

## k-Normal Matrix in Minkowski Space

A.R. Meenakshi, \*K. Sivakamasundari and K. Bharathi

*Department of Mathematics,  
Karpagam University, Coimbatore 641021, India*  
*\*Associate Professor, Avinashilingam deemed University,  
Coimbatore-641043, India*  
*E-mail: arm\_meenakshi@yahoo.co.in  
kbarathi\_1975@yahoo.co.in*

### Abstract

The concept of k-normal matrix in Minkowski space is introduced as a special type of range symmetric matrix in Minkowski space and as an analogue of Complex normal matrix. Equivalent conditions for a matrix to be k-normal in Minkowski space are obtained. Some properties of k-normal matrices are derived.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 15A57

**Keywords:** Minkowski space, k-normal matrix, Range symmetric.

### Introduction

We shall deal with  $C^{n \times n}$ , the space of  $n \times n$  complex matrices. Let  $C^n$  be the space of complex n-tuples. Let  $G$  be the Minkowski metric tensor defined by

$Gx = (x_1, -x_2, -x_3, \dots, -x_n)^T$  for  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n)^T \in C^n$ . Clearly the Minkowski metric matrix

$$(1.1) \quad G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & -1 & & \\ & & -1 & \\ & & & \ddots \\ & & & & -1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ satisfy } G = G^* = G^{-1} \text{ and } G^2 = I_n.$$

In [6], the Minkowski inner product  $C^n$  is defined by  $(x, y) = [x, Gy]$ , where  $[..]$  denotes the conventional Hilbert space inner product. A space with Minkowski inner product is called a Minkowski space and denoted by  $m$ . With respect to the Minkowski inner product, the adjoint of a matrix  $A \in C^{n \times n}$ , is given by  $(Ax, y) = (x, A^*y)$

$$(1.2) \quad A^\sim = GA^*G, A^* = GA^\sim G$$

where  $A^*$  is the usual Hermitian adjoint.

$$(1.3) \quad A \text{ is } m\text{-symmetric if } A = A^\sim$$

For  $A_1, A_2 \in C^{n \times n}$ , it can be verified that [4]

$$(1.4) \quad (A_1 A_2)^\sim = A_2^\sim A_1^\sim$$

$$(1.5) \quad (A_1 + A_2)^\sim = A_1^\sim + A_2^\sim$$

$$(1.6) \quad (A^\sim)^\sim = A$$

### Preliminaries

Throughout let 'k' be a fixed product of disjoint transpositions in  $S_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $K$  be the associated permutation matrix. A matrix  $A = (a_{ij}) \in C^{n \times n}$  is k-hermitian if

$a_{ij} = \bar{a}_{k(j)k(i)}$  for  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . A theory for k-hermitian matrices is developed in [1].

For  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T \in C^n$  let us define the function

$$Kx = k(x) = (x_{k(1)}, x_{k(2)}, x_{k(3)}, \dots, x_{k(n)})^T \in C^n.$$

$$K(Gx) = (x_{k(1)}, -x_{k(2)}, -x_{k(3)}, \dots, -x_{k(n)}), \quad G(KGx) = (x_{k(1)}, x_{k(2)}, x_{k(3)}, \dots, x_{k(n)})$$

$$(2.1) \quad GKG = K$$

(2.2) A matrix  $A \in C^{n \times n}$ , is said to be k-EP in  $m \Leftrightarrow GA$  is k-EP (or)  $AG$  is k-EP [5].  $A$  is said to be k-EP  $\Leftrightarrow KA$  is EP (or)  $AK$  is EP [2]. Let  $R(A), N(A), rk(A)$  denote the range space, null space and rank of  $A$  respectively.  $K$  satisfy the following properties:

$$(P.1) \quad K = K^T = K^{-1}$$

$$(P.2) \quad K^\sim = (K^\sim)^T = (K^\sim)^{-1}$$

$$(P.3) \quad K^2 = I_n$$

### Definition 2.1

A Matrix  $A \in C^{n \times n}$  is said to be k-normal  $\Leftrightarrow A^*KA = AKA^*$  where  $K$  is the associated permutation matrix.

### Definition 2.2: [3]

$A \in C^{n \times n}$  is said to be range symmetric in  $m$  if and only if  $N(A) = N(A^\sim)$ .

### Definition 2.3: [4]

For  $A \in C^{n \times n}$  and  $G$  is the Minkowski tensor of order  $n$ , if  $Ax = \lambda Gx$  for  $x \in C^n$  and  $\lambda$  a scalar then  $x$  is said to be a  $G$ -eigen vector of  $A$  corresponding to the eigen value  $\lambda$ .

**k-normal matrices in Minkowski space**

In this section we shall introduce the concept of *k*-normal matrices in Minkowski space *m* as a generalization of *k*-normal matrix in unitary space.

**Definition 3.1**

A matrix  $A \in C^{n \times n}$  is said to be *k*-normal in *m*  $\Leftrightarrow A^{\sim}KGA = AKGA^{\sim}$  where *K* is the associated permutation matrix and *G* is the Minkowski metric tensor of order *n*.

**Remark 3.2**

If  $K = I_n$ , the identity transformation then *k*-normal in *m* reduces to  $A^{\sim}GA = AGA^{\sim}$  that is *A* is *m*-normal in *m* [4].

**Lemma 3.3**

*KG* is *m*-symmetric

**Proof**

$$\begin{aligned} (KG)^{\sim} &= G^{\sim} K^{\sim} = GK^{\sim} && \text{(by 1.1)} \\ &= G(GK^*G) && \text{(by 1.2)} \\ &= GGKG \\ &= G^2KG \\ &= KG && \text{(by 1.1)} \end{aligned}$$

Then *KG* is *m*-symmetric. (by 1.3)

**Theorem 3.4**

For  $A \in C^{n \times n}$  in *m* the following are equivalent:

- (i) *A* is *k*-normal in *m*
- (ii) *GA* is *k*-normal
- (iii) *AG* is *k*-normal
- (iv)  $A^{\sim}$  is *k*-normal in *m*

**Proof**

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) \\ A \text{ is } k\text{-normal in } m &\Leftrightarrow A^{\sim}KGA = AKGA^{\sim} && \text{(by Definition 3.1)} \\ &\Leftrightarrow (GA^*G)KGA = AKG(GA^*G) && \text{(by 1.2)} \\ &\Leftrightarrow G(GA^*G)KGA = GAKG(GA^*G) \\ &\Leftrightarrow A^*GKGA = GAKA^*G && \text{(by 1.1)} \\ &\Leftrightarrow (GA)^*K(GA) = (GA)K(GA)^* && \text{(by 1.1)} \\ &\Leftrightarrow GA \text{ is } k\text{-normal} && \text{[by Definition 2.1]} \end{aligned}$$

(i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii)

$$\begin{aligned}
A \text{ is } k\text{-normal in } \mathfrak{m} &\Leftrightarrow A^{\sim}KGA = AKGA^{\sim} \\
&\Leftrightarrow (GA^*G)KGA = AKG(GA^*G) && \text{(by 1.2)} \\
&\Leftrightarrow (GA^*G)KGAG = AKG(GA^*G)G \\
&\Leftrightarrow GA^*(GKG)AG = AG(GKG)GA^* && \text{(by 1.1)} \\
&\Leftrightarrow (AG)^*(GKG)AG = AG(GKG)(AG)^* && \text{(by 1.1)} \\
&\Leftrightarrow (AG)^*K(AG) = (AG)K(AG)^* && \text{(by 2.1)} \\
&\Leftrightarrow AG \text{ is } k\text{-normal} && \text{[by Definition 2.1]}
\end{aligned}$$

(i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iv)

$$\begin{aligned}
A \text{ is } k\text{-normal in } \mathfrak{m} &\Leftrightarrow A^{\sim}KGA = AKGA^{\sim} \\
&\Leftrightarrow A^{\sim}KG(A^{\sim})^{\sim} = (A^{\sim})^{\sim}KGA^{\sim} && \text{(by 1.6)} \\
&\Leftrightarrow A^{\sim} \text{ is } k\text{-normal in } \mathfrak{m}
\end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3.5**

Let  $\{A_i/i \in I\}$  for  $I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  be a class of  $k$ -normal matrices in  $\mathfrak{m}$ , by satisfying the condition  $A_i KGA_j^{\sim} = A_j^{\sim} KGA_i$  for  $i \neq j$ . Then the sum of  $k$ -normal matrices in  $\mathfrak{m}$  is  $k$ -normal in  $\mathfrak{m}$ .

**Proof**

Let  $\{A_i/i \in I\}$  for  $I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  be a class of  $k$ -normal matrices in  $\mathfrak{m}$ , by satisfying the condition  $A_i KGA_j^{\sim} = A_j^{\sim} KGA_i$  for  $i \neq j$ . We prove the Theorem by induction on  $n$ .

**Case 1**

For  $n = 2$ , since  $A_1, A_2$  are  $k$ -normal matrices in  $\mathfrak{m}$ , by Definition 3.1

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 KGA_1^{\sim} &= A_1^{\sim} KGA_1 \text{ and } A_2 KGA_2^{\sim} = A_2^{\sim} KGA_2. \text{ By hypothesis} \\
A_1 KGA_2^{\sim} &= A_2^{\sim} KGA_1 \text{ by taking Minkowski adjoint on both sides yield} \\
(A_1 KGA_2^{\sim})^{\sim} &= (A_2^{\sim} KGA_1)^{\sim} \text{ as } A_2 KGA_1^{\sim} = A_1^{\sim} KGA_2 && \text{(by 1.4 \& 3.3)}
\end{aligned}$$

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned}
(A_1 + A_2)KG(A_1 + A_2)^{\sim} &= (A_1 + A_2)KG(A_1^{\sim} + A_2^{\sim}) && \text{(by 1.5)} \\
&= (A_1 + A_2)KG A_1^{\sim} + (A_1 + A_2)KG A_2^{\sim} \\
&= A_1 KGA_1^{\sim} + A_2 KGA_1^{\sim} + A_1 KGA_2^{\sim} + A_2 KGA_2^{\sim} \\
&= A_1^{\sim} KGA_1 + A_2 KGA_1^{\sim} + A_1 KGA_2^{\sim} + A_2^{\sim} KGA_2 && \text{(by Definition 3.1)} \\
&= A_1^{\sim} KGA_1 + A_1^{\sim} KGA_2 + A_2^{\sim} KGA_1 + A_2^{\sim} KGA_2 \\
&= A_1^{\sim} KG(A_1 + A_2) + A_2^{\sim} KG(A_1 + A_2) \\
&= (A_1^{\sim} + A_2^{\sim})KG(A_1 + A_2) \\
&= (A_1 + A_2)^{\sim} KG(A_1 + A_2)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $A_1 + A_2$  is  $k$ -normal in  $\mathfrak{m}$ .

**Case 2**

For  $n = 3$

Let  $B = A_1 + A_2$  by Case 1,  $B$  is  $k$ -normal in  $\mathfrak{m}$ . To prove  $A_1 + A_2 + A_3$  is  $k$ -normal in  $\mathfrak{m}$

That is to prove  $B + A_3$  is  $k$ -normal in  $\mathfrak{m}$ , it is enough to verify that the  $k$ -normal matrices  $B$  and  $A_3$  in  $\mathfrak{m}$  satisfy the condition  $BKGA_3^\sim = A_3^\sim KGB$

$$\begin{aligned} BKGA_3^\sim &= (A_1 + A_2)KG A_3^\sim = A_1KGA_3^\sim + A_2KGA_3^\sim \\ &= A_3^\sim KGA_1 + A_3^\sim KGA_2 \\ &= A_3^\sim KGB \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $B + A_3$  is  $k$ -normal in  $\mathfrak{m}$ .

Hence Case 1,  $A_1 + A_2 + A_3 = B + A_3$  is  $k$ -normal in  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Now assume that sum of  $m-1$   $k$ -normal matrices in  $\mathfrak{m}$  is  $k$ -normal in  $\mathfrak{m}$  and we prove it is true for  $n=m$ . Let  $A = A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + \dots + A_{m-1}$ . By induction  $A$  is  $k$ -normal in  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} (A + A_m)KG(A + A_m)^\sim &= (A + A_m)KG(A^\sim + A_m^\sim) \\ &= AKGA^\sim + A_mKGA^\sim + AKGA_m^\sim + A_mKGA_m^\sim \\ &= A^\sim KGA + A_mKGA^\sim + AKGA_m^\sim + A_m^\sim KGA_m \\ & \hspace{15em} \text{(by Definition 3.1)} \\ &= A^\sim KGA + A^\sim KGA_m + A_m^\sim KGA + A_m^\sim KGA_m \\ & \hspace{15em} \text{(by Induction hypothesis)} \\ &= A^\sim KG(A + A_m) + A_m^\sim KG(A + A_m) \\ &= (A^\sim + A_m^\sim)KG(A + A_m) \\ &= (A + A_m)^\sim KG(A + A_m) \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $A + A_m$  is  $k$ -normal in  $\mathfrak{m}$ .

Therefore  $A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + \dots + A_{m-1}$  is  $k$ -normal in  $\mathfrak{m}$ .

Hence the theorem.

**Theorem 3.6**

The product of  $k$ -normal matrices in  $\mathfrak{m}$  is  $k$ -normal in  $\mathfrak{m}$  if each commute with the Minkowski adjoint of the other.

**Proof**

Let  $\{A_i / i \in I\}$  for  $I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  be a class of  $k$ -normal matrices in  $\mathfrak{m}$ . By hypothesis  $A_i A_j^\sim = A_j^\sim A_i$  for  $i \neq j$  we prove the theorem by induction on  $n$ .

**Case 1**

For  $n = 2$

Since  $A_1, A_2$  are  $k$ -normal matrices in  $\mathfrak{m}$ , by Definition 3.1  $A_1KGA_1^\sim = A_1^\sim KGA_1$  and  $A_2KGA_2^\sim = A_2^\sim KGA_2$ . By hypothesis  $A_1A_2^\sim = A_2^\sim A_1$  and  $A_2A_1^\sim = A_1^\sim A_2$ . Now  $(A_1A_2)^\sim KG(A_1A_2) = A_2^\sim A_1^\sim KGA_1A_2$  (by 1.4)

$$\begin{aligned}
&= A_2 \sim (A_1 \sim KGA_1) A_2 \\
&= A_2 \sim (A_1 KGA_1 \sim) A_2 && \text{(by Definition 3.1)} \\
&= A_2 \sim A_1 KGA_1 \sim A_2 \\
&= A_1 A_2 \sim KG A_2 A_1 \sim && \text{(by hypothesis)} \\
&= A_1 A_2 KG A_2 \sim A_1 \sim && \text{(by Definition 3.1)} \\
&= (A_1 A_2) KG (A_1 A_2) \sim && \text{(by 1.4)}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $A_1 A_2$  is  $k$ -normal in  $m$ .

### Case 2

For  $n=3$  is  $k$ -normal in  $m$ . To prove  $A_1 A_2 A_3 = B A_3$  is  $k$ -normal in  $m$ , where  $B$  and  $A_3$  are  $k$ -normal in  $m$ , it is enough to verify the condition  $BA_3 \sim = A_3 \sim B$  and  $A_3 B \sim = B \sim A_3$  hold by case 1. consider

$$\begin{aligned}
BA_3 \sim &= A_1 A_2 A_3 \sim \\
&= A_1 A_3 \sim A_2 \\
&= A_3 \sim A_1 A_2 \\
BA_3 \sim &= A_3 \sim B
\end{aligned}$$

By taking Minkowski adjoint on bothsides yield  
 $(BA_3 \sim) \sim = (A_3 \sim B) \sim$  as  $A_3 B \sim = B \sim A_3$  (by 1.4)

Hence by Case 1,  $A_1 A_2 A_3 = B A_3$  is  $k$ -normal in  $m$ .

Now assume that the product of  $(n-1)$   $k$ -normal matrices in  $m$  and we prove that it is true for  $n$ .

Let  $A = A_1 A_2 A_3 \dots A_{n-1}$ . By induction  $A_1 A_2$  is  $k$ -normal in  $m$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
AA_n \sim &= (A_1 A_2 A_3 \dots A_{n-1}) A_n \sim \text{ where } i=1,2,\dots,n-1 \text{ and } j=n \\
&= A_1 A_2 A_3 \dots A_n \sim A_{n-1} \text{ (by hypothesis)}
\end{aligned}$$

:

:

$$= A_n \sim A_1 A_2 A_3 \dots A_{n-1}.$$

$$AA_n \sim = A_n \sim A$$

By taking Minkowski adjoint on bothsides yield  
 $(AA_n \sim) \sim = (A_n \sim A) \sim$  as  $A_n A \sim = A \sim A_n$  (by 1.4)

Therefore  $AA_n$  is  $k$ -normal in  $m$  by Case 1.

That is  $A_1 A_2 A_3 \dots A_{n-1} A_n$  is  $k$ -normal in  $m$ .

### Definition 3.7

For  $A \in C^{n \times n}$  and  $G$  is the Minkowski tensor of order  $n$ , if  $KAx = \lambda Gx$  and  $K$  be the associated permutation matrix for  $x \in C^n$  and  $\lambda$  a scalar then  $x$  is said to be  $G$ -eigen vector of  $KA$  corresponding to the eigen value  $\lambda$ .

**Remark 3.8**

For  $A \in C^{n \times n}$ ,  $x \in C^n$ , using  $G^2=K^2=I_n$  from Definition 3.7 we have the following equivalence:

$x$  is a  $G$ -eigen vector of  $KA$  in  $m \Leftrightarrow x$  is an eigen vector of  $GKA \Leftrightarrow Gx$  is an eigen vector of  $KAG$ .

We shall follow the Euclidean norm  $\|x\|^2 = [x,x]$  in the unitary space in the following.

**Theorem 3.9**

For  $A \in C^{n \times n}$ , the following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $A$  is  $k$ -normal in  $m$ .
- (ii)  $\|KGAx\| = \|GA^{\sim}Kx\|$
- (iii)  $(A - \lambda GK)$  is  $k$ -normal in  $m$ .

**Proof**

(i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii)

$$\begin{aligned}
 A \text{ is } k\text{-normal in } m &\Leftrightarrow GA \text{ of } k\text{-normal} && \text{(by Theorem 3.4)} \\
 &\Leftrightarrow KGA \text{ is normal} && \text{(by 2.2)} \\
 &\Leftrightarrow \|KGAx\| = \|(KGA)^*x\| && \text{(by Theorem c; 271 of [7]} \\
 &\Leftrightarrow \|KGAx\|^2 = \|(KGA)^*x\|^2 \\
 &\Leftrightarrow [KGAx, KGAx] = [(KGA)^*x, (KGA)^*x] \\
 &\Leftrightarrow [KGAx, KGAx] = [G(KGA)^{\sim}Gx, (G(KGA)^{\sim}Gx)] && \text{(by 1.2)} \\
 &\Leftrightarrow [KGAx, KGAx] = [GA^{\sim}(KG)^{\sim}Gx, GA^{\sim}(KG)^{\sim}Gx] \\
 &\Leftrightarrow [KGAx, KGAx] = [GA^{\sim}KGGx, GA^{\sim}KGGx] && \text{(by 3.3)} \\
 &\Leftrightarrow [KGAx, KGAx] = [GA^{\sim}Kx, GA^{\sim}Kx] && \text{(by 1.1)} \\
 &\Leftrightarrow \|KGAx\|^2 = \|GA^{\sim}Kx\|^2 \\
 &\Leftrightarrow \|KGAx\| = \|GA^{\sim}Kx\|
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) holds.

(i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii)

$$\begin{aligned}
 A \text{ is } k\text{-normal in } m &\Leftrightarrow GA \text{ of } k\text{-normal} && \text{(by Theorem 3.4)} \\
 &\Leftrightarrow KGA \text{ is normal} && \text{(by 2.2)} \\
 &\Leftrightarrow (KGA - \lambda I) \text{ is normal} && \text{(by Theorem 62-A[7])} \\
 &\Leftrightarrow KG(A - \lambda GK) \text{ is normal} && \text{(by using } I=KGGK \text{ as } G^2=K^2=I_n) \\
 &\Leftrightarrow G(A - \lambda GK) \text{ is } k\text{-normal} \\
 &\Leftrightarrow (A - \lambda GK) \text{ is } k\text{-normal in } m. && \text{(by Theorem 3.4)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence the theorem

**Theorem 3.10**

Let  $A$  be  $k$ -normal in  $m$ , then  $x$  is  $G$ -eigen vector of  $KA$  in  $m$  with eigen value  $\lambda \Leftrightarrow x$  is a  $G$ -eigen vector of  $(KA)^\sim$  with eigen value  $\lambda^\sim$ .

**Proof**

Since  $A$  is  $k$ -normal in  $m$ , by Theorem 3.4,  $AG$  is  $k$ -normal,  $KAG$  is normal (by 2.2). It is well known that (p-290[7]),  $x$  is an eigen vector of  $KAG$  with eigen value  $\lambda \Leftrightarrow x$  is an eigen vector of  $(KAG)^* = G(KAG)^\sim G = GG^\sim(KA)^\sim G = (KA)^\sim G$  with eigen value  $\lambda^\sim \Leftrightarrow Gx$  is an eigen vector of  $(KA)^\sim G$  with eigen value  $\lambda^\sim$ . By Remark 3.8, it follows that  $x$  is a  $G$ -eigen vector of  $KA$  with eigen value  $\lambda \Leftrightarrow x$  is a  $G$ -eigen vector of  $(KA)^\sim$  with eigen value  $\lambda^\sim$ . Hence the theorem.

**Generalization of  $k$ -symmetric matrices in  $m$**

Since every  $k$ -symmetric matrix in  $m$  is  $k$ -normal in  $m$ ,  $k$ -normal matrices is a generalization of  $k$ -symmetric matrices in  $m$ .

In the case of complex matrices, every normal matrix is EP in unitary space. Here we prove that similar result holds for matrices in Minkowski space  $m$  in the following.

**Theorem 4.1**

If  $A \in C^{n \times n}$  is  $k$ -normal in  $m$ , then  $A$  is range symmetric in  $m$ .

**Proof**

Since  $A$  is  $k$ -normal in  $m$  by Definition 3.1,  $A^\sim KGA = AKGA^\sim$ , clearly

$N(A) \subseteq N(A^\sim KGA)$ . Since  $G$  is nonsingular and  $K$  be the associated permutation matrix,  $\text{rk}(A^\sim KGA) = \text{rk}(GA^\sim KGA) = \text{rk}(GGA^*GKGA) = \text{rk}(A^*KA) = \text{rk}(KA) = \text{rk}(A)$ . Hence  $N(A) = N(A^\sim KGA)$ . Also  $N(A^\sim) \subseteq N(AKGA^\sim)$  and  $\text{rk}(A^\sim) = \text{rk}(A) = \text{rk}(AKGA^\sim)$

$= \text{rk}(AKGA^\sim)$  it follows that  $N(A^\sim) = N(AKGA^\sim)$ . Hence  $N(A^\sim) = N(AKGA^\sim) = N(A^\sim KGA) = N(A)$ . By Definition 2.2  $A$  is range symmetric in  $m$ .

The converse of the above theorem fails. This can be illustrated by the following example.

**Example 4.2**

$$\text{For } A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad GA = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is non-singular and A is range symmetric in *m*.

$$\begin{aligned} (GA)K(GA)^* &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \\ (GA)^*K(GA) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$(GA)K(GA)^* \neq (GA)^*K(GA)$ . Thus GA is not *k*-normal and therefore by Theorem 3.3 A is not *k*-normal in *m*.

### References

- [1] R.D Hill and S.R. Waters, On *k*-real and *k*-Hermitian matrices, Linear Alg. Appl. 169(1992), 17-29.
- [2] AR. Meenakshi and S. Krishnamoorthy, On *k*-EP matrices, Linear Alg. Appl. 269(1998)219-232.
- [3] AR. Meenakshi Range symmetric matrices in Minkowski space Bull. Malaysian Math. Sc. Soc.1 (2000) 45-52.
- [4] AR. Meenakshi and D. Krishnaswamy *m* -normal matrices in Minkowski space (submitted).
- [5] AR. Meenakshi and K. Bharathi On *k*-EP matrices in Minkowski space (submitted).
- [6] Michael Renardy, Singular Value Decomposition in Minkowski space, Lin. Alg. Appln. 236(1996) 53-58.
- [7] G.F. Simmons, Introduction to Topology and Modern Analysis, McGraw Hill, New Delhi, 1985.