that f is sequentially sub- $g\pi$ -continuous for each sequentially $G\pi O$ -compact set M of X , and by Lemma 3.2 we are done. So, let $\{x_n\}$ be any sequence in M that $g\pi$ -converges to a point $x \in M$. Then, since f is sequentially sub- $g\pi$ -continuous there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ and a point $y \in Y$ such that $\{f(x_{n_k})\}$ $g\pi$ converges to y .

Since $\{f(x_{n_k})\}$ is a sequence in the sequentially $g\pi$ -closed set $f(M)$ of Y , we obtain $y \in f(M)$. This implies that $f|_M: M \rightarrow f(M)$ is sequentially sub- $g\pi$ -continuous.

REFERENCES:

[1] K. Balachandran, P. Sundaram and H. Maki, On generalized continuous maps in topological spaces, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ (Math.), 12 (1991), 5-13.

- [2] M. Caldas, On g -closed sets and g -continuous mappings, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, 33(1993), 205-209.
- [3] M. Caldas, Further results on generalized open mappings in topological spaces, *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.* 88(1996), 37-42.
- [4] W. Dunham and N. Levine, Further results on generalized closed sets in topology, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, 20(1980), 169-175.
- [5] W. Dunham, $1/2$ T -spaces, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, 17(1977), 161-169.
- [6] W. Dunham, A new closure operator for non- 1 T topologies, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, 22(1982), 55-60.
- [7] J. Dontchev and T. Noiri. Quasi-normal spaces and $g\pi$ -closed sets, *Acta Math Hungar.*, 89 (2000) 211-219.
- [8] N. Levine, Generalized closed sets in topology, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2)*, 19(1970), 89-96.
- [9] S. R. Malghan, Generalized closed sets maps, *J. Karnatak Univ. Sci.*, 27(1982), 82-88.
- [10] S. Saranya, A.Parvathi, $G\pi$ -closed sets in topological spaces (Submitted).
- [11] A. Wilanski, Between 1 T and 2 T, *Amer. Math. Monthly Vol.* (1967), 261-266.
