Echoes of the Unseen: Otherness in *Girl, Woman, Other*

By Bernardine Evaristo

Sivayazhini K. S

(23PEN020)

A Thesis Submitted

In Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements for the

Master's Degree in English

Department of English

Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and

Higher Education for Women,

Coimbatore -641043.

MAY 2025

DECLARATION

I do hereby declare that the dissertation entitled **Echoes of The Unseen: Otherness in *Girl, Woman, Other* by Bernardine Evaristo** submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of **Master of Arts (M.A.,)** is carried out by me **SIVAYAZHINI K.S** during the period from **JANUARY 2025 - MAY 2025** under the guidance of **Mrs. C. KAVITHA,** Assistant Professor, Department of English, Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education For Women (SF), Coimbatore, and, has not formed the basis for the award of any Degree, Diploma, Associateship, Fellowship or similar Titles in this University or any other University or other similar Institutions of Higher Learning.

Signature of the Candidate

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the dissertation entitled **Echoes of The Unseen: Otherness in *Girl, Woman, Other* by Bernardine Evaristo** submitted to in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of **Master of Arts (M.A.,)** is carried out by **SIVAYAZHINI K.S** during the period from **JANUARY 2025 - MAY- 2025** under the guidance of **Mrs. C. KAVITHA** Assistant Professor, Department of English, Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education For Women (SF), Coimbatore, and has not formed the basis for the award of any Degree, Diploma, Associateship, Fellowship, or similar Titles in this University or any other University or other similar Institutions of Higher Learning.

Signature of the **Signature of the**

Supervisor with Designation

Head of the Department

Signature of the Director

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The researcher thanks the Lord Almighty for the abundant blessings showered on her during the study period.

The researcher expresses her gratitude to Dr. T.S.K. Meenakshisundaram revered Chancellor,of Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women, for his good wishes towards the successful completion of the study.

The researcher expresses her gratitude to Dr. V Bharathi Harishankar revered Vice-Chancellor of Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women for all her good wishes towards the successful completion of the study.

The researcher would like to acknowledge her heartfelt thanks to Dr. H. Indhu Registrar (i/c), Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women, for extending full support for the successful completion of the study.

The researcher would like to express her gratitude to Dr. Shobhana Kokkadan Dean, School of Arts and Social Sciences, Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women, for her encouragement throughout the study.

The researcher would like to express her gratitude to Dr. S. Raja, Director, Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women (SF), Coimbatore, for his constant support for the completion of the study.

The researcher would like to express her gratitude to Dr. V. Savitha Assistant Director, Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women (SF), Coimbatore, for her constant support for the completion of the study.

The researcher would like to express her gratitude to Dr. A. Vijayarani, Assistant Professor and Head of the Department of English, Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women, Coimbatore, for her help in the conduct of the study.

The researcher would like to express her gratitude to Dr. S. Devashanthi , Assistant Professor and Head in charge Department of English, Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women, Coimbatore, for her help in the conduct of the study.

The researcher feels highly elated in expressing her glowing sense of gratitude to her guide, Mrs. C. Kavitha, Department of English, Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women, for her meticulous guidance, deep concern, constructive suggestions, continued motivation, and sincere help and for her constant support to complete the study.

The researcher takes this opportunity to extend her thanks to all the staff members of the Department of English for their support.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER No.	CHAPTER TITLES	PAGE NO
I	INTRODUCTION	1
II	INTERSECTIONALITY THEORY	16
III	A CHORUS OF HERSTORIES: STRUCTURE AND VOICE	36
IV	CONCLUSION	71
V	WORK CITED	85

ABSTRACT

This thesis, Echoes of the Unseen: Otherness in *Girl, Woman, Other*, explores the intricate representations of otherness within Bernardine Evaristo's Booker Prize-winning novel *Girl, Woman, Other*. Through a multi-layered analysis grounded in intersectionality theory, the research interrogates how the novel centers the lives of Black British women and gender-nonconforming individuals who have historically been marginalized in literary and societal narratives. The study examines how Evaristo's innovative polyphonic structure and fluid narrative voice amplify silenced identities and articulate the nuances of race, gender, class, sexuality, and generational conflict. By dissecting the personal histories and cultural experiences of the novel's twelve protagonists, this thesis reveals how otherness operates not only as an imposed social condition but also as a source of resilience and redefinition. The work contributes to contemporary literary discourse by foregrounding the importance of representation, voice, and interconnectedness in narratives that challenge hegemonic norms and redefine the boundaries of belonging.

In exploring the novel's form, this research highlights how Evaristo's choice to eschew traditional punctuation and embrace fluid syntax serves as a metaphorical extension of the characters' fragmented yet interconnected realities. Ultimately, this thesis argues that Evaristo's *Girl, Woman, Other* exemplifies a radical reimagining of the literary canon by centering those voices that have been relegated to its periphery. In bearing witness to the "unseen" and giving form to the "echoes" of those left unheard, the novel insists on the necessity of inclusive

storytelling. It is through this insistent multiplicity that Evaristo dismantles normative structures of identity and belonging and affirms that otherness, far from being a condition of isolation, can be a site of connection, creativity, and resistance. In amplifying the voices of those on the margins, *Girl, Woman, Other* becomes not only a narrative of visibility but also an act of cultural reparation, reclaiming space for stories long silenced.

This thesis is organized into five chapters.

Chapter 1 provides an introduction to Bernardine Evaristo's *Girl, Woman, Other*.

Chapter 2 discusses the theoretical framework of intersectionality as it applies to the novel.

Chapter 3 offers a detailed intersectional analysis of *Girl, Woman, Other*, focusing on character development and narrative techniques.

Chapter 4 presents the conclusion, summarizing the key findings of the study.

Chapter 5 provides the list of works cited.

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Literature speaks the language of the imagination, and the study of literature is supposed to train and improve the imagination.

- Northrop Frye

Literature is a broad and diverse field encompassing written, spoken, and visual works expressing ideas, emotions, and cultural values. It includes various genres such as poetry, drama, fiction, and non-fiction, each serving as a means of storytelling, critique, or artistic expression. Literature reflects society, capturing historical events, personal experiences, and philosophical debates, allowing readers to engage with different perspectives. It also plays a crucial role in shaping identities, challenging norms, and fostering empathy. Across time and cultures, literature has evolved, yet its core purpose remains the same: to explore the human condition and communicate meaning beyond the limits of time and place.

Throughout history, literature has been a mirror of society, reflecting its triumphs, struggles, and transformations. From ancient epics like *The Iliad and The Mahabharata* to contemporary novels that explore issues of identity, race, gender, and power, literature has remained a powerful tool for social commentary and personal expression. It transcends boundaries of time and place, connecting readers across cultures and generations through shared experiences and universal themes. Literary works entertain, educate, challenge perceptions, and inspire change by shedding light on marginalized voices and untold stories. As technology advances, literature continues to evolve,

embracing new forms such as digital storytelling and interactive narratives, yet its fundamental role in shaping human thought and culture remains steadfast.

British literature spans centuries and encompasses various styles, themes, and cultural influences. It began with early works like *Beowulf,* an Old English epic poem, and evolved through the medieval period with Geoffrey Chaucer's *The Canterbury Tales*. The Renaissance brought significant developments, including William Shakespeare's plays and sonnets, which continue to shape global literature. The 17th and 18th centuries saw the rise of poets like John Milton and Alexander Pope, along with the emergence of the novel as a literary form, with authors such as Daniel Defoe and Samuel Richardson leading the way.

The 19th century, often called the Golden Age of the novel, witnessed the works of Jane Austen, Charles Dickens, the Brontë sisters, and Thomas Hardy, who explored themes of class, gender, and industrialisation. The Victorian era also saw the rise of Gothic literature with Mary Shelley's Frankenstein and Bram Stoker's Dracula. In their works, poets like Alfred Lord Tennyson and Robert Browning reflected the era's concerns. The turn of the 20th century brought modernist experimentation, with writers such as Virginia Woolf, James Joyce, and T.S. Eliot breaking traditional literary conventions and exploring themes of identity, time, and consciousness.

Contemporary British literature continues to evolve, embracing postmodernism, multicultural narratives, and diverse voices. Writers like Salman Rushdie, Zadie Smith, and Bernardine Evaristo explore themes of immigration, race, and identity in a rapidly changing Britain. The Booker Prize and other literary awards highlight the ongoing richness of British fiction, poetry, and drama. British literature remains a global force,

with its deep historical roots and innovative storytelling influencing writers and readers worldwide.

English literature is one of the most influential literary traditions in the world, encompassing a vast range of works from different historical periods and cultural movements. It traces its roots to early medieval texts such as *Beowulf*, a heroic epic that reflects the values of the Anglo-Saxon era. The Middle Ages saw the emergence of Geoffrey Chaucer's *The Canterbury Tales*, which captured the complexities of medieval society through vivid storytelling. The Renaissance brought a flourishing literary creativity, with William Shakespeare revolutionising drama and poetry through works like *Hamlet, Macbeth, and Romeo and Juliet*. This period also saw the contributions of poets such as John Milton, whose epic Paradise Lost explored themes of faith, free will, and human nature.

The 18th and 19th centuries marked the rise of the novel as a dominant literary form, with authors such as Jane Austen, Charles Dickens, and the Brontë sisters shaping the genre through their explorations of society, morality, and human relationships. The Romantic movement, led by poets like William Wordsworth and Samuel Taylor Coleridge, emphasised emotion, nature, and individualism, while the Victorian era brought a focus on realism and social critique. As the 20th century unfolded, literature became more experimental and diverse, with modernist writers like Virginia Woolf, James Joyce, and T.S. Eliot challenging traditional structures and exploring themes of fragmentation, alienation, and psychological depth.

English literature continues to expand in contemporary times, incorporating voices from different backgrounds and reflecting global perspectives. Postcolonial literature, represented by authors such as Chinua Achebe, Salman Rushdie, and Zadie Smith,

explores themes of identity, migration, and the legacies of empire. Feminist and intersectional perspectives have also gained prominence, challenging traditional narratives and offering new insights into gender, race, and power dynamics. With the rise of digital media and new storytelling forms, English literature continues to evolve, demonstrating its ability to adapt to changing times while remaining a powerful means of understanding the human experience.

In the grand chronicle of human expression, fiction is a timeless testament to our capacity for imagination and storytelling. From the distant echoes of oral traditions to the emergence of the novel in the 18th century, the evolution of fiction mirrors the evolution of humanity itself, offering a window into our collective dreams, fears, and aspirations. From ancient myths and legends to philosophical treatises and religious texts, the foundations of fiction are deeply rooted in the cultural heritage of civilisations long gone. The journey of fiction is not merely a linear progression but a labyrinthine maze of interwoven narratives, each layer adding depth and complexity to the overarching tapestry of human experience. From the epics of Homer and Virgil to the allegories of Dante and Chaucer, from the picaresque adventures of Don Quixote to the satirical wit of Jonathan Swift, fiction has evolved in response to the shifting tides of history, reflecting the social, political, and cultural currents of its time.

Fiction evolved as society advanced, examining complex subjects and reflecting shifting societal norms. These days, it may be found in various media, such as books, movies, and digital content, and it can be used to learn about human nature and for amusement.

Female authors have significantly influenced the development of fiction. The term Women's Fiction is generally aligned with women's writing and is supposed to address

issues related to women. It touches upon women's hopes and fears, aspirations and fantasies. Another interpretation marks this kind of fiction with women as the target audience. While Jane Austen's books, including *Pride and Prejudice*, examined issues of love, marriage, and social class, Emily Brontë's *Wuthering Heights* and Charlotte Brontë's *Jane Eyre* gave fiction a profoundly emotional depth. In the 20th century, Toni Morrison's Beloved and Virginia Woolf's (*Mrs. Dalloway)* investigated issues of race, identity, and feminism while questioning literary norms. Modern female authors like Bernardine Evaristo's *Girl, Woman, Other*, Margaret Atwood's *The Handmaid's Tale*, and Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie's *Half of a Yellow Sun* keep pushing the limits of fiction and contributing to literature around the world.

The history of women's fiction is lengthy and intricate, influenced by literary traditions, social conventions, and the changing status of women in society. Women preserved myths, folktales, and poetry in old oral traditions, where women's fiction started. In many countries, women were expected to concentrate on household duties, and as a result, their literary contributions were either disregarded or published under pseudonyms.

Despite these obstacles, women persisted in crafting narratives that mirrored their experiences, reality, and goals. Their writings eventually established the groundwork for a literary subgenre to develop into a significant literary force. Religious writings, private journals, and letters were the primary sources of women's fiction during the medieval and early modern eras. Since intellectual endeavours were considered unsuitable for women, social criticism of fiction writers was common. Nonetheless, a few women discovered methods to express themselves through moral stories, allegories,

and poetry. As printing technology improved and literacy rates rose in the 17th and 18th centuries, more women started writing fiction, albeit anonymously or under pseudonyms.

Women were allowed to share their stories through the novel, which was becoming a popular literary medium. They also quietly questioned gender expectations and promoted the complexity of women's intellect and emotions. Women's literature had become more well-known by the 19th century when female writers started publishing under their own names and attracted a large following. Novels that examined women's social and emotional lives and tackled topics like gender injustice, class conflicts, and individual independence became increasingly popular. In addition to providing readers with entertainment, women writers offered perceptive criticism of the patriarchal systems that dominated society. Women's fiction began to address political and philosophical issues in addition to personal ones as industrialization and social reform movements advanced.

Women's fiction saw a dramatic change in the 20th century as new literary themes and forms were introduced by modernism and postmodernism. To capture the complexity of identity and experience, women writers started experimenting with fragmented storytelling, stream-of-consciousness techniques, and narrative structures. Women's literature has expanded to cover ethnicity, sexuality, mental health, and existential challenges.

Women's fiction was further transformed by the emergence of feminist writing in the middle of the 20th century, when writers used their works to examine various conceptions of womanhood and draw attention to structural oppression. In addition to middle-class white women, women of colour, LGBTQ+ women, and working-class women were among the marginalized voices that were represented in women's fiction

during this period. Women's fiction in modern literature is still developing and includes a variety of genres, including historical novels, autobiographies, speculative fiction, and literary fiction. These days, women's fiction tackles issues of identity, migration, parenting, job challenges, and social justice, reflecting the complexity of contemporary life.

Post-independence English literature refers to the works produced in former British colonies after gaining independence. This literature reflects newly independent nations' social, political, and cultural transitions, often addressing themes of identity, nationalism, decolonization, and the lingering effects of colonial rule. Writers in this period sought to reclaim indigenous histories, challenge colonial narratives, and express the complexities of postcolonial societies. Many works highlight cultural hybridity, language, displacement, and the struggle for self-definition in a world shaped by colonial influence. Prominent authors like Chinua Achebe, Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o, Salman Rushdie, and Derek Walcott played a crucial role in shaping post-independence literature by using innovative storytelling techniques and incorporating local traditions into English writing. These works redefined national identities and contributed to global literary discourse, showcasing diverse voices and perspectives from the postcolonial world.

Post-independence English literature has been shaped by several landmark events that influenced its global recognition and themes. One of the earliest milestones was the publication of Chinua Achebe’s *Things Fall Apart* (1958), which redefined African literature by presenting an indigenous perspective on colonialism and its aftermath. In 1977, Kenyan writer Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o boldly abandoned English in favour of his

native Gikuyu, symbolizing the movement to decolonize literature. The global influence of post-independence literature was further cemented when Salman Rushdie's *Midnight's Children* (1981) won the Booker Prize, bringing worldwide attention to Indian literature. Another significant event was Derek Walcott's Nobel Prize win in 1992, which highlighted the rich literary traditions of the Caribbean. The recognition of postcolonial female voices gained momentum with Arundhati Roy's *The God of Small Things* winning the Booker Prize in 1997, showcasing India's complex socio-political landscape. In recent years, the rise of African women writers, such as Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie, has further diversified post-independence literature, ensuring its continued evolution and impact on global literary discourse.

Fusion Fiction is a hybrid 'disruptive' style that pushes prose towards free verse, allowing direct and indirect speech to bleed into each other and sentences to run on without full stops. Some scholars and writers associate it with postmodern and contemporary literary movements that challenge traditional boundaries. Bernardine Evaristo is an example of this writing style. She defines her style as 'fusion fiction' as a storyteller; I mix things up temporally, spatially and stylistically – to cross the borders of genre, race, culture, gender, history and sexuality.

In *Girl, Woman, Other,* Bernardine Evaristo employs a fusion fiction writing style, blending various literary techniques and genres to create a dynamic, polyphonic narrative.

Evaristo abandons conventional punctuation and capitalization, using a poetic, freeverse style that resembles spoken word or stream of consciousness. Sentences flow seamlessly, breaking traditional syntax and enhancing the narrative's rhythm and

fluidity. The novel interweaves the lives of twelve characters, each with distinct voices and experiences. These voices reflect a fusion of identities—race, gender, sexuality, and class—aligning with the book's intersectional focus. Girl, Woman, Other incorporates elements of historical fiction, contemporary realism, and experimental literature. It also merges fiction with social commentary, presenting personal and political narratives.

The novel mimics oral storytelling techniques, drawing from African, Caribbean, and British literary traditions. Dialogue and narration blend seamlessly, creating a conversational yet poetic tone. Evaristo weaves in references to Black British history, feminist discourse, and queer theory. This fusion of historical and modern elements enriches the narrative's complexity.

Intersectionality theory is a framework for understanding how multiple social identities (such as race, gender, class, sexuality, disability, etc.) intersect to create unique experiences of oppression and privilege. It emphasizes that systems of power—such as racism, sexism, and classism—do not operate independently but are interconnected, shaping individuals' lives in complex ways.

The term intersectionality was developed by Kimberlé Crenshaw, a legal scholar and civil rights advocate, in 1989. She introduced the concept in her paper "Demarginalizing the Intersection of Race and Sex", where she analyzed how Black women experience overlapping forms of discrimination based on both race and gender.

Crenshaw's work was particularly influential in feminist and critical race theory. It emphasized that traditional frameworks often fail to address the complexities of multiple, intersecting social identities (such as race, gender, class, and sexuality). Since then, intersectionality has become a key concept in various academic and activist spaces, including literature like *Girl, Woman, and Other.*

Bernardine Evaristo is a British writer, poet, and academic known for her experimental narrative techniques and exploring themes such as race, gender, identity, and multiculturalism. Born in London in 1959 to a Nigerian father and an English mother, Evaristo has played a crucial role in reshaping contemporary British literature by centring on Black British experiences. Her works frequently challenge conventional forms, amplifying marginalized voices while interrogating historical and social constructs. In addition to her literary contributions, Evaristo is a professor of creative writing at Brunel University London and a prominent advocate for diversity in publishing. Her influence extends beyond fiction, as she actively promotes Black British literature and underrepresented authors. Evaristo's contributions can be analyzed through postcolonial, feminist, and intersectional frameworks, particularly in how she reimagines history, challenges racial and gender norms, and experiments with storytelling techniques. Her famous works include:

Island of Abraham - Poetry Collection – 1994, Lara - Novel (Verse Novel) -1997, The Emperor's Babe -Verse Novel -2001, Girl, Woman, Other -Novel - 2019

Over the years, Evaristo has become one of the most celebrated voices in literature. She combines poetry, fiction, and experimental storytelling to give voice to marginalized communities. Her work challenges traditional literary structures, frequently using non-linear narratives, multiple perspectives, and poetic prose to capture the complexities of contemporary life. Throughout her multi-decade creative career, Evaristo has produced plays, novels, essays, and poetry that push the limits of fiction and question social conventions.

Bernardine Evaristo is a prolific and innovative writer whose works have redefined contemporary literature. Through her novels, poetry, and plays, she has consistently

explored themes of identity, race, gender, and history, often using unconventional storytelling techniques. Her writing challenges traditional literary structures, blending prose with poetry, history with imagination, and reality with satire. Evaristo's most famous works, including *Lara*, *The Emperor's Babe, Blonde Roots, Mr. Loverman, and Girl, Woman, Other*, showcase her ability to reimagine historical narratives, amplify marginalized voices, and push the boundaries of fiction. These books have earned her widespread acclaim and cemented her legacy as one of our time's most important literary voices.

Evaristo has also contributed to poetry, plays, and essays expanding on themes of identity and social justice. Her literary career is defined by a commitment to amplifying underrepresented voices and challenging conventional narratives. Evaristo's ability to blend humour, history, and social commentary makes her a unique and vital figure in contemporary literature. Through her groundbreaking novels, she has reshaped British fiction and opened doors for future generations of writers from diverse backgrounds.

Bernardine Evaristo's literary legacy is one of innovation, bold storytelling, and an unflinching commitment to representation. Her works challenge historical erasure, redefine genre conventions, and give voice to those often overlooked in literature. She continues to write and advocate for diversity in publishing, but her impact on the literary world remains profound. Whether reimagining the past, satirizing history, or capturing life, Evaristo's storytelling remains a powerful force in modern fiction, making her one of the most important writers of our time.

Several contemporary writers have emerged alongside Bernardine Evaristo, contributing to literature through diverse perspectives, experimental storytelling, and

themes of identity, race, gender, and social justice. These writers, like Evaristo, challenge traditional narratives and offer fresh insights into contemporary life.

One such writer is Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie, a Nigerian author known for her works exploring post-colonialism, feminism, and race. Her novels, such as *Half of a Yellow Sun and Americanah,* delve into the complexities of migration, identity, and systemic racism, similar to Evaristo's focus on Black British experiences. Adichie's work is intensely political yet personal, resonating with global audiences. Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie remained one of the most influential contemporary writers, particularly in discussions on race, gender, and postcolonial identity. Adichie, a Nigerian writer based in the U.S., had already established herself as a literary powerhouse with novels like *Half of a Yellow Sun* (2006) and *Americanah* (2013), the latter of which became a defining work on African migration, Black identity, and cultural hybridity. Though she had not published a new novel in the immediate years surrounding Evaristo's win, her impact on global literature and feminist discourse remained profound.

Another significant contemporary writer is Zadie Smith, a British novelist whose works, including *White Teeth and On Beauty*, explore multiculturalism, generational conflict, and the intersections of race and class. Like Evaristo, Smith captures the complexities of modern British identity, often using humour and multiple perspectives to depict the lives of immigrants and their descendants in the UK. Zadie Smith remained one of the most prominent and influential contemporary British writers. Smith, who first gained literary fame with her debut novel *White Teeth* (2000), was already a leading voice in British literature, known for her sharp analysis of race, identity, class, and multiculturalism. Her works often explore the complexities of contemporary society, particularly within a British context, making her a key figure alongside Evaristo in shaping the literary landscape of the late 2010s and early 2020s.

Smith and Evaristo share overlapping themes in their work, particularly their commitment to portraying the multicultural realities of Britain and the complexities of Black identity. However, while Smith's style often leans toward literary realism with intellectual depth, Evaristo's fusion fiction is more experimental, blending poetic prose, multiple perspectives, and non-traditional structures. Despite these stylistic differences, both authors have significantly influenced contemporary British literature, expanding the representation of Black British voices and redefining the narrative possibilities of modern fiction.

Maggie O'Farrell, an Irish-British author, is known for her deeply introspective and emotionally rich novels. Her work, including *Hamnet and I Am, I Am, I Am*, explores family, loss, and historical fiction themes. Although her style differs from Evaristo's experimental prose, both authors push the boundaries of narrative structure in contemporary literature.

While Evaristo and O'Farrell differ in their approaches—Evaristo's experimental, multi-voiced narratives contrast with O'Farrell's lush, historical storytelling—both writers have significantly shaped contemporary literature by centring voices that have often been neglected. Their success during the same period highlights the broadening scope of literary fiction, where historical and intersectional narratives are gaining recognition and acclaim.

Jesmyn Ward, an American writer, is known for her powerful depictions of Black life in the Southern United States. Her novels, such as *Sing, Unburied, Sing and Salvage the Bones*, explore intergenerational trauma, systemic racism, and resilience—Ward's work,

like Evaristo's, centres on marginalized voices and challenges mainstream literary traditions.

Although Ward and Evaristo write from different cultural perspectives—one rooted in the American South, the other in Black British identity—their works are committed to reclaiming marginalized voices and redefining contemporary literature. Both authors have played crucial roles in expanding the literary canon, ensuring that stories of race, gender, and historical injustice are told with depth, nuance, and literary brilliance. These writers and Bernardine Evaristo represent a dynamic shift in modern literature, where storytelling is increasingly inclusive, experimental, and socially engaged. Their works challenge historical erasure, amplify underrepresented voices, and redefine what fiction can achieve in the 21st century.

Bernardine Evaristo's *Girl, Woman, Other* is a multi-voiced, experimental novel that explores the lives of twelve interconnected characters, primarily Black British women, spanning different generations, backgrounds, and experiences. Winner of the 2019 Booker Prize, the novel is a powerful and richly layered narrative that delves into themes of race, gender, sexuality, class, and identity. Through its unique fusion fiction style—blending poetry and prose without conventional punctuation—Evaristo constructs a fluid and rhythmic tapestry of diverse voices that intersect and overlap, highlighting the complexities of Black British identity and history.

The novel is divided into four sections, each containing three narratives that focus on a different character while revealing their connections to one another. One of the central figures is Amma, a radical lesbian playwright who has spent decades challenging the establishment with her feminist and political theatre. As the novel opens, she prepares for the premiere of her latest play at the National Theatre, symbolizing her shift from the

fringes to mainstream recognition. Amma's daughter, Yazz, represents a younger generation of Black British women navigating privilege, feminism, and identity in a rapidly evolving society.

Other key characters include Dominique, Amma’s former lover who leaves the UK for America to escape an abusive relationship, and Shirley, a conservative schoolteacher who distances herself from her working-class roots and Black heritage in pursuit of social mobility. Carole, one of Shirley’s former students, struggles with past trauma and an estranged relationship with her identity, choosing to assimilate into a predominantly white corporate world. Megan/Morgan, a gender-nonconforming social media influencer, grapples with their nonbinary identity and familial history, while Hattie, a 93-year-old farmer, embodies resilience and the continuity of Black British heritage.

Throughout the novel, Evaristo explores the intersectionality of race, gender, and class, showing how systemic oppression shapes the characters' lives differently. The narrative structure allows for multiple perspectives, emphasizing how personal and historical narratives intertwine. By highlighting experiences across different generations—from the Windrush era to contemporary Britain—Evaristo paints a broad yet intimate picture of Black British life, challenging monolithic representations and celebrating its diversity.

A central theme of the novel is the importance of community and connection. Despite their varied backgrounds, the characters' lives are intricately linked, reinforcing the idea that relationships, history, and shared experiences shape identity. The novel's unconventional form, with its fluid, free-flowing sentences and lack of traditional punctuation, mirrors the interconnectedness of its characters and themes, creating an immersive reading experience.

Ultimately, *Girl, Woman, Other* celebrates Black British womanhood in complexity. It is a novel about self-discovery, resilience, and the ever-evolving nature of identity. By giving voice to a wide range of experiences, Evaristo challenges dominant narratives and expands the literary landscape, making *Girl, Woman, Other* a landmark work in contemporary fiction.

CHAPTER II

INTERSECTIONALITY THEORY

Intersectionality is a foundational concept in contemporary feminist theory, critical race theory, and a wide range of disciplines concerned with social justice and identity. Introduced by Kimberlé Crenshaw in her groundbreaking 1989 essay "Demarginalizing the Intersection of Race and Sex," the term describes how different forms of discrimination—such as racism, sexism, and classism—intersect to create unique modes of oppression and privilege. Crenshaw introduced the metaphor of a traffic intersection to explain how multiple forms of discrimination can collide and amplify each other. For example, a Black woman may face discrimination not solely because of her race or her gender, but from a specific intersection of both. This idea has since expanded into a robust framework for analyzing complex identities and the social structures that shape them.

Though Crenshaw coined the term, intersectionality has deep intellectual roots in Black feminist thought. As far back as the 19th century, Sojourner Truth’s 1851 speech “Ain’t I a Woman?” challenged prevailing notions of womanhood by foregrounding the specific exclusions faced by Black women.

Following the feminist theoretical framework of intersectionality allows for an analysis of the protagonists that considers their intersectional identity markers and their relations to power dynamics and social structures. Since its origin, intersectionality has become a systematic framework to consider multiple dimensions of identity, including race, class, gender(s), age, sexual orientation, disabilities, ethnicities, religions, educational status, and geographical location. As the "very idea of intersectional invokes the idea of relationality", intersections are now not only understood as "independently constituted relations of oppression that, in some circumstances, crisscross each other", but already as inherently relational in its existence.

Thus, intersectional feminist theory provides the means to investigate individual narratives to explore how their lives are determined by social relations and how vulnerabilities and the possibilities of resistance occur within them. Girl, Woman, Other, as a Black feminist writing, inhabits a political resistance by presenting voices that are often marginalized and excluded from popular discourse .Following this demand, the interplay of vulnerability and resistance will be investigated in particular, as the protagonists are accompanied by them due to their marginalized identities and their acts of protests.

According to Butler, all acts of resistance towards social norms evoke a certain vulnerability due to their exposure and falling out of line with social conventions. In addition, Couser has argued that vulnerability can be seen either as an effect of conditions that are existential or emerging out of specific circumstances (e.g. disabilities, extreme youth or age, mental or physical illness). Accepting vulnerability as socially produced and managed, vulnerability becomes the effect of social power; and by viewing it as ontological, pre-social accounts of vulnerability are accepted.

Therefore, Butler urges to understand vulnerability as part of social relations. By doing so, two distinct claims can be made: “(a) a general claim according to which vulnerability ought to be understood as relational and social, and (b) a very specific claim according to which it always appears in the context of specific social and historical relations that call to be analyzed concretely”. Linking now vulnerability to resistance, Butler explains that vulnerability is part of every resistance as “an embodied enactment” and “a deliberate mobilization of bodily exposure”. As a result, she urges to deconstruct the idea of vulnerability and resistance as binary oppositions as an inherent feminist practice. Moreover, vulnerability and resistance should be viewed as interconnected phenomena that often occur in combination with each other and thus, resistance is not the opposite of vulnerability but inherently linked with it, because “first, you resist, and then you are confronted with your vulnerability either in relation to police power or to those who show up to oppose your political stance” (Butler 12Therefore, Butler’s understanding lays the foundation to explore how vulnerability in resistance is made apparent in the intersectional feminist reading of Girl, Woman, Other.

In the 20th century, intersectionality was further elaborated by thinkers such as Audre Lorde, bell hooks, Angela Davis, and the Combahee River Collective. Lorde’s essay “There is No Hierarchy of Oppressions” (1983) argues that racism, sexism, and homophobia are interconnected and cannot be ranked or separated. Lorde insists that we must recognize how systems of oppression reinforce one another. bell hooks, in her seminal work "Ain’t I a Woman: Black Women and Feminism" (1981), critiques both white feminist and Black nationalist movements for their neglect of Black women’s experiences, advocating for a feminism that is inclusive and sensitive to the intersections of race, gender, and class (hooks 15). Angela Davis, in "Women, Race, & Class" (1981), explores how economic, racial, and gender dynamics shaped the

women's suffrage movement and continue to structure social inequalities. The Combahee River Collective's 1977 statement famously declared that "the major systems of oppression are interlocking," emphasizing the need for an intersectional framework grounded in lived experience.

Crenshaw's contribution to legal theory was revolutionary because it exposed the inadequacies of anti-discrimination law in addressing intersectional harm. In legal contexts, plaintiffs were often forced to choose whether they were discriminated against on the basis of race or gender, but not both. Crenshaw highlights cases such as DeGraffenreid v. General Motors (1976), where a group of Black women sued GM for discrimination but were told that their claim could not be considered under both race and gender categories. Crenshaw critiques this "single-axis framework" and urges legal scholars to recognize how overlapping identities necessitate more nuanced legal approaches (Crenshaw 143). Her later work, "Mapping the Margins: Intersectionality, Identity Politics, and Violence Against Women of Color" (1991), expands the framework to cultural and structural dimensions, showing how immigrant women of color experience compounded marginalization in contexts of domestic violence and social services (Crenshaw 1244).

Patricia Hill Collins significantly advanced intersectional theory through her concept of the "matrix of domination" in her influential book "Black Feminist Thought" (1990). Collins argues that oppression operates through interconnected domains: structural, disciplinary, hegemonic, and interpersonal. She emphasizes that knowledge production must include marginalized perspectives, particularly those of Black women, who have historically been excluded from mainstream academia. Collins asserts that lived experience is a crucial source of knowledge and that Black women's

epistemologies challenge dominant paradigms. Her work also highlights how institutions—such as education, the family, and media—reproduce intersecting systems of oppression.

Intersectionality's applications extend beyond the U.S. context, influencing transnational feminist thought. Chandra Talpade Mohanty's "Under Western Eyes: Feminist Scholarship and Colonial Discourses" (1988) critiques how Western feminist scholars often portray Third World women as passive victims, thus imposing a homogenizing lens that ignores cultural specificity and historical context. Mohanty urges for a feminism that is attentive to the intersections of colonialism, capitalism, and patriarchy. Similarly, Nira Yuval-Davis examines how gender intersects with race, ethnicity, and nationalism in her work on citizenship and migration, arguing that feminist theory must be attuned to geopolitical and transnational power dynamics .

In queer theory, intersectionality has prompted scholars to examine how race, class, and gender inform LGBTQ+ identities and politics. Cathy J. Cohen's influential essay "Punks, Bulldaggers, and Welfare Queens" (1997) critiques mainstream queer politics for centering white, middle-class gay identities and calls for a "queer of color" critique that addresses structural inequality. Jasbir Puar's concept of "homonationalism," introduced in "Terrorist Assemblages: Homonationalism in Queer Times" (2007), explores how LGBTQ+ rights are co-opted by nationalist and imperialist projects, marginalizing queer people of color and Muslim communities. These contributions underscore the importance of analyzing sexual identity within broader matrices of power .

Disability justice advocates have also embraced intersectionality to expose how ableism intersects with racism, sexism, and classism. Mia Mingus and Leah Lakshmi PiepznaSamarasinha argue that traditional disability rights movements often prioritize

white, cisgender, male experiences. In "Care Work: Dreaming Disability Justice" (2018), Piepzna-Samarasinha emphasizes collective care and community building, rooted in intersectional understanding. They highlight how accessibility must be redefined to include emotional, cultural, and political dimensions .

Despite its theoretical richness, intersectionality has faced criticism. Some scholars argue that the term has become overused and diluted. Jennifer Nash, in "Black Feminism Reimagined: After Intersectionality" (2019), critiques how intersectionality has been institutionalized in ways that sometimes strip it of its radical potential. Nash calls for a renewed engagement with Black feminist intellectual traditions and cautions against using intersectionality as a mere buzzword. Sirma Bilge similarly critiques the "whitening" of intersectionality in academic and policy contexts, where it is often employed as a tool for diversity management rather than as a critique of structural inequality.

Nevertheless, intersectionality remains an indispensable framework in literary and cultural studies. In Bernardine Evaristo's novel "Girl, Woman, Other" (2019), intersectionality is not just a theme but a narrative structure. The novel's twelve protagonists—mostly Black British women and nonbinary individuals—embody diverse intersections of race, gender, sexuality, class, and age. Amma, a radical lesbian feminist, contrasts with her daughter Yazz, who represents a younger, more neoliberal feminism. Morgan, formerly Megan, navigates nonbinary identity within conservative familial and social structures. These intersecting identities shape their experiences and relationships, illustrating intersectionality in lived form.

Evaristo's narrative technique—eschewing traditional punctuation and adopting a free-flowing prose style—mirrors the fluidity of identity. Characters' stories intersect at key points, emphasizing community, difference, and solidarity. The novel resists essentialism, portraying identity as dynamic and context-dependent. Through characters like Dominique, who experiences domestic violence in a lesbian relationship, and Shirley, a conservative Black schoolteacher, Evaristo shows that intersectionality includes both privilege and complicity within marginalized groups.

Social movements such as Black Lives Matter and Me Too have operationalized intersectionality in activism. Founders Alicia Garza, Patrisse Cullors, and Opal Tometi grounded BLM in a commitment to center queer and trans Black lives. Tarana Burke's Me Too movement initially focused on supporting women of color who had experienced sexual violence. These movements highlight how intersectional frameworks inform praxis by addressing the compounded vulnerabilities of marginalized communities. International organizations and policymakers increasingly invoke intersectionality in addressing human rights, labor, and healthcare issues. The United Nations has referenced intersectionality in documents concerning gender-based violence and social inequality. However, the challenge remains in translating complex theoretical insights into effective policy. Legal scholar Martha Fineman argues that vulnerability theory can complement intersectionality by emphasizing the state's responsibility to address structural inequality.

In methodology, intersectionality necessitates reflexivity, context sensitivity, and attention to power dynamics. It privileges qualitative approaches such as ethnography, narrative inquiry, and participatory action research. Scholars are encouraged to recognize their own positionality and to center marginalized voices. Interdisciplinary collaboration is also essential, as intersectionality draws from sociology, political

science, literature, law, and cultural studies. Ultimately, intersectionality offers both a critique and a vision. It challenges us to rethink the categories through which we understand identity, inequality, and justice. By centering those most affected by interlocking oppressions, intersectionality pushes for more inclusive and transformative frameworks in theory, activism, and policy. Its endurance across disciplines and geographies is a testament to its relevance in understanding and dismantling systemic injustice.

The pedagogical implications of intersectionality are also significant. Educators are increasingly incorporating intersectional perspectives into curriculum design, teaching strategies, and assessment methods. Scholars such as Bettina Love and Gloria LadsonBillings argue for culturally responsive pedagogy that centers students' identities and lived experiences. Love's concept of "abolitionist teaching" insists on the necessity of dismantling oppressive structures within educational systems and replacing them with practices rooted in justice, equity, and care. By acknowledging how race, gender, class, and ability affect students' engagement and achievement, intersectionality enhances inclusivity and fosters critical thinking.

In the field of healthcare, intersectionality is being used to analyze health disparities and inform public health strategies. For example, research by scholars such as Lisa Bowleg emphasizes that interventions targeting HIV prevention must consider how Black women's risk is shaped by racism, economic disadvantage, and gendered power dynamics. Bowleg criticizes the "additive" model of public health, which treats social determinants as separate rather than interactive, and promotes a "multiplicative" framework more consistent with intersectionality. This has led to calls for intersectionality-informed health policies that center the voices and needs of marginalized populations.

In psychology and mental health, intersectionality is shaping how clinicians and researchers understand trauma, identity formation, and access to care. There is a growing awareness that traditional models of therapy often universalize white, middleclass norms and ignore how systems of oppression shape mental health. Intersectional psychology advocates for culturally competent and structurally aware practices that address the social determinants of mental health. Therapists are increasingly trained to recognize how a queer, Black woman from a low-income background, for example, might experience and process trauma differently than others. In this context, intersectionality not only informs diagnosis and treatment but also challenges the politics of psychiatric classification and care access.

The realm of environmental justice has also benefited from intersectional analysis. Scholars like Dorceta Taylor have shown that communities of color, particularly women and Indigenous populations, are disproportionately affected by environmental degradation. Intersectionality reveals how systemic racism, economic exploitation, and gender inequality contribute to ecological harm and the unequal distribution of environmental resources. This approach has inspired grassroots movements that connect environmentalism with racial and gender justice, such as Indigenous-led pipeline resistance and Black feminist climate advocacy.

Digital culture and social media have offered new spaces for the articulation and dissemination of intersectional thought. Hashtag activism, exemplified by movements like #SayHerName and #DisabilityTooWhite, has drawn attention to the erasure of marginalized voices within broader discourses. These digital campaigns have been particularly effective in raising awareness about issues facing Black trans women, disabled people of color, and other multiply marginalized groups. Intersectionality, in

these contexts, functions both as a tool of critique and as a catalyst for community building and resistance.

In media representation, intersectionality has become crucial for analyzing character development, narrative structures, and audience reception. Television series such as Pose, Orange Is the New Black, and Sex Education have been praised for featuring diverse casts that explore intersections of race, gender identity, sexual orientation, and socio-economic status. Critics and scholars alike have employed intersectionality to assess how these portrayals challenge or reinforce stereotypes, expand visibility, and promote nuanced understandings of identity.

In the field of media and cultural studies, intersectionality has spurred a new wave of analysis regarding representation and narrative. Contemporary media criticism employs intersectional frameworks to critique the limited and stereotypical portrayals of marginalized identities. Film, television, and literature are being reevaluated not just for racial or gender representation, but for how they portray the nuanced intersection of class, ability, sexuality, and ethnicity. Moreover, independent creators and artists are increasingly drawing on intersectional politics in their work, offering more complex, authentic stories that reflect hybrid and marginalized realities. The emergence of intersectionality in this space allows for a reimagining of media as a site of both oppression and possibility.

In technological and digital studies, intersectionality is emerging as a critical framework to understand the social impacts of innovation. Scholars such as Ruha Benjamin and Safiya Noble have applied intersectionality to examine how algorithmic design and data practices reinforce systemic biases. Concepts like "algorithmic

oppression" and "technological redlining" highlight the real-world consequences of seemingly neutral technologies. Intersectionality here serves as a tool for design justice, encouraging developers and policymakers to consider how race, gender, and class shape who benefits from and who is harmed by digital tools. As artificial intelligence continues to expand, an intersectional approach is vital for building equitable and accountable technologies.

Moreover, intersectionality is increasingly being used as a tool for organizational change in corporate, nonprofit, and academic settings. Diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) initiatives are increasingly informed by intersectional frameworks that recognize how single-axis models fail to capture the experiences of marginalized employees. However, this institutionalization of intersectionality has sparked debate among scholars and activists. While some view it as a necessary step toward structural transformation, others argue that institutional adoption risks diluting the radical, justiceoriented roots of the concept.

As intersectionality continues to evolve, scholars are exploring its intersection with other theoretical paradigms. Critical disability studies, postcolonial theory, affect theory, and indigenous epistemologies offer productive dialogues with intersectionality. For instance, Eve Tuck and K. Wayne Yang's work critiques social justice frameworks' tendency to metaphorize Indigenous pain, arguing instead for decolonisation as a material and political process. Intersectionality, when applied in concert with decolonial perspectives, highlights the specificities of Indigenous experiences without subsuming them under general frameworks of oppression.

Another area of contemporary emergence is within global migration and refugee studies. Intersectional frameworks are increasingly used to understand the layered vulnerabilities of displaced people. Migrants do not experience displacement solely as migrants, but also as racialized, gendered, and economically stratified individuals. For example, the experiences of LGBTQ+ asylum seekers differ sharply from those of heterosexual men due to both structural and cultural discrimination. In humanitarian settings, intersectionality helps expose how aid distribution, asylum processes, and border controls differentially impact migrants, often privileging certain identities while endangering others. This analytical lens challenges the homogenization of migrant experiences and calls for policies that account for intersecting inequalities.

Additionally, the field of climate justice has seen a growing application of intersectionality. Climate change is not experienced uniformly; it disproportionately affects communities that are already marginalized by poverty, race, gender, and geographic displacement. Feminist and decolonial environmentalists use intersectionality to highlight how Indigenous women, for instance, are both at the frontlines of environmental activism and among the most impacted by ecological devastation. Intersectionality here becomes a framework for resistance, allowing activists to articulate environmental crises as deeply embedded within colonial, racial, and gendered systems of power. It reorients climate policy away from technocratic solutions and toward equity-driven environmental governance.

Religious and secular discourses have also incorporated intersectional critiques, particularly in debates around identity, belonging, and civic participation. Intersectionality is now being used to explore how religious minorities, especially Muslim women and queer believers, navigate multiple systems of exclusion. For

example, policies targeting religious dress intersect with gendered stereotypes and racial profiling, producing specific vulnerabilities. Similarly, within progressive religious movements, intersectionality has informed more inclusive theological interpretations and community practices. This emergence disrupts the dichotomy between secular and religious spaces, showing how intersectional identities shape spiritual experiences and institutional access.The future of intersectionality lies in its adaptability and responsiveness to new challenges and contexts. As global crises such as climate change, forced migration, and digital surveillance intensify, intersectional approaches offer nuanced tools for analysis and action. By foregrounding the most vulnerable and centering lived experience, intersectionality maintains its commitment to equity, justice, and dismantling structural oppression.

Ultimately, intersectionality is more than an academic theory; it is a praxis—a way of understanding the world and engaging with it critically and compassionately. In the contemporary academic and sociopolitical landscape, intersectionality has moved from the margins of theoretical discourse to a central analytical framework that informs critical thought, public policy, and grassroots activism. Far from being a static concept, intersectionality today is undergoing a dynamic emergence—expanding in application, methodology, and ideological reach. Its relevance has intensified in response to the global resurgence of right-wing politics, the COVID-19 pandemic, racial justice uprisings, and renewed conversations around gender, sexuality, disability, and climate justice. Intersectionality is no longer confined to feminist legal studies or critical race theory; rather, it has become a transformative paradigm adopted across disciplines and real-world movements.

In academic spaces, the contemporary emergence of intersectionality is marked by its increasing methodological sophistication. Scholars are utilizing intersectionality not simply as a lens but as a research design, integrating it with quantitative, qualitative, and mixed-method approaches. This shift toward methodological intersectionality ensures that the complexities of identity and power are not reduced to theoretical abstractions but are made visible through empirical study. For instance, public health researchers now employ intersectional models to examine how race, gender, class, and migration status jointly shape disparities in healthcare access and health outcomes. Similarly, in data science and AI ethics, intersectionality is being applied to interrogate algorithmic bias and technological discrimination, offering a framework for understanding how digital systems replicate social inequalities. Its influence across disciplines and movements is a testament to its relevance and transformative potential. In exploring intersectionality in the context of Girl, Woman, Other, this thesis not only engages with a rich theoretical lineage but also demonstrates the enduring power of intersectional storytelling to reflect, challenge, and reshape our understanding of identity and justice.

Religious and secular discourses have also incorporated intersectional critiques, particularly in debates around identity, belonging, and civic participation. Intersectionality is now being used to explore how religious minorities, especially Muslim women and queer believers, navigate multiple systems of exclusion. For example, policies targeting religious dress intersect with gendered stereotypes and racial profiling, producing specific vulnerabilities. Similarly, within progressive religious movements, intersectionality has informed more inclusive theological interpretations and community practices. This emergence disrupts the dichotomy between secular and

religious spaces, showing how intersectional Identities shape spiritual experiences and institutional access.

The concept of intersectionality has significantly reshaped literary criticism and production in English literature, with numerous authors integrating this theoretical framework to portray the complex interplay between systems of oppression. Among contemporary novelists, Bernardine Evaristo stands out as a key figure whose 2019 Booker Prize-winning novel Girl, Woman, Other offers a profound meditation on intersecting identities. Evaristo constructs a polyphonic narrative that weaves together the lives of twelve predominantly Black British women and non-binary characters across generations, social classes, sexual orientations, and political affiliations.

Through her experimental style—eschewing traditional punctuation and employing stream-of-consciousness techniques—Evaristo dismantles the boundaries of formal narrative while simultaneously engaging with the lived experiences of characters marginalized by race, gender, and class. Each character inhabits a distinct sociopolitical reality, whether it is Amma, a lesbian feminist theatre director confronting generational shifts in activism, or Morgan, a non-binary individual navigating familial and societal expectations. What unites these characters is not a singular identity but rather the shared complexity of navigating British society through overlapping structures of privilege and oppression. Evaristo's work thus becomes a rich textual embodiment of intersectionality, offering a multiplicity of perspectives that challenge monolithic representations of Blackness, womanhood, and sexuality in British literature.

In a similarly impactful vein, the works of Toni Morrison offer some of the earliest and most powerful fictional explorations of intersectional identity, particularly in relation to African American history and womanhood. Morrison's Beloved (1987) is

emblematic of how the historical trauma of slavery intersects with race, gender, and memory. The protagonist Sethe, a formerly enslaved woman, is haunted by the ghost of her dead daughter—a literal and metaphorical manifestation of the trauma inflicted upon Black women by a racist and patriarchal system. Morrison does not isolate Sethe's suffering within a single axis of oppression; instead, she reveals how Sethe's maternal decisions are conditioned by both the systemic violence of slavery and the dehumanization of Black motherhood. In The Bluest Eye (1970), Morrison addresses the internalized racism experienced by Pecola Breedlove, a young Black girl who prays for blue eyes, believing that whiteness equates to beauty and love. The novel critiques both white supremacist beauty standards and the gendered violence Pecola faces within her own community. Morrison's narrative style—rooted in folklore, non-linear timelines, and multiple perspectives—reinforces the multiplicity inherent in intersectionality, allowing her to dissect how racism, sexism, and classism converge to produce unique forms of marginalization.

Alice Walker's The Color Purple is another canonical text that embodies the principles of intersectionality through its portrayal of Black women's lives in early twentieth-century rural Georgia. The novel follows the epistolary journey of Celie, a poor Black woman subjected to repeated sexual abuse, domestic violence, and systemic silencing. Through the letters Celie writes to God and later to her sister Nettie, Walker captures the interiority of a character who is multiply oppressed—not just as a woman and as a person of color, but also as someone living in poverty and grappling with same- sex desire. As Celie develops a romantic and spiritual bond with Shug Avery, a blues singer, Walker introduces themes of sexual liberation and queer identity that further complicate Celie's social positioning. The novel critiques both white and Black patriarchal structures, revealing how Black men, too, can perpetuate misogyny under

the pressures of racial capitalism. Walker's concept of "womanism," often seen as a precursor to intersectional feminism, is vital to the narrative, emphasizing community, healing, and the interrelatedness of race, gender, and economic justice. Her use of vernacular language and Black Southern dialect also validates the voices and experiences of the most marginalized, reinforcing the political and aesthetic dimensions of intersectional storytelling.

Audre Lorde's contributions to literature and feminist theory profoundly shaped how writers and scholars approach intersectionality. Her biomythography Zami: A New Spelling of My Name (1982) blends autobiography, myth, and history to narrate her life as a Black lesbian poet, highlighting the intersections of race, sexuality, and gender within mid-twentieth-century America.

Lorde refuses to compartmentalize her identity; instead, she embraces the "both/and" nature of her existence, challenging the erasure that often accompanies single-axis analysis. Her depiction of queer love, mother-daughter relationships, and Black female community illustrates how structural inequalities affect personal relationships and identity formation. Moreover, in her collection Sister Outsider (1984),

Lorde articulates a theoretical and activist framework that anticipates contemporary understandings of intersectionality. Essays such as "Age, Race, Class, and Sex: Women Redefining Difference" and "The Master's Tools Will Never Dismantle the Master's House" argue for the necessity of acknowledging difference within feminist movements. Lorde critiques mainstream (often white) feminism for its neglect of the particular oppressions faced by women of color, asserting that any movement for justice that ignores such complexities is inherently flawed. Her literary and theoretical writings collectively offer a model for integrating lived experience into political critique, making Lorde a foundational voice in intersectional literature.

Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie's Americanah (2013) explores intersectionality through the lens of transnational identity, migration, and racialization. The novel centers on Ifemelu, a Nigerian woman who moves to the United States and later the United Kingdom, confronting the ways in which her race, class, and gender are differently constructed in each cultural context. Adichie's narrative unpacks the dissonance between African and African American identity, demonstrating that race is not a fixed category but one shaped by context and experience. Through Ifemelu's blog—where she offers sharp, satirical commentary on race and gender in America—Adichie critiques Western liberalism, particularly the limitations of a feminism that fails to account for cultural and racial difference. The novel also highlights how immigration status, economic precarity, and cultural assimilation intersect to produce a multifaceted experience of marginality. Adichie's approach to storytelling—combining intimate character development with broader sociopolitical commentary—renders Americanah a vital text in the literary application of intersectionality, particularly as it pertains to the global movement of Black bodies and identities.

The poetic and performative work of Ntozake Shange in for colored girls who have considered suicide / when the rainbow is enuf (1976) presents one of the earliest dramatic articulations of intersectionality, although the term had not yet been coined. Structured as a "choreopoem," the work features a series of poetic monologues delivered by seven women identified by colors rather than names. These women recount experiences of sexual assault, abandonment, abortion, and mental health struggles—all within the context of being Black and female in a patriarchal and racist society. Shange's innovative form allows for a collective voice that resists fragmentation, yet each woman's story retains its individuality, embodying the

intersectional premise that oppression is never experienced in isolation. The work critiques both systemic racism and the misogyny that Black women often encounter within their own communities. Shange's poetic language, blending African American Vernacular English with jazz-inspired rhythms, reinforces the cultural specificity of her characters' experiences, situating the work within a distinctly Black feminist tradition. By centering the voices of women often excluded from mainstream narratives, Shange's choreopoem serves as a blueprint for intersectional artistic expression.

Zadie Smith's novels, particularly White Teeth (2000) and On Beauty (2005), grapple with questions of race, class, gender, and multiculturalism in contemporary Britain. White Teeth follows two London families of different ethnic backgrounds across generations, examining how colonial history, religious identity, and socioeconomic status intersect in shaping individual destinies. Smith's diverse characters challenge essentialist notions of identity, revealing how cultural hybridity both complicates and enriches their lives. In On Beauty, Smith shifts focus to academia and familial dynamics, critiquing liberal intellectualism and exploring intra-racial tensions, particularly around aesthetics, class mobility, and generational shifts in ideology. Her characters, often caught between worlds—Black and white, immigrant and native, traditional and modern—embody the contradictions and intersections of identity in a globalized world. Smith's satirical yet empathetic prose style allows her to explore these themes with nuance and complexity, positioning her work as a crucial site for examining how intersectionality operates in multicultural societies.

Though primarily known for her theoretical writings, bell hooks has profoundly influenced literary criticism and cultural studies through an intersectional lens. Her works such as Ain't I a Woman? Black Women and Feminism (1981) and Feminist

Theory: From Margin to Center (1984) have reshaped the ways in which readers and scholars engage with literature by emphasizing the need to consider race, gender, and class simultaneously. hooks critiques the historical exclusion of Black women from both feminist and civil rights discourses, asserting that any movement that centers only one axis of identity will inevitably reproduce systems of domination. Her insistence on “talking back” to power structures has resonated within literature, especially in the analysis and creation of texts that center marginalized voices. Though hooks was not primarily a novelist, her influence is evident in the work of writers who explicitly or implicitly respond to her call for a more inclusive and radical politics of identity. Her theoretical contributions continue to shape how intersectionality is applied not only to literature but also to education, media, and everyday cultural critique.

The use of intersectionality theory by these authors—ranging from Morrison and Walker to Evaristo, Adichie, and Smith—has expanded the scope of English literature by illuminating the intricate ways in which identity and oppression interact. These writers refuse to present identity as singular or static; instead, they explore how lived experiences are shaped by the convergence of multiple social categories. Their works challenge readers to confront the limitations of traditional literary canons and analytical frameworks, demanding a more nuanced, inclusive, and justice-oriented approach to both reading and writing literature. Through their diverse styles, genres, and narrative strategies, these authors have not only enriched the literary landscape but have also provided powerful tools for understanding the interconnected nature of social inequality. As such, their contributions underscore the enduring relevance and necessity of intersectionality in the study and creation of English literature.

CHAPTER III

A Chorus of Herstories: Structure and Voice in Girl, Woman, Other

Bernardine Evaristo's *Girl, Woman, Other* is a literary polyphony that challenges conventional narrative structures and reimagines the possibilities of voice in contemporary fiction. The novel's formal and stylistic innovations are not merely aesthetic choices but constitute the grammar of its political and cultural intervention. A Chorus of Herstories: Structure and Voice in *Girl, Woman, Other* critically explores how Evaristo constructs a choral narrative to amplify the multiplicity of Black British women's experiences and identities. This project situates the novel within a broader tradition of feminist and postcolonial storytelling, in which form and voice are intimately linked with the epistemological act of reclaiming history—or her story— from the margins. Evaristo eschews traditional novels' linear, monologic format, opting for an experimental structure that echoes oral traditions, theatrical performance, and communal storytelling. The result is a narrative architecture that accommodates and foregrounds simultaneity, fragmentation, and interconnection.

The structure of *Girl, Woman, Other* reflects Evaristo's radical reimagining of how stories can be told and who gets to tell them. Divided into twelve chapters—each dedicated to a different character whose life interlaces with others across time, space, and identity—the novel resists the hierarchical organization typical of Western literary conventions. Instead, Evaristo deploys a rhizomatic structure that allows for nonlinear associations and relational movements between characters. This form disrupts the notion of a singular protagonist and, by extension, undermines the idea of a singular history.

Through her fluid, poetic prose—written without traditional punctuation and often arranged in free verse—Evaristo creates a cadence that evokes the rhythms of spoken language, rendering the text intimate, immediate, and alive with personality. The novel's refusal of the period as a grammatical full stop symbolically gestures toward a refusal of closure, a stylistic resistance to the silencing of marginalized voices. Each chapter is a self-contained narrative that simultaneously contributes to a broader tapestry, reflecting the interdependency and collective of these women's lives. This collective narrative is not merely a gathering of voices but a chorus—harmonious in its discord, unified in its multiplicity.

Voice in *Girl, Woman, Other* operates as a tool of reclamation and resistance. Evaristo endows each character with a distinct linguistic register shaped by class background, ethnic heritage, generational positioning, and personal history. From Amma, the radical theatre director and longtime feminist, to Morgan/Megan, the gender-free social media influencer navigating non-binary identity, to Shirley, the conservative headmistress who disavows her radical roots, each voice is rendered with careful specificity and nuance.Grouping the characters into triads is a narrative strategy to highlight thematic affinities while illuminating intergenerational and ideological contrasts. For example, the first trio—Amma, Yazz, and Dominique—explores feminist activism, queerness, and mother-daughter tensions across two generations. Amma, a radical Black feminist theatre-maker from the 1980s, contrasts with her daughter Yazz, a Gen Z university student who regards her mother's politics as outdated. Dominique, Amma's friend and co-founder of their feminist theatre collective, offers another variation of feminist identity shaped by migration, lesbian separatism, and later-life re evaluation.

Each character represents a distinct moment in the feminist movement and challenges concerning race, sexuality, and community. Through this triadic structure, Evaristo reveals how feminist histories are not linear progressions but complex, sometimes conflicting dialogues across generations. By structuring the novel this way, she models a dynamic, recursive, and pluralistic historiography.

In the subsequent sets—Carole, Bummi, and LaTisha; Shirley, Winsome, and Penelope; Megan/Morgan, Hattie, and Grace—Evaristo continues to explore familial ties, ideological rifts, and historical inheritances. Each trio deepens the novel's engagement with British history, diasporic identity, and the evolving nature of selfhood. The characters are linked by blood or proximity and shared struggles and thematic motifs: shame, resistance, transformation, and reconciliation. For instance, Carole's estrangement from her Nigerian heritage and her trauma-induced withdrawal from Blackness is set against her mother Bummi's fierce attachment to Yoruba tradition and respectability politics.

LaTisha, in turn, offers a perspective on single motherhood, ambition, and working-class resilience. Together, these three women's narratives explore how cultural legacy, personal trauma, and socioeconomic status intersect to shape Black womanhood in Britain. The structural triads function as a counterpoint to the linear, monolithic character arcs often found in canonical literature, instead revealing identity as something forged in multiplicity and tension.

This deliberate structural design also enables Evaristo to play with narrative tempo and thematic recursion. Each story contains loops—returns to memories, reflections on childhood, and re-encounters with people from earlier in the novel—that create a

palimpsest layering of time. The book resists temporal linearity as much as it resists character centrality. The past, present, and future bleed into one another, mimicking the nonlinear experience of memory and the collective inheritance of trauma and resistance. This is particularly evident in the novel's final section, where characters from earlier chapters reappear, sometimes unexpectedly. The convergence of multiple narrative threads at the end serves not as a tidy resolution but as a narrative crescendo. This final chorus reminds the reader of the interconnectedness of all stories. This structural closure, which occurs at a theatrical event produced by Amma, serves as a symbolic homecoming for the characters and the novel’s structural and thematic motifs. Here, the form circles back to its origin—the theatre—as a space of community, performance, and reimagined futures.

Evaristo's characters not only inhabit different social and cultural positions but also express divergent political beliefs and life choices, thereby expanding the scope of representation. For example, Shirley, a schoolteacher who prides herself on her upward mobility and conservative values, serves as a counterpoint to Amma's radical politics and bohemian lifestyle. Their friendship and ideological divergence exemplify the tensions within feminist and racial discourses, highlighting how class and generational experience mediate political commitments. Similarly, Dominique's journey as a lesbian who escapes an abusive relationship and finds solace in a lesbian separatist commune in America adds yet another layer of complexity to the novel's representation of queer identities. These contrasting experiences are not resolved or reconciled within the narrative; instead, Evaristo allows them to coexist, fostering a dialogic space in which difference is acknowledged rather than erased. This multiplicity of perspectives enables the novel to explore various issues—immigration, domestic violence, education,

sexuality, motherhood, and activism—without subsuming them under a singular ideological framework.

The novel's structure further reinforces its polyphonic qualities through its use of interconnections and overlaps. Characters often appear in each other's narratives, sometimes in unexpected ways, which creates a web of relationships that reflects the interconnectedness of human lives. This narrative technique mirrors real-world social networks and how individual lives are shaped by their relationships and environments. For example, the revelation that Morgan is the child of Shirley's daughter Carol links their stories and adds layers of irony and complexity to their respective narratives. These narrative intersections do not function merely as plot devices but deepen the reader's understanding of each character's context and motivations. By weaving together multiple narrative threads, Evaristo constructs a collective portrait of Black British womanhood that is as fragmented as it is unified.

Moreover, the novel's epilogue—featuring a reunion of many characters at a theatre production—serves as a symbolic convergence of the diverse voices that populate the story. This gathering does not resolve the characters' conflicts or differences; instead, it affirms the value of multiplicity and the possibility of community despite divergence. The theatrical setting underscores the performative aspects of identity and how storytelling functions as a mode of self-representation and communal bonding. The epilogue thus encapsulates the novel's thematic and structural commitment to polyphony, offering a moment of collective presence without erasing individual distinction.

Girl, Woman, Other exemplifies the power of polyphonic narrative to capture the complexity of contemporary identities and social realities. Through its multiplicity of voices, egalitarian narrative structure, and stylistic innovation, the novel challenges conventional literary forms and radically reimagines the novel as a space for inclusive storytelling. Evaristo's work amplifies marginalized voices and constructs a dialogic framework in which differences are acknowledged, interrogated, and celebrated. In doing so, she expands the possibilities of the novel as a democratic and dynamic form, capable of representing the plurality of human experience in all its contradictions and contingencies. The polyphonic narrative in *Girl, Woman, Other* is not merely a formal choice but a profound ethical and political gesture that insists on the value of every voice and the necessity of listening across boundaries of identity and experience.

In Bernardine Evaristo's *Girl, Woman, Other*, the divide between "her stories" and traditional history is a central theme that informs the novel's structure, style, and message. The term "herstory" emerged as a feminist alternative to the male-dominated historical narrative, emphasizing the stories of women that have long been overlooked or excluded from the mainstream historical canon. Evaristo's novel, through its polyphonic narrative and focus on Black British women, functions as a deliberate act of historical revisionism. Rather than recounting a singular, authoritative version of history, the novel constructs a patchwork of personal narratives that collectively redefine what constitutes historical knowledge. These stories—subjective, emotional, and grounded in lived experience—challenge the objectivity often associated with historical accounts. In doing so, *Girl, Woman, Other* amplifies marginalized voices and interrogates the foundations upon which historical authority rests.

The feminist re-centering of history as "herstory" arose in the late 20th century as a critical response to patriarchal historiography. Feminist scholars and activists argued that traditional history had systematically excluded women's experiences, contributions, and perspectives. By renaming history as "herstory," feminists sought to expose this omission and reclaim narrative space for women. This rhetorical shift highlights the subjectivity of historical interpretation and the importance of gendered experience in shaping collective memory. "Herstory" becomes a powerful tool for reimagining the past through a feminist lens that validates the domestic, emotional, and personal as historically significant. In this context, history is not a neutral recounting of events but a constructed narrative shaped by those in power. Re-centring it as her story means challenging those power structures and asserting women's place within historical discourse.

This re-centering also involves a methodological shift in how history is researched, recorded, and narrated. Feminist historians emphasize the need to examine diaries, letters, oral histories, and other non-traditional sources that reveal women's lived realities. Mainstream historians have often dismissed these forms of evidence as anecdotal or lacking objectivity. Yet, feminist scholars argue that these materials provide a more holistic understanding of the past, especially when considering the experiences of women who have been marginalized by race, class, sexuality, or colonial status. Her story is not simply about adding women to existing historical frameworks but about transforming those frameworks to accommodate diverse voices and epistemologies. In this sense, her story becomes an act of epistemic resistance, asserting that knowledge must be reevaluated to reflect the multiplicity of human experience.

In her characters' stories, Evaristo captures the generational transmission of knowledge, trauma, and resistance, which often occurs outside the purview of official history. For instance, the mother-daughter relationships depicted in the novel—such as Amma and Yazz, Shirley and Carole, Bummi and Carole—highlight how feminist consciousness and cultural memory are passed down, often contentiously, through intimate familial channels. These dynamics reflect the complexities of intergenerational feminism and the evolution of feminist values. In presenting these tensions, Evaristo underscores that her story is not monolithic or universally agreed upon but contested, dynamic, and deeply influenced by the personal circumstances and identities of those who inherit and interpret it. In this regard, her story is not only about retrieval but also about reinterpretation—about how each generation reclaims and redefines the narratives they inherit.

Furthermore, Evaristo's attention to intersectionality enriches her exploration of her story by acknowledging that gender alone does not define one's historical erasure or inclusion. The characters in *Girl, Woman, Other* experience oppression and exclusion in ways shaped by race, class, sexuality, and geography. For instance, Dominique's journey as a Black lesbian navigating white feminist circles in America illuminates the tensions between different feminist agendas and how her story itself can become exclusionary when not attentive to intersectionality. Similarly, Shirley's assimilation into the British educational system and her desire to distance herself from her racial and cultural heritage complicates the notion of her story, raising questions about internalized oppression and the desire to rewrite oneself into dominant historical narratives. These examples show that reclaiming her story is not a uniform process but one marked by internal contradictions, negotiations, and the need for intersectional awareness.

The novel also explores the historical significance of artistic and creative expression as a form of documentation and resistance. Through characters like Amma and Dominique, who use theatre and feminist publishing to challenge dominant narratives, Evaristo showcases how storytelling becomes a political act. These creative outlets offer alternative modes of remembering and recording, especially for those whose truths are unwelcome in mainstream discourse. Her story here intersects with performativity and activism, becoming both a method of self-definition and a vehicle for social change. By embedding art within the fabric of her characters' lives, Evaristo underscores its role as a historical artefact that captures ephemeral emotions, struggles, and triumphs. The stage, the page, and even the spoken word become sites where her story is authored and disseminated.

In *Girl, Woman, Other*, Bernardine Evaristo masterfully explores the dynamic interplay between interconnectedness and fragmentation, presenting a nuanced meditation on the tension between individuality and collective identity. Through the novel’s polyphonic structure, interwoven narratives, and diverse character arcs, Evaristo creates a literary tapestry that foregrounds the multiplicity of identities experienced by Black British women and non-binary individuals. This tension lies at the heart of the novel’s political and aesthetic project: it asks whether it is possible to maintain a distinct sense of self while simultaneously belonging to a broader community. The book resists simple binaries by revealing how personal and communal identities are constantly negotiated, challenged, and redefined. In doing so, it challenges traditional conceptions of unity and linearity, offering instead a feminist and postcolonial model of identity that embraces multiplicity, contradiction, and relationality.

The interconnectedness in the novel is primarily achieved through its structure. The narrative initially appears fragmented, composed of twelve individual stories centred on

a different character. Yet, as readers progress through the text, familial, social, professional, and ideological connections emerge between the characters. These interrelations emphasize that individual lives do not exist in isolation but are shaped by and contribute to larger networks of influence and interaction. The novel culminates in a convergence at the theatre production staged by Amma, serving as both a narrative and symbolic gathering point. This event metaphorically brings the characters together, underlining the novel's assertion that individual identities are enriched, not diminished, by their place in a broader collective.

Evaristo captures this instability through characters like Carole and Morgan/Megan, whose identities undergo dramatic transformations. Carole's rejection of her Nigerian heritage and traumatic past in favour of assimilation and respectability politics reflects a fragmentation from her community and former self. In contrast, Morgan/Megan's journey toward non-binary identity and radical politics represents a reconstitution of self that defies normative identity categories. Both narratives exemplify the tension between individual agency and collective belonging, revealing how the pursuit of self-definition can alienate and liberate.

Evaristo further explores this tension through the use of language and form. The novel's lack of conventional punctuation and capitalization reflects a break from literary tradition, paralleling the characters' attempts to redefine themselves outside dominant cultural narratives. This stylistic choice also mimics the fluidity of oral storytelling, where stories bleed into each other, and boundaries between speaker and listener dissolve. In doing so, Evaristo enacts the very tension she is thematizing on the page: the interplay between the singular and the collective, the structured and the fragmented. Language becomes both a tool of self-expression and a medium of connection, embodying the novel's thematic concerns.

The theme of intergenerational relationships plays a critical role in exploring this tension. The relationships between Amma and Yazz, Shirley and Carole, and Winsome and Shirley illustrate how familial bonds can simultaneously foster unity and division. Amma and Yazz represent two generations of feminism, with Amma embodying radical activism and Yazz representing a more individualistic and postmodern stance. Their dynamic showcases how collective ideals can become sources of conflict when filtered through different generational lenses. Similarly, Shirley's disapproval of Carole's choices reflects a rupture between traditional expectations and personal autonomy. These generational tensions are not merely individual but indicative of broader cultural shifts, where the search for individuality challenges the cohesion of collective identity.

Friendship and community are also significant sites where the novel examines the push and pull between individual and collective. The friendship between Amma and Dominique is rooted in shared feminist and queer activism. Still, it is tested when Dominique moves to America and immerses herself in a different cultural and political environment. Dominique's departure is a fragmentation from her original community and pursuit of a new collective. Evaristo presents such moments not as failures of solidarity but as evidence of the fluidity and adaptability of collective identity. The novel suggests that community can be elastic, accommodating difference and change rather than demanding uniformity. This flexible model of belonging allows for a coexistence of individuality and interconnectedness.

The depiction of motherhood further complicates the theme. Motherhood, often viewed as a symbol of continuity and communal legacy, is portrayed in the novel as a complex and sometimes fraught experience. Amma’s role as a lesbian mother challenges traditional familial structures, while Bummi’s rigid adherence to cultural norms alienates her daughter, Carole. These portrayals reveal that motherhood can be

both a source of identity and a site of conflict. The maternal figures in the novel embody the paradox of nurturing individual growth while transmitting collective values. This dual role reflects the broader feminist tension between autonomy and affiliation, between shaping a unique self and preserving communal heritage.

The novel's closing chapter, which brings all the characters together at Amma's theatre production, is a powerful metaphor for the resolution—though not the dissolution—of the tension between individual and collective. The convergence does not erase the differences between the characters but acknowledges and honours them. It is a moment of visibility and recognition where each character's journey is validated within the larger social fabric. Evaristo's choice to end the novel with this collective gathering reinforces her belief in the necessity of community while upholding the value of personal narrative. It celebrates pluralism, recognizing that unity need not come at the expense of difference.

Evaristo's engagement with intersectionality deepens her exploration of identity tension. By considering race, gender, class, sexuality, and nationality, she portrays identities as layered and contextually contingent. For instance, Penelope's late discovery of her mixed-race heritage forces her to reevaluate her sense of self and place within British society. This revelation destabilizes her previously held assumptions and highlights the constructed nature of identity. Penelope's narrative underscores how identities are internally fragmented and subject to reinterpretation based on new information and shifting social contexts. The interplay of these intersecting factors reveals the limitations of fixed identity categories and affirms the importance of fluid and relational understandings.

Ultimately, *Girl, Woman, Other* does not seek to resolve the tension between individuality and collective identity but to dwell within it. The novel's structure, themes, and characterizations emphasize that identity is inherently paradoxical—simultaneously personal and social, stable and shifting, unified and fragmented. Evaristo's work invites readers to embrace this complexity and recognize the richness of inhabiting the inbetween spaces. In doing so, she offers a vision of identity that is inclusive, dynamic, and reflective of the diverse realities of contemporary life.

Bernardine Evaristo's *Girl, Woman, Other* is a formally and thematically innovative novel that charts the lives of twelve interrelated characters across the social, generational, and racial landscapes of Britain. The book resists linear storytelling, traditional grammar, and even conventional punctuation, favouring a poetic prose style that forgoes the period, adopts lowercase beginnings, and privileges enjambed phrases and rhythms that echo spoken word and stream-of-consciousness. This stylistic rebellion mirrors the thematic essence of the novel—an unrelenting challenge to norms, an embrace of multiplicity, and a celebration of historically silenced voices. Evaristo's structure is polyphonic, drawing from Mikhail Bakhtin's theories of dialogism and multiplicity. Each of the twelve characters receives a chapter devoted to their life story. While these narratives function independently, they are subtly and sometimes overtly interconnected, creating a kaleidoscopic mosaic of Black British womanhood and queerness.

Girl, Woman, Other is structured into four sections containing three interlinked stories. This intentional triadic configuration allows Evaristo to explore generational and thematic continuities among women from different walks of life. The first section includes Amma, Yazz, and Dominique—radical feminists, artists, and queer women

whose stories navigate ideological shifts over time. Amma, a radical theatre director, is the novel's symbolic nucleus. Her journey from fringe activism to mainstream recognition, culminating in the staging of her play at the National Theatre, reflects broader sociocultural changes in Britain's reception of feminist and queer narratives. Through Amma's arc, Evaristo interrogates the tensions between idealism and compromise, tradition and innovation. Her daughter, Yazz, represents a millennial perspective, self-assured and performatively woke but often dismissive of the lived experiences of older generations. Dominique's narrative expands the geographic frame, moving to the United States and engaging with diasporic identities and separatist feminism, revealing the perils of ideological rigidity.

In the second triad—Carole, Bummi, and LaTisha—Evaristo foregrounds the complexity of trauma, religion, and class mobility. Carole's transformation from a rape survivor to a bourgeois Christian conservative married to a wealthy white man dramatizes the erasure of her cultural and sexual identity in pursuit of respectability. Her rejection of her Nigerian mother, Bummi, encapsulates intergenerational conflict and the costs of assimilation. Bummi's story, rooted in Yoruba tradition and immigrant aspiration, juxtaposes the immigrant dream with the alienation of her daughter, exemplifying the ruptures that colonial migration produces within families. LaTisha, a single mother of three, confronts stereotypes around Black motherhood and agency. Her narrative resists pity or moral judgment, instead emphasizing dignity in survival and the economic precarity of working-class Black British women. Evaristo uses these characters to map how social structures and personal decisions interact to shape identities.

The third section, comprising Shirley, Winsome, and Penelope, explores respectability politics, education, and racial self-conception. Shirley, a headteacher,

embodies conservative values and Black upward mobility. Her internalized racism and disdain for Amma's radicalism showcase the ideological rift within the Black community. Winsome, her Jamaican-born mother, adds a diasporic dimension to the narrative, revealing the sacrifices and cultural negotiations of the Windrush generation. Shirley's white colleague, Penelope, offers a surprising narrative twist, as later revelations complicate her understanding of race and self. Through Penelope, Evaristo illustrates how race is not merely a visible marker but a social construct entwined with power, heritage, and historical amnesia. Her eventual discovery of her Black ancestry challenges the binaries of racial identity and compels a reevaluation of belonging and exclusion.

The final triad—Megan/Morgan, Hattie, and Grace—ventures into gender fluidity, ancestral memory, and rural Black British history. Morgan's journey from Megan, a non-binary social media influencer and activist, highlights contemporary debates around gender identity, performance, and digital activism. Morgan's story connects to Hattie, their great-grandmother, a matriarch grounded in agrarian traditions and oral storytelling. Hattie's resistance to selling her land and deep connection to ancestral history signifies a rootedness that contrasts with Morgan's urban, transient lifestyle. Grace, Hattie's mother, represents the earliest generation whose narrative touches on colonial legacies, interracial desire, and social ostracism. Through Grace, Evaristo acknowledges the often-erased presence of Black Britons in rural and historical contexts.

Moreover, Evaristo employs intertextuality and cultural references to deepen the novel's commentary on race, gender, and art. Real-world feminist and Black cultural movements inspire Amma's theatre piece, and Morgan's activism draws upon contemporary discourses around gender theory and digital politics. Evaristo engages

with literary and political traditions through her characters, from Audre Lorde to Bell Hooks to Judith Butler, without overt name-dropping or didacticism. This intertextuality is woven into the fabric of the characters' thoughts, experiences, and ideological positions, enriching the novel's intellectual texture. Furthermore, the multiplicity of sexualities portrayed—from Amma's lesbian relationships to Morgan's non-binary identity—refuses reductive categorization, offering instead a spectrum of lived experiences.

The novel also interrogates the notion of "otherness" in multifaceted ways. Characters experience othering not only from dominant white society but also within their communities—based on gender, sexuality, class, or ideology. Dominique, for example, finds herself marginalized in a feminist separatist commune that claims to be inclusive. Despite her professional success, Shirley is alienated from her daughter due to generational and ideological dissonance. Carole is estranged from both her Nigerian roots and the Black community at large, choosing instead a sanitized existence defined by religious conservatism and bourgeois respectability. This internal othering reveals the complex negotiations of identity and community within marginalized groups, complicating simplistic binaries of oppressor and oppressed.

An integral aspect of *Girl, Woman, Other* is Evaristo's masterful manipulation of time and memory. The narratives do not follow strict chronological progression but instead shift fluidly across decades, enabling the reader to understand characters in their present states and formative pasts. This nonlinear temporality effectively reveals how past traumas, decisions, and systemic pressures continue to echo through the characters' lives. For example, Carole's withdrawal from her mother and her community can only be understood

through the contextual layering of her rape trauma and subsequent psychological dissociation. Similarly, Shirley's arc—her alienation from Black radicalism, her pursuit of excellence within white-dominated institutions, and her complex relationship with her daughter—becomes more poignant when examined across the temporal strata of her upbringing, professional life, and current status.

Evaristo also complicates notions of success and legacy. The novel presents a spectrum of characters who have "succeeded" by various definitions—Amma gains national recognition, Shirley becomes a headteacher, and Carole ascends into upper-class society—but each of these accomplishments is accompanied by psychological or ideological compromises. Amma struggles with how her radical values have been tempered by the mainstream stage. Her internalized racism undercuts Shirley's professional excellence and disconnect from her community. Carole's social mobility is predicated upon erasure—of her trauma, her Blackness, her mother.

One of the novel's most powerful devices is indirect speech and shifting focalization. Evaristo does not employ quotation marks for dialogue, allowing speech to blend seamlessly into narration and interior thought. This technique erases the boundaries between the character's voice and the narrative voice, creating an immersive subjectivity that reflects the polyphonic nature of the novel. Each chapter is deeply embedded in the consciousness of its protagonist, yet moments of external commentary or social critique punctuate these narratives, adding a reflexive layer. This oscillation between interiority and external observation enhances the novel's philosophical depth, enabling Evaristo to address structural issues—racism, patriarchy, capitalism—without dislodging the emotional intimacy of her character studies.

Aesthetically, Evaristo's prose blends poetic rhythm with vernacular authenticity— her diction shifts according to the character's background, age, and cultural milieu. Yazz's voice is peppered with millennial slang and irony, while Hattie's chapter echoes oral storytelling traditions. This linguistic elasticity not only grounds the characters in their social realities but also affirms the multiplicity of English spoken in Britain. Evaristo's language destabilizes the notion of standard English and instead celebrates the hybridity of postcolonial speech. By doing so, she engages in a decolonizing act— reclaiming the language of the empire to tell stories that the empire once rendered invisible.

Another central theme of the novel is performativity—of gender, identity, respectability, and rebellion. Judith Butler's theory of gender performativity resonates with how characters like Morgan craft and recraft their identities through social gestures, language, and dress. Morgan's transition from Megan to a non-binary figure in the public eye is not framed as a linear path but a continuous, negotiated process. Likewise, Carole's adoption of conservative Christian femininity is portrayed as a form of performance—one that masks her past and projects an image of moral and social acceptability. Evaristo thus foregrounds the performative labour required of marginalized individuals to navigate societal expectations and stereotypes.

Intersectionality, a novel's theoretical foundation, is referenced and embodied in its structure and content. Evaristo refuses to isolate race, gender, or class as single axes of analysis. Instead, she presents characters whose identities are formed at the confluence of these forces. Shirley is not simply a Black woman but a working-class Jamaican-born British headteacher whose worldview is shaped by immigration, colonial legacies, and educational institutions. Dominique's queerness is inflected by her experiences as a Black British woman in America, subject to both racial and gendered hierarchies within

feminist circles. By embedding intersectionality in the narrative architecture, Evaristo resists reductive representations and underscores the complexity of lived identities.

The theme of belonging recurs across narratives, sometimes as yearning, sometimes as rejection. Carole's estrangement, Shirley's assimilation, Morgan's reinvention, and Amma's ideological journey all reflect divergent belonging—or nonbelonging strategies. For some, belonging is found in community; for others, in individualism or spiritual transformation. Penelope's late discovery of her Black ancestry prompts a retrospective longing for a community she never knew she was part of. Evaristo uses these explorations to highlight the fluidity of belonging—it is not a fixed state but a dynamic process of negotiation, loss, and revelation.

Family, both biological and chosen, plays a crucial role in the emotional architecture of the novel. While many characters experience conflict or alienation within their biological families, they often create alternative kinship networks. Amma's circle of theatre collaborators, Dominique's commune, and Morgan's queer and activist communities—these become sites of nurturing and identity formation. Evaristo positions these chosen families as equally, if not more, significant than traditional family structures, particularly for queer characters and those marginalized within their own homes. These alternative communities affirm the characters' right to self-definition and relational fulfilment outside heteronormative paradigms.

Finally, *Girl, Woman, Other* culminates in a symbolic convergence at the National Theatre, where Amma's play brings together many characters. This narrative and thematic convergence moment does not resolve the novel's complexities but celebrates them. It is a ritual of recognition, a bringing-into-being of stories and selves that have long been silenced. The theatre becomes a metaphor for visibility, the act of telling and

witnessing, and the communal reclamation of space. In this moment, Evaristo underscores the political power of storytelling—not as a vehicle for moral resolution but as a means of communal affirmation, historical correction, and imaginative possibility.

The novel's commitment to re-centering Black British history is one of its most subversive undertakings. Evaristo exposes the glaring absence of Black narratives in British national memory and literature. Through characters like Hattie and Grace, who have lived and worked in rural England for generations, the novel upends the common assumption that Black presence in Britain is a recent or exclusively urban phenomenon. Hattie's refusal to sell her ancestral land and her oral preservation of family history emphasize continuity, rootedness, and belonging. By including these stories in her narrative scope, Evaristo broadens the historical imagination and creates space for counter-narratives that challenge the hegemony of white British historiography. In this sense, history becomes what is written in official records and what is remembered, performed, and told within families and communities.

Evaristo's characterization is a triumph of empathy and complexity. No character is reduced to a single narrative or moral stance. Shirley, for instance, may be read as conservative or even complicit in upholding structures of power, but Evaristo also reveals the internal logic and context behind her decisions. Her pursuit of order, discipline, and recognition is a response to the chaos and racism of her early life. Similarly, Carole's rejection of her Black identity is painful but understandable, shaped by the trauma she endured. By refusing to cast judgment, Evaristo creates space for moral ambiguity and encourages readers to hold multiple truths simultaneously. This ethical complexity invites a more nuanced engagement with identity politics, suggesting that lived experiences often defy ideological consistency.

Sexuality in *Girl, Woman, Other* is depicted as fluid, contextual, and intimately tied to identity formation. While some characters experience sexual identity as central to their political consciousness (such as Amma and Dominique), others, like Carole and Shirley, see sexuality as private or even shameful. Dominique's journey from Britain to a feminist commune in the United States illustrates the liberatory and restrictive dimensions of sexuality-based identity. Initially empowering, the separatist lifestyle soon reveals its dogmas and exclusions. Morgan's gender identity, on the other hand, resists categorization altogether, existing in a liminal space that critiques the notion of fixed identity. These varied sexual narratives resist essentialism and reflect the diversity of experience within queer and non-normative communities.

Stylistically, Evaristo continues to innovate through layout and rhythm. The prose is organized in long, breathless paragraphs, punctuated occasionally by line breaks that mimic poetic stanzas. This formatting, at once lyrical and informal, challenges traditional reading rhythms and forces the reader to engage differently with the text. The absence of full stops accelerates the reading while encouraging deeper emotional immersion. Evaristo's form becomes a feminist intervention—she invents a grammar for lives that have traditionally been denied narrative space. Her linguistic choices mirror her political ones: a refusal to conform, a demand to be heard on her terms.

The theme of migration is woven throughout the characters' stories, not only in the literal sense of movement across geographies but also in the symbolic sense of navigating cultural identities. Winsome's migration from the Caribbean to Britain during the Windrush era is marked by hope and disillusionment. Dominique's relocation to America tests ideological alignment versus emotional need. These migrations are not just journeys from one place to another—they are transformative processes that reshape

identity, family, and belonging. Evaristo presents migration as an opportunity and a rupture, a space of reinvention and estrangement.

Evaristo also interrogates the institutional forces that shape individual lives—schools, religious institutions, the arts, and the state. Shirley's professional life is deeply enmeshed in educational institutions, where she both benefits from and replicates systemic hierarchies. Carole's life trajectory is altered by her experience with the legal and medical systems after her assault, systems that fail to provide justice or healing. Amma's journey in the theatre world demonstrates the tensions between radical content and institutional gatekeeping. These portrayals highlight the double bind marginalized individuals face: the need to navigate, adapt to, and sometimes resist institutions not designed with their inclusion in mind. Evaristo's critique is not purely antagonistic; she shows that institutions can be spaces of transformation only when reshaped by those excluded.

Religion is nuanced in several characters' lives, particularly in Carole's. Her embrace of Christianity is initially a refuge from trauma, offering structure and redemption. Yet, it also becomes a tool of repression, used to disavow her past and judge others. Evaristo avoids simplistic portrayals of religion as either wholly oppressive or wholly redemptive. Instead, she shows how faith can offer solace, discipline, or community while highlighting its potential for dogmatism and moralism. The tension between spirituality and sexuality, particularly in Carole's story, reflects the broader cultural conflicts within diasporic communities over the role of tradition, morality, and modernity.

Class mobility emerges as another thematic axis in the novel. Characters such as Shirley and Carole undergo significant upward mobility. Still, their journeys are

accompanied by a sense of alienation from their origins—the novel questions whether social ascent must always come at the cost of cultural dislocation. Through characters like LaTisha, who remains economically precarious but emotionally grounded, Evaristo critiques the notion that upward mobility is inherently empowering. She emphasizes the psychological and relational costs of class transition, especially when such transitions are framed as personal triumphs devoid of structural critique.

The interconnections between the characters are revealed subtly throughout the novel, culminating in moments of recognition, surprise, or emotional resonance. These interlinkages underscore Evaristo's belief in the importance of community and shared history. Whether through familial ties, professional networks, or shared spaces like Amma's theatre, the characters' lives are enmeshed in a web of influence and memory. This interconnectedness challenges the neoliberal ideal of the atomized individual and posits identity as a collective construction. Evaristo dramatizes the entanglement of personal and political histories by structuring the novel around this network of relations.

Importantly, *Girl, Woman, Other* engages with the politics of visibility and voice. The novel insists on giving narrative space to those who have been historically marginalized—Black women, queer individuals, non-binary people, immigrants, and the working class. But it does so without resorting to victimhood or moral simplification. These are characters who make mistakes, hold prejudices, and evolve. Their humanity is never in question. Evaristo's insistence on complexity is a political act—it refuses the reduction of marginalized people to symbols or causes and instead demands recognition of their full humanity. In doing so, the novel becomes a space of radical listening, inviting the reader to hear and hold stories that are often ignored.

The concept of authorship and self-authorship becomes especially salient in the novel's treatment of creative work. Amma's evolution from fringe radical to celebrated playwright is not just a professional arc but a metaphor for the narrative's mission. Her play, staged at the National Theatre, represents the culmination of her life's commitment to telling marginalized stories. By aligning Amma's artistic trajectory with her ideological one, Evaristo poses essential questions about the relationship between artistic integrity and public recognition. The novel refuses to vilify the act of compromise; instead, it interrogates what is lost and what is gained when marginal voices enter the mainstream. Evaristo advocates for visibility without assimilation, urging a redefinition of the mainstream itself through the presence of the formerly excluded.

Generational conflict emerges as a source of tension and growth throughout the novel. Characters such as Shirley and Yazz or Amma and Yazz embody the ideological clashes that occur when different eras of activism, feminism, and racial politics collide. Yazz often dismisses the older generation's struggles as outdated or insufficiently radical, while her elders view her perspectives as naïve or ungrateful. These intergenerational disagreements serve not to draw hard lines but to open up spaces for dialogue and evolution. Evaristo avoids nostalgia or generational idealization, portraying each age group as possessing wisdom and blind spots. The novel suggests that progress is neither linear nor uniform but dependent on the willingness to engage across differences.

Symbolism in *Girl, Woman, Other* is subtle but powerful—for instance, land functions as literal inheritance and metaphorical grounding in characters like Hattie. Clothing and hairstyles express identity, control, or rebellion, especially in Carole's and

Morgan’s stories. As seen in Morgan’s transition from Megan, names carry weight, a shedding of an older identity in favour of a chosen one. Even locations—urban London, rural Northumberland, or transatlantic spaces—carry symbolic resonance as mirrors of cultural conflict and belonging. Evaristo imbues everyday objects and settings with layered meanings that reflect the inner lives of her characters and the social worlds they navigate.

The collective narrative arc, which moves from fragmentation to partial cohesion, mirrors the thematic tension between individual and community. Each chapter is anchored in a specific individual’s perspective, yet the cumulative effect is not isolation but convergence. The final section, in which characters meet or are revealed to be connected, resists a neat closure. Instead, it emphasizes the interconnectedness of human experience—how personal decisions ripple outward, how private wounds shape public actions, and how diverse shared struggles link lives. Evaristo's structuring choice reflects a feminist, intersectional ethos: that unity is not about sameness but relational recognition across differences.

Evaristo’s engagement with feminism is expansive, critical, and self-aware. She acknowledges the gains of earlier feminist movements while also critiquing their exclusions—especially of women of colour, working-class women, and queer women. Characters like Dominique embody the promise and the limits of feminist utopianism, while Amma demonstrates how feminist praxis evolves with time and context. The novel includes critiques of second-wave feminism’s whiteness and essentialism and presents third-wave and fourth-wave ideologies through younger characters like Yazz. Importantly, Evaristo does not suggest a single “correct” feminism; she offers a tapestry of feminisms, each marked by contradictions, negotiations, and context-specific

applications. This pluralism is at the heart of the novel's politics: it refuses binaries in favour of multiplicity.

The narrative's closing moment is not climactic in the traditional sense but reflective and dialogic. The discovery that Morgan is Grace's great-great-grandchild collapses centuries of lineage, memory, and transformation into a single symbolic gesture. It connects the oldest character to the most self-consciously postmodern one, creating a continuum that defies linear temporality. This revelation is not a resolution but a continuation—a nod to the cyclical nature of identity formation, the layered textures of heritage, and the ongoing task of self-definition. Evaristo does not tie up her narratives with neat endings but leaves them open, suggesting that the story of Black British womanhood (and non-binary identity) is still being written.

From a literary standpoint, *Girl, Woman, Other* is groundbreaking in its formal innovation, thematic depth, and ethical complexity. Evaristo's refusal to conform to conventional narrative structures is not merely an aesthetic choice but a political one. By deconstructing the traditional novel form, she creates a literary space that is more inclusive, flexible, and responsive to the experiences she wishes to centre. Her work challenges readers to question the limits of representation and embrace a storytelling model that reflects real life's messiness, beauty, and plurality. In this way, Evaristo redefines what literature can do and who it can serve.

In exploring identity, *Girl, Woman, and Other* transcends categorical labels. Its characters are not defined solely by their race, gender, or sexuality, but by their desires, contradictions, relationships, and histories. Evaristo foregrounds the importance of nuance and specificity, insisting that there is no universal experience of Blackness, womanhood, or queerness. Her narrative practice honours difference without

exoticizing it critiques systems without essentializing individuals and upholds complexity as a literary and political value. This insistence on multiplicity makes the novel a powerful counter-narrative to dominant representations of marginalized people.

Ultimately, *Girl, Woman, Other* is a testament to the transformative power of narrative. It affirms the value of unheard voices, collective memory's necessity, and empathy's radical potential. Bernardine Evaristo has created a novel and a polyphonic manifesto by weaving together twelve richly drawn lives into a chorus of resilience, rage, joy, and ambiguity. It is a book that listens as much as it speaks, that illuminates while it questions, and that offers, above all, a vision of literature that is expansive, inclusive, and deeply humane. Through its structural daring, thematic courage, and formal brilliance, *Girl, Woman, Other* is one of our time's most important contributions to contemporary literature and a defining text.

One of the most profound achievements of *Girl, Woman, Other* lies in its ability to theorize identity as fluid, relational, and contingent rather than fixed or essential. Evaristo's characters rarely conform neatly to rigid identity categories, and when they do, it often signals a crisis or dissonance rather than fulfilment. For instance, Carole's adoption of a conservative Christian femininity is initially presented as a rebirth. Still, as the narrative progresses, it becomes clear that this new identity is built on the suppression of her trauma and her past self. Evaristo does not simply criticize this transformation; she explores it with compassion, allowing Carole's denial to be understood within the logic of survival. The refusal to romanticize or demonize any one approach to identity makes the novel particularly powerful as a study of human complexity. It affirms that identity is a negotiation shaped by trauma, resistance, memory, and longing.

This refusal to settle into easy binaries extends to the novel's engagement with nationhood. Many characters in *Girl, Woman, Other* live in what Homi Bhabha might term "in-between spaces"—neither entirely British nor wholly defined by their countries of origin. The novel dramatizes this hybridity in characters like Winsome, Grace, and Dominique, whose transatlantic experiences form a core part of their subjectivities. Rather than seeking purity or authenticity, Evaristo's characters inhabit plural cultural landscapes, moving between dialects, cuisines, customs, and values. The result is a rich portrayal of diasporic life that rejects nostalgia and instead embraces transformation. Even Penelope, whose story initially seems removed from questions of postcolonial identity, is ultimately drawn into a reckoning with her mixed heritage. This moment of belated recognition reinforces the novel's more prominent theme: that the boundaries between self and other, native and foreign, are far more porous than they appear.

Language is both a thematic concern and a formal strategy in the novel. Evaristo's stylistic choices—such as the lack of conventional punctuation, the poetic fragmentation of sentences, and the rhythmic use of white space—mirror the characters' struggles to articulate themselves in a world that often silences or distorts their voices. This innovative approach to prose echoes the orality of storytelling, particularly within African and Caribbean diasporic traditions. In doing so, Evaristo aligns form with content: the very structure of the novel becomes an argument for alternative ways of knowing and expressing. The idiosyncratic syntax also foregrounds the act of storytelling itself as a form of resistance, a means by which characters assert their subjectivity against the homogenizing forces of dominant discourse.

Memory and forgetting operate in tension throughout *Girl, Woman, Other*. Characters like Hattie and Shirley cling to specific versions of the past to give

coherence to their present, while others, such as Carole and Penelope, attempt to erase or deny aspects of their history. This dynamic is especially poignant in portraying intergenerational memory, where oral histories often compete with official narratives. The novel suggests that remembering is not a passive act but a political one: to reclaim agency and resist invisibility. Conversely, forgetting—whether voluntary or imposed—often functions as a symptom of trauma or repression. Evaristo's narrative structure, which revisits certain events from multiple perspectives, challenges the reader to consider how memory is constructed, distorted, or contested. In this text, the past is not a fixed archive but a living dialogue that shapes and is shaped by the present.

Moreover, the intersections of art and activism emerge as a critical site of inquiry. Amma's journey from fringe performer to celebrated playwright is more than a story of professional success; it is a meditation on the compromises and contradictions inherent in political art. Evaristo is acutely aware of the tensions between radical content and institutional acceptance, and she stages these tensions through Amma's ambivalence about the theatre establishment. Morgan's writing is also presented as a means of selfexploration and public intervention. The novel thus raises essential questions about the artist's role in society: Can art change the world? Must it always serve a political purpose? Or can it simply bear witness? Evaristo doesn't provide easy answers, but her commitment to these questions undergirds the entire narrative.

An equally crucial thematic thread is formal and informal education as a means of empowerment, assimilation, or alienation. Shirley's narrative presents the school system as an escape from poverty and a tool of cultural erasure. Her insistence on academic excellence is framed as an act of resistance, yet it also distances her from her artistic roots and her daughter. Yazz, in contrast, takes her education for granted, often using her university experiences to criticize her mother's supposed narrow-mindedness. The

generational divide between them reveals differing conceptions of education's role: for Shirley, it is a path to dignity; for Yazz, it is a platform for critique. Evaristo uses this tension to explore how education shapes self-perception and social mobility while questioning who decides what is valuable knowledge.

Evaristo also uses the motif of performance—both literal and metaphorical—to interrogate identity. Amma's role as a theatre director stages plays and political debates about representation, voice, and authority. Her play at the beginning and end of the novel serves as a structural frame, reminding readers that life itself is often performative. Similarly, characters like Shirley and Penelope perform social respectability, while others like Dominique and Morgan perform radicalism. Yet Evaristo complicates these performances by revealing the interior vulnerabilities behind them. The novel suggests that while identity is often performed in response to societal pressures, these performances can also be acts of subversion and self-creation. In this way, performance becomes both a survival strategy and a mode of empowerment.

Ultimately, *Girl, Woman, Other* is a novel of connective tissue between people, ideas, and histories. The final gathering of characters at Amma's play is not just a narrative resolution but a symbolic convergence of disparate lives and voices. This collective moment encapsulates the novel's philosophy: that despite differences, we are interlinked by shared struggles, desires, and the need to be seen. The title—Girl, Woman, Other—suggests a continuum rather than a binary, an open-ended exploration of identity that resists closure. In centring voices that have long been silenced or sidelined, Evaristo rewrites the literary canon and reimagines what storytelling can do. Her novel insists that literature must listen to the margins, that structure must echo content, and that every "other" has a story worthy of centre stage. Through its radical

inclusivity, *Girl, Woman, Other* becomes a living archive of intersectional identities—messy, beautiful, and defiantly alive.

In Bernardine Evaristo's Girl, Woman, Other, Amma's suffering stems from the tension between her radical ideals and the compromises of motherhood and ageing. As a fiercely feminist theatre-maker, Amma confronts a world that marginalizes Black queer voices. Her activism is often met with institutional resistance, and her dedication to her ideals leaves her feeling professionally sidelined. Moreover, Amma grapples with the complexities of raising Yazz in a more materially privileged but less politically radical society. The generational disconnect with her daughter, who views Amma's ideals as outdated, adds to her emotional burden. Amma's suffering is thus a blend of social alienation, artistic struggle, and the pain of realizing that radicalism can sometimes isolate those who carry its torch.

Yazz suffers from the contradictory pressures of privilege and identity. As a university-educated, middle-class Black woman, she attempts to distance herself from her mother's radical past, believing herself to be more modern and intellectually superior. However, Yazz's supposed confidence masks an inner conflict: she struggles to belong fully in elite academic spaces where race, class, and gender dynamics often undermine her sense of self. Her interactions with her diverse but usually performative peer group reveal a loneliness that stems from the superficiality of modern identity politics. Yazz's suffering lies in the void between inherited ideology and lived experience, where her desire for individuality clashes with the social expectations placed upon her.

Dominique's narrative is deeply marked by emotional and physical trauma, primarily through her experience of domestic abuse within a queer relationship. Her

decision to move to the United States in pursuit of liberation from patriarchal structures leads her into another form of control when she becomes entangled with Nzinga. Dominique's suffering evolves from fleeing one oppressive environment into another, highlighting how control and domination can manifest in intimate spaces regardless of gender. Her pain is also compounded by the estrangement from Amma, who was once her closest friend, and the sense of guilt that follows her decision to abandon the British feminist theatre scene. Dominique's struggle captures the paradox of liberation pursued at the cost of connection and emotional safety .

Shirley's suffering is mainly psychological, rooted in a lifetime of assimilation and internalized racism. As a woman who climbs the educational ladder to become a headteacher, Shirley believes in the meritocratic ideals of Britain and distances herself from her Blackness. She views herself as exceptional and disdains other Black women who fail to conform to respectable norms. Yet, her emotional detachment leads to fractured relationships, particularly with her daughter, Carol, who sees Shirley's erasure of culture as betrayal. Shirley's most incredible suffering is loneliness, which stems from self-denial; in trying to fit into the dominant structure, she becomes an outcast in her community and family .

Carole experiences one of the most harrowing forms of suffering in the novel. Her story involves childhood sexual abuse, rape as a teenager, and subsequent psychological breakdown. These traumas culminate in her complete rejection of her cultural and racial identity. Carole adopts a new identity that aligns with white, middle-class respectability, and she distances herself from both her mother and her Nigerian roots. Her suffering is not only the result of violence but also of the coping mechanisms she adopts—mechanisms that alienate her from her community and self. Evaristo portrays Carole's

pain as cyclical, with her unresolved trauma affecting her relationships and selfperception profoundly .

Bummi, Carole’s mother, suffers from the pain of dislocation and generational fracture. A devout Nigerian Christian immigrant, Bummi tries to preserve cultural values in a society that disregards them. Her daughter’s rejection of these values and eventual estrangement causes Bummi deep grief. She struggles to comprehend how British society and her rigidity contributed to Carole's trauma and alienation. Bummi’s suffering lies in her failure to reconcile the world she left behind with the one she inhabits and in her inability to protect her daughter from the cruelties of that new world.

Morgan, formerly Megan, suffers profoundly from their non-binary identity and the societal misunderstandings it attracts. As a character deeply attuned to issues of gender and identity, Morgan confronts alienation from family and society. Their parents' confusion and discomfort with Morgan's gender expression lead to emotional isolation, and even within queer spaces, Morgan sometimes feels tokenized. The intellectual spaces Morgan enters often lack emotional support, and their attempts to educate others about non-binary existence can become emotionally exhausting. Morgan’s suffering is deeply existential, arising from the constant labour of self-definition in a world structured by binaries.

Hattie, Morgan's great-grandmother, suffers in silence through generations of rural marginalization. As a matriarch of mixed heritage, Hattie lives on a farm in Northumberland and endures the quiet erasure of Black rural identities in British narratives. Her knowledge is mainly oral and embodied, passed down rather than written, and this form of living history is undervalued in a society that prioritizes institutional recognition. Hattie's suffering is subdued but powerful—a quiet loneliness

that comes from being a historical anomaly, a Black woman in a space that erases her presence while depending on her resilience.

Grace, Hattie's mother, suffers from the historical burden of illegitimacy, racial ambiguity, and poverty. As a woman of mixed race in early 20th-century Britain, Grace is never entirely accepted by her community. She experiences abandonment, economic hardship, and the stigma attached to having children out of wedlock. Her suffering is reflective of the era's brutal social norms, particularly toward women who do not conform to racial or sexual respectability. Grace's marginalization becomes foundational to the generational trauma that flows down to Hattie and later Morgan, embedding suffering as an intergenerational legacy.

Penelope's suffering is rooted in her ignorance and later in her realization of the falsity of her assumed identity. Believing herself to be purely white and British, Penelope upholds colonial ideals, dismisses multiculturalism, and prides herself on traditional values. However, when she learns of her Black ancestry and her descent from Hattie's line, she experiences a profound crisis of identity. The suffering she faces is intellectual and existential: the shattering of a stable sense of self and the guilt associated with her complicity in upholding exclusionary norms. Penelope's pain stems from this revelation and her inability to reconcile her past beliefs with this newfound truth.

LaTisha's suffering is grounded in the pressures of single motherhood, financial instability, and racialized social judgment. A supermarket worker who had children with different fathers, LaTisha faces public scorn and limited opportunities for advancement. Her romantic choices are often influenced by cycles of need rather than desire, and her attempts at self-improvement are thwarted by structural inequality. Despite her strength,

LaTisha usually internalizes the stereotypes society projects onto her, leading to selfdoubt and fatigue. Her suffering reflects the burdens placed on working-class Black women and the limited narratives available to them.

Finally, Shirley's mother, Winsome, endures the pain of migration and unfulfilled expectations. She arrives in Britain from the Caribbean with dreams of prosperity but faces a cold, often racist society that undervalues her labour and identity. As she ages, she becomes bitter and emotionally distant, especially toward Shirley, whom she views as arrogant and ungrateful. Winsome’s suffering is embedded in disappointment—the disillusionment with Britain and the emotional cost of sacrificing home and history in pursuit of opportunity. She is emblematic of the Windrush generation's collective pain, caught between worlds but entirely accepted in neither.

CHAPTER IV

CONCLUSION

In Girl, Woman, Other, Bernardine Evaristo constructs a powerful literary chorus in which the silenced, the forgotten, and the misunderstood are acknowledged and allowed to speak with the fullness and complexity of their being. The phrase "Every voice, no matter how marginalized, deserves to be heard" becomes not merely a moral imperative within the novel's narrative but a structural and thematic anchor that defines its raison d'être. Evaristo's polyphonic architecture, her fluidity of form, and her inclusive subject matter work in tandem to dismantle the hegemonic literary traditions that have long excluded women—particularly Black British women, queer individuals, and workingclass people—from the centre of cultural discourse. Evaristo affirms the inherent worth of every story in allowing these voices to emerge and resonate in harmony and dissonance alike. Through this literary intervention, she reimagines a canon where multiplicity is not an anomaly but a necessity.

This narrative strategy functions as an act of literary justice. It redresses centuries of exclusion by centring the voices that dominant narratives have historically erased or tokenized. Evaristo doesn't merely provide representation; she provides narrative sovereignty. Her characters speak for themselves in their rhythms, dialects, and codes of

meaning. Whether Amma's radical feminism, Shirley's conservatism, Carole's estrangement from her past, or Morgan's gender fluidity, each voice complicates easy binaries and reveals the multifaceted nature of human identity. Through these varied perspectives, the novel interrogates the intersections of race, gender, sexuality, class, and heritage, making it clear that marginalization is not monolithic but deeply nuanced. Every character lives at the intersection of multiple identities, and it is through this complexity that Evaristo exposes the richness and resilience found in lives that are so often overlooked.

Moreover, Girl, Woman, Other does not restrict itself to the interior lives of its characters; it also situates them within broader sociohistorical and political contexts. Evaristo maps the evolution of British society across generations, capturing how global events, social movements, and shifting cultural norms shape the lives of ordinary individuals. The novel's cross-generational structure underscores systemic marginalization's cumulative effects while highlighting the intergenerational transmission of knowledge, trauma, resistance, and healing. In doing so, Evaristo asserts that marginalized voices are not just individual but collective; they are part of a continuum of struggle and survival that spans decades. Each story contributes to a broader tapestry that reflects the changing face of British identity—an undeniably multicultural, multigenerational, and multifaceted identity.

Evaristo's stylistic choices further affirm her thematic commitment to amplifying marginalized voices. Her rejection of conventional punctuation and capitalization reflects a deliberate dismantling of literary hierarchy. Just as her characters disrupt societal expectations, her prose challenges readers to reconsider linguistic norms. This linguistic innovation mirrors the disruption of traditional power structures within the novel. By creating a prose style that flows like a thought or spoken word, Evaristo mimics the natural

rhythms of her characters' internal lives, thereby validating their modes of expression. The form becomes a container expansive enough to hold the characters' emotional, cultural, and political realities. In this way, the novel becomes a space of inclusion, where traditional rules are subverted to favour a more authentic, more equitable storytelling practice.

Including diverse sexual orientations, gender expressions, and non-normative family structures further illustrates the novel's commitment to validating all experiences. Through characters like Dominique and Morgan, Evaristo explores themes of queer identity, gender nonconformity, and chosen family. These characters are not ancillary or symbolic but integral to the novel's fabric. Their stories are not sanitized or simplified for palatability. Instead, they are presented with honesty and care, reinforcing that voices that deviate from the mainstream are valid and vital to our understanding of humanity. Evaristo does not ask the reader for tolerance; she demands empathy and recognition. She does not seek to assimilate difference but to celebrate it.

This multidimensional portrayal of character demonstrates Evaristo's refusal to engage in reductive categorizations, reinforcing that the path to true inclusivity lies not in flattening identities for cohesion but in embracing contradiction and multiplicity. In Girl, Woman, Other, every character is a constellation of histories, influences, and internal conflicts. The novel resists the erasure that often accompanies attempts to create easily digestible narratives of "diversity." Instead, it commits to showing that marginalized voices are not merely different from dominant ones—they are just as nuanced, flawed, and dynamic. This commitment reflects a broader ethos: literary spaces must expand to accommodate presence and complexity. Representation without depth can reinforce marginalization in subtler forms, whereas Evaristo's intricate character studies actively subvert those tendencies and give marginalized lives their whole dimension.

In many ways, the novel's central theme—hearing every voice—echoes Audre Lorde's assertion that "there is no such thing as a single-issue struggle because we do not live single-issue lives." Each of Evaristo's characters brings the tangled interplay of oppression and privilege to the forefront. For example, Shirley achieves upward mobility through education and assimilation into white middle-class culture, but her success comes at the cost of alienation from her mother and community. Morgan transitions into a gender-free identity and gains visibility but also faces the limitations of being understood even within progressive circles. These characters exist at the nexus of personal agency and societal structure. Evaristo renders their journeys honestly, showing how each individual must negotiate their voice about systems that both silence and shape them.

One of the most striking implications of the novel's thematic insistence on listening to the marginalized is its call for empathy not rooted in similarity but in difference. Rather than encouraging readers to identify with characters based on shared experiences, Evaristo challenges readers to engage across lines of unfamiliarity. She does not offer comfort but invites discomfort as a condition of growth. Her characters speak in ways that may challenge the reader's assumptions, values, or positionality. But rather than recoiling from such encounters, the narrative encourages readers to remain open. This radical openness—to complexity, contradiction, and difference—is essential for recognizing marginalized voices. It is a literary practice that mirrors the ethical obligation to listen in real life, not just when it is easy or affirming, but especially when it is not.

Evaristo's use of interconnection among her characters also advances this ethos. Though each chapter focuses on a different character, their lives subtly intersect—sometimes through bloodlines, sometimes through friendships, and other times through chance encounters. These intersections underscore the communal nature of identity and experience, suggesting that even the most isolated voice reverberates in others. This

technique illustrates that marginalization is not merely individual but systemic, and likewise, liberation is not solitary but collective. By threading the characters' lives together, Evaristo demonstrates how shared histories and social structures bind individuals and how solidarity among the marginalized can create a powerful counter-narrative to isolation. Listening to one voice inevitably leads to listening to others, creating a ripple effect that expands the space of understanding.

In the novel's final chapter, the reunion at the theatre performance orchestrated by Amma becomes a symbolic gathering of these varied voices. The event becomes more than a cultural production; it is a moment of communal reckoning, celebration, and recognition. Here, Evaristo brings her characters into literal and metaphorical proximity, enacting her vision of collective presence. Every voice that has filled the pages before is physically present in the same space, culminating their separate journeys and convergence of their distinct narratives. The performance that Amma organizes becomes a metacommentary on the novel itself: a space where multiplicity is not only acknowledged but cherished. It is a literary and political act of reclaiming space and voice, a declaration that the stories of Black women and gender-nonconforming individuals are not peripheral but central.

The power of this finale lies in its affirmation rather than resolution. There is no forced harmony, no reduction of the characters' diverse experiences into a singular moral—instead, the ending honours the unfinished, ongoing nature of becoming and belonging. Evaristo resists the temptation to provide closure where life offers none. Instead, she leaves the reader with a chorus—divergent, layered, unresolved, but undeniably present. This conclusion enacts her belief that hearing marginalized voices is not a one-time event but a continual process. It demands reading, returning, listening, and repeatedly hearing. The novel's structure, voice, and politics coalesce in this final gesture, reminding us that

literature can reshape the world by reflecting on it and imagining new ways of being within it.

This closing convergence at the theatre serves as a profound metaphor for the Girl, Woman, Other project: a space of visibility, expression, and solidarity for those long excluded from dominant cultural narratives. The audience within the narrative becomes a mirror to the readership outside it, witnessing a performance that is both celebratory and subversive. Here, Evaristo's central belief—that every voice, no matter how marginalized, deserves to be heard—is fully enacted, not through a monologue but through a layered symphony of lives intersecting and echoing one another. The characters are no longer isolated in their respective chapters; they are now part of a broader, interconnected reality where their narratives resonate in a shared space. The message is clear: these women and gender-diverse individuals have always been here, living, loving, struggling, and thriving. It is the world that has failed to listen.

In this way, Girl, Woman, Other is not just a novel but a reconfiguration of narrative ethics. It asks the reader not only to hear marginalized voices but also to rethink the frameworks through which we have been taught to listen. Evaristo confronts the literary tradition and reshapes it from within, creating a new tradition in which polyphony and plurality are not experimental gimmicks but necessary truths. Her characters do not beg for inclusion—they assert their existence. They are not supplementary to some grander, more "central" narrative—they are the narrative. Evaristo reshapes our sense of narrative legitimacy by positioning these characters as complete and complex human beings.

One of the novel’s quiet but powerful triumphs lies in its refusal to pit characters against one another for narrative dominance. There is no protagonist in the traditional sense, no singular voice that the reader is told to follow or favour. Instead, each chapter

immerses the reader in a different reality, inviting empathy, interrogation, and selfreflection. The structure reinforces the novel's core belief that no one voice should eclipse another. The decentering of narrative authority mirrors the social and political authority that Evaristo seeks in the world beyond the novel. In this way, the form and content are perfectly aligned: the message is not only told but is lived out through the very construction of the text.

Evaristo also complicates the idea of marginalization itself. The novel does not portray its characters solely as victims of systemic injustice; instead, it presents them as active agents navigating their paths within and against the systems that constrain them. Characters like Carole and Shirley demonstrate how internalized oppression and survival strategies can lead to distancing from one's roots, yet their stories are rendered with empathy and complexity. This nuanced portrayal challenges reductive readings of marginalized individuals and communities as either purely oppressed or wholly heroic. Instead, Evaristo acknowledges the messy realities of identity, the ways people change, contradict themselves and resist neat categorization. In doing so, she affirms that the right to be heard is not contingent upon purity, perfection, or ideological consistency—it is a human right.

Listening to marginalized voices also requires confronting the discomfort such stories may evoke. Evaristo does not shy away from complex topics: sexual violence, intergenerational trauma, racism, homophobia, classism, and more are all addressed without sensationalism or sentimentality. These experiences are not used to generate pity but to provoke awareness and, ultimately, a deeper understanding of the social structures that shape individual lives. In presenting these stories with candour and care, Evaristo clarifies that listening must go beyond surface-level appreciation. It must involve a

willingness to be challenged, to sit with pain, and to resist the urge to resolve discomfort too quickly. This ethical listening is at the heart of the novel's purpose.

Ultimately, Girl, Woman, Other insists that storytelling is a radical reclamation for those whose histories have been fragmented or erased. In giving voice to her characters, Evaristo does more than tell stories—she archives lives, preserving them in all their fullness for future generations. Each chapter functions as a personal history and a political intervention, contributing to a growing body of literature that centres on Black British experiences. Storytelling becomes a form of resistance against forgetting, against cultural amnesia, and against the dominance of narratives that exclude. These stories will not be lost; they will live on in the language, rhythm, and resonances they create. In this way, Evaristo becomes a novelist and an archivist of her community's lived realities.

The theme of inheritance also emerges as a powerful motif in the novel, deepening its commitment to generational continuity and transformation. Through mother-daughter relationships, chosen kinships, and intergenerational mentorship, Evaristo demonstrates how the voices of the past inform those of the present and shape the ones to come. Yazz's generation may rebel against Amma's radical feminism, and Morgan may challenge the gender frameworks their foremothers embraced, but these tensions are not framed as betrayals. Instead, they are natural evolutions—each voice building upon, questioning, and redefining what came before. In this dialectic between voices, Evaristo shows that marginalized identities are not static but constantly in flux, continually evolving. Listening becomes not a passive act but an intergenerational dialogue—a way of carrying forward lessons while forging new paths.

In allowing even the most unconventional or discomforting voices to have their space on the page, Evaristo highlights the importance of narrative plurality in shaping inclusive

cultural consciousness. This plurality does not dilute the political force of the novel; it enhances it. The coexistence of characters like Amma and Shirley—radical and conservative, queer and traditional—complicates any simplistic reading of identity politics.

It reminds the reader that marginalization is not experienced or resisted in identical ways. People of similar backgrounds can still be ideologically, emotionally, and socially distant. But even in their difference, their stories matter. Evaristo refuses to suggest that one way of being marginalized is more “authentic” than another. Instead, she allows each character to be the protagonist of her own life, thereby challenging the reader to embrace the discomfort of multiplicity.

In a world where publishing often rewards simplicity and legibility over nuance, Girl, Woman, Other is a testament to the richness of ambiguity. It demands that we hold space for contradictions—not to excuse harm or negate accountability, but to reflect the complexities of lived experience more accurately. Characters are not neatly punished or rewarded according to moral lessons; they are allowed to be. This refusal to moralize is part of the novel's insistence that every voice deserves to be heard—not because it is perfect, but because it is human. Evaristo does not flatten her characters into lessons or warnings; she lets them breathe. This breath reveals recognition, discomfort, clarity, and resonance.

The novel also highlights the role of self-silencing in perpetuating marginalization. Several characters, including Carole and Shirley, actively distance themselves from their roots as a means of survival or advancement. Their stories reveal the psychic toll of assimilation and the painful ruptures that occur when one's voice is muted to gain proximity to power. Yet even in their silence, Evaristo finds something worth hearing. She

gives them space to narrate their decisions, regrets, and attempts at reconciliation. In doing so, she challenges the idea that marginalized voices are always loudly resistant. Sometimes, they are quiet, fractured, or ambivalent. These voices, too, deserve to be heard, for they reflect the many ways people navigate a world that often demands their silence.

This commitment to embracing even partial or broken narratives reaffirms the ethical foundation of the novel. Evaristo does not impose coherence where there is none. Instead, she allows her characters to express themselves as they are, not as they should be. This refusal to correct, translate, or homogenize marginalized voices demonstrates radical respect for the autonomy of her characters. The reader is not positioned as a judge but as a witness. In this role, we are invited to listen not with superiority or pity but with humility. In this act of deep listening, accurate recognition can occur—recognition not just of individual stories but of the humanity that binds them all.

Evaristo's stylistic choices also support the novel's thematic commitment to valuing every voice. Her use of poetic prose, unconventional punctuation, and fluid sentence structure liberates language from the constraints of standard grammar and thereby mirrors the liberation of her characters from social norms. This unorthodox style disrupts the expected reading rhythm and demands a different kind of engagement—active, patient, and attentive. The free-flowing sentences mimic the natural cadence of oral storytelling and internal thought, reinforcing the authenticity of the voices represented. This style foregrounds orality, a tradition often undervalued in literary discourse, especially when linked to Black and diasporic cultures. By valuing this form, Evaristo once again asserts that marginalized voices are not just worthy of inclusion—they are rich, valid, and capable of reshaping the literary landscape.

The refusal to strictly adhere to syntactical and structural norms reflects a broader political stance. Just as the novel centres on characters who resist traditional expectations, it resists the expectations of the novel itself. Evaristo breaks the fourth wall of the literary convention to create a space where the marginalized do not need to conform to be understood. The reading experience becomes more than interpretive; it becomes participatory. The reader is compelled to slow down, to listen deeply, to be present in the moment of each sentence. This is especially crucial in a text that voices those who have historically been overlooked or misunderstood. Through form as much as content, Evaristo invites the reader to practice the ethics of listening—to listen with intention, curiosity, and respect.

Girl, Woman, Other also interrogates the politics of cultural gatekeeping. The novel has an undercurrent of tension between artistic recognition and authenticity. Characters like Amma and Dominique struggle with institutions that tokenize or marginalize their work, while others like Shirley seek legitimacy through assimilation into these same institutions. The novel critiques how marginalized voices are often only celebrated when they conform to palatable or profitable forms of identity expression. By offering many perspectives—some defiant, some complicit, all complex—Evaristo illustrates the challenges of navigating visibility in systems not designed for inclusion. This interrogation urges readers to question how society values certain kinds of stories over others and to recognize the subtle ways erasure can persist even within the appearance of inclusion.

By highlighting representation's simultaneous power and precarity, Evaristo calls for a deeper, more critical engagement with diversity in literature. It is not enough to feature marginalized characters; the conditions under which they can speak must also be examined. The novel critiques the liberal impulse to celebrate diversity without addressing the structural inequalities that necessitate such celebration in the first place. It reminds us

that hearing marginalized voices is not a performative act but a transformative one. Accurate recognition involves not just the visibility of these voices but the amplification of their truths, however unsettling, complex, or contradictory they may be.

Evaristo's inclusion of queer, trans, and gender-nonconforming characters further deepens the novel's commitment to inclusive listening. Characters like Morgan provide critical insight into the lived realities of those who challenge binary constructions of gender and sexuality. Morgan's journey—from Megan, a young woman grappling with family expectations, to Morgan, a gender-free social media icon—reveals the evolving nature of identity in contemporary culture. Their story is not used to exoticize or sensationalize queerness but to normalize its existence within the broader spectrum of human experience. By situating Morgan's narrative alongside those of cisgender women of different generations, Evaristo rejects the idea that gender diversity is separate from or irrelevant to feminist discourse. Instead, she affirms that every gendered and sexual experience contributes meaningfully to our understanding of what it means to be marginalized—and to be human.

In conclusion, *Girl, Woman, Other*, Bernardine Evaristo offers a novel and a literary intervention that reconfigures the relationship between voice, visibility, and value. The line "every voice, no matter how marginalized, deserves to be heard" serves as a thematic anchor and an ethical imperative that governs the novel's structure, style, and spirit. Through her sprawling, polyphonic narrative, Evaristo constructs a literary space where women and gender-nonconforming individuals of African and Caribbean descent in Britain are not merely included—they are centred, celebrated, and complexly rendered. Their stories do not orbit around a singular narrative of oppression or resilience; instead, they reflect the fullness of human experience: flawed, fragmented, triumphant, and tender.

The novel's achievement lies in its deliberate refusal to simplify. Instead, Evaristo offers a cacophony of stories composing a multiplicity symphony. These voices do not harmonise by conforming to a singular melody; they harmonise through the freedom of being distinct. This refusal to smooth over differences reaffirms the novel's central belief: to be genuinely heard, marginalized voices must be allowed to speak on their terms, in their rhythms, and with their contradictions intact. In this way, *Girl, Woman, Other* does more than provide representation—it redistributes narrative authority and redefines the literary centre.

Moreover, by elevating these characters through a non-linear, non-hierarchical structure, Evaristo does not merely advocate for diversity; she enacts it. She disrupts the literary status quo not with didacticism but with immersive empathy and aesthetic innovation. The novel embodies the change it envisions: a living testament to the richness of voices that have been ignored or silenced. This makes *Girl, Woman, Other* a significant cultural artefact and a moral document that asks us to listen better, write better, and be better in the stories we tell and the people we recognize.

In the end, Evaristo's work leaves the reader with both a call and a gift: the call to engage more deeply with lives unlike our own and the gift of a narrative world in which those lives can thrive without apology. To hear every voice, no matter how marginalized, is not simply a literary goal—it is a radical, ongoing practice of justice. *Girl, Woman, Other* shows us how to begin.

This sentence encapsulates one of the most potent themes of *Girl, Woman, Other*—the recognition, validation, and amplification of voices that have historically been silenced, ignored, or misunderstood. Bernardine Evaristo constructs her novel as a polyphonic tapestry of twelve distinct yet interlinked characters, most of whom are Black British women or non-binary individuals navigating a society structured by racism, sexism,

classism, and heteronormativity. The novel does not merely tell their stories; it listens to them. Each chapter becomes a stage for a voice that might otherwise remain unheard in mainstream literature or public discourse.

The characters in the novel come from a broad spectrum of experiences—ranging from Amma, the radical lesbian theatre-maker, to Carole, a woman who rejects her Black identity after sexual trauma, to Morgan, a non-binary social media activist. Many of them are marginalized not just once but multiple times across intersections of gender, race, sexuality, age, class, and geography. Evaristo gives each individual a complete narrative arc, resisting the tendency to present marginalized people as monolithic or defined only by suffering. Instead, she honours their complexities, contradictions, triumphs, and failures. In doing so, she believes that everyone has a story worth telling, no matter how overlooked or silenced by society.

Moreover, Evaristo's narrative style itself reflects this theme. She creates a rhythm that mirrors oral storytelling and decentralized authority by forgoing conventional punctuation and embracing poetic, free-flowing prose. The form becomes a metaphor for inclusivity—no single dominant voice exists in the novel. Each character speaks rhythmically, and the reader is invited to listen attentively to each without hierarchy. This structure reflects Evaristo's democratic approach to storytelling, where everyone sits at the table.

In essence, the line "no matter how marginalized, everyone's voice should be heard" is not just a theme of the novel—it is its narrative and structural philosophy. *Girl, Woman, Other* is both a celebration and an insistence: that silence is not an option, that complexity deserves space, and every voice, when finally heard, contributes to a fuller, richer understanding of humanity.

WORKS CITED

PRIMARY SOURCE:

Evaristo, Bernardine. “Girl, Woman, Other.” Grove Press, 2019,New York.

SECONDARY SOURCES:

Crenshaw, Kimberlé. “Mapping the Margins: Intersectionality, Identity Politics, and Violence against Women of Color.” Stanford Law Review, vol. 43, no. 6, 1991, pp. 1241–1299.

Chakraborty, Debjani. “Polyphony and Intersectionality in Bernardine Evaristo’s Girl, Woman, Other.” Journal of Postcolonial Writing, vol. 57, no. 6, 2021, pp. 707–719.

Ledger, Sally. "Herstories and Histories: Re-centering the Marginalized in Bernardine Evaristo’s Girl, Woman, Other." Feminist Studies, vol. 47, no. 2, 2021, pp. 387–410.

Oloruntoba, Oyeniyi. "Gender, Class, and Race in Girl, Woman, Other." Postcolonial Text, vol. 16, no. 3, 2021, postcolonial.org/index.php/pct/article/view/2467.

Smith, Zadie. "Speaking in Tongues: On the Voices in Girl, Woman, Other." The New Yorker, 13 Apr. 2020, newyorker.com/books/page-turner/bernardine-evaristo-girlwoman-other-voices

Younge, Gary. "A Tapestry of Black British Life: Bernardine Evaristo's Girl, Woman, Other." The Guardian, 28 Oct. 2019, theguardian.com/books/2019/oct/28/girl-womanother-bernardine-evaristo-review.

King, Bruce. "Bernardine Evaristo's Polyphonic Novel: Girl, Woman, Other." World Literature Today.

Mitchell, Angelyn. "Voicing the Unvoiced: Polyphony and Intersectionality in Girl, Woman, Other." Contemporary Literature.

Bentley, Nick. "Contemporary British Fiction and the Politics of Multiculturalism: Bernardine Evaristo's Girl, Woman, Other." Critique: Studies in Contemporary Fiction.