

**FLoadAutoRED: An Active Queue Management Scheme to  
Prevent Congestion in a Dynamically Varying Traffic in IP  
Networks**

BY  
**K.CHITRA**

A THESIS SUBMITTED TO  
AVINASHILINGAM INSTITUTE FOR HOME SCIENCE AND  
HIGHER EDUCATION FOR WOMEN  
COIMBATORE – 641043

IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENT FOR THE  
DEGREE OF

**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY**  
IN  
**COMPUTER SCIENCE**

**DECEMBER 2011**

## **CERTIFICATE**

This is to certify that the thesis entitled “**FLoadAutoRED: An Active Queue Management Scheme to Prevent Congestion in a Dynamically Varying Traffic in IP Networks**” submitted to the Avinashilingam Institute For Home Science and Higher Education For Women, Coimbatore, for the award of the degree of **Doctor of Philosophy in Computer Science**, is a record of original research work done by **K.CHITRA**, during the period of her study in the Department of Computer Science, Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women, Coimbatore, under my supervision and guidance and the thesis has not formed the basis for the award of any Degree / Diploma / Associateship / Fellowship or similar title to any candidate of any University.

**SIGNATURE OF THE GUIDE**

**SIGNATURE OF THE DEAN**

**SIGNATURE OF THE  
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT**

## DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the matter embodied in this thesis entitled **“FLoadAutoRED: An Active Queue Management Scheme to Prevent Congestion in a Dynamically Varying Traffic in IP Networks”** is the result of investigations carried out by me in the Department of Computer Science, Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women, Coimbatore, under the supervision and guidance of **Dr. G. PADMAVATHI, M.Sc., M.Phil., Ph.D.**, Professor and Head, Department of Computer Science, Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women, Coimbatore and that it has not been submitted for the award of any Degree / Diploma / Associateship / Fellowship or other similar title of any other University or Institute.

**SIGNATURE OF THE GUIDE**

**SIGNATURE OF THE CANDIDATE**

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I owe my reverential gratitude to late **Ayya Dr. T. S. Avinashilingam Avl.**, Founder, President and First Chancellor of Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women, Coimbatore for providing the temple of learning and also for his heavenly blessings. I owe my sincere and humble gratitude to late **Amma Dr. Rajammal P. Devadas Avl., M.A., M.Sc., Ph.D. (Ohio State) D.Sc. (Madras), Hon. D.H.L. (Oregon State), Hon. D.Sc. University of Ulster (Northern Ireland)**, Former Chancellor, Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women, Coimbatore.

I record my sincere thanks to the present Chancellor, **Dr. T.K.S.Meenakshi Sundaram** for providing the necessary infrastructure for the conduct of the research.

I express my sincere and heartfelt thanks to the present Vice Chancellor, **Dr. Sheela Ramachandaran, M.Sc., PG. Dip., (Avinashilingam) Ph.D.** for providing necessary facilities and resources for the successful completion of this research work.

I extend my gratitude to the Registrar, **Dr. Gowri Ramakrishnan, M.Sc., (Madras), M.Phil., Ph.D., (Avinashilingam)** for providing the facilities to carry out the research.

I also express my sincere thanks to **Dr. P. Santhana Krishnan**, Director (Research and Consultancy), Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women, Coimbatore for his timely help and facilities provided for the successful completion of this research work.

I express my sincere thanks to **Dr. R. Parvatham, M.Sc., Dip.Ed., M.Phil., Ph.D.**, Dean, Faculty of Science, Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women, Coimbatore for her spontaneous and timely help and amenities provided for the successful completion of this research work.

I feel extremely privileged and fortunate to have worked under the able supervision and professional guidance of my guide, **Dr. G. Padmavathi, M.Sc., M.Phil., Ph.D.**, Professor and Head, Department of Computer Science, Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women, Coimbatore. Her constant encouragement, motivation, valuable and constructive suggestions and support helped me to explore and gain deep knowledge in the research field.

She helped to define my research goals and showed the way to achieve them. Her able guidance and systematic approach urged me to put my best possible efforts in completing my work and documentation in time. Her sympathetic friendly nature, timely counseling, willing to help at any time, anywhere at any situation during the entire period of the study has molded this research into a reality.

I express my sincere thanks to **Shri M. A. Chandrasekara Rajha**, Chief Executive Officer, GKD Charity Trust, Coimbatore, for permitting me to carry out the research work.

I express my sincere and heartfelt thanks to **Dr. V. Latha**, Principal, D. J. Academy for Managerial Excellence, Coimbatore, for permitting me to carry out the research work. I am greatly indebted to her for her invaluable support for this research during the entire duration of this course.

I express my sincere and heartfelt thanks to **Dr. B. Sudhakar, Former** Principal, D. J. Academy for Managerial Excellence, Coimbatore, for encouraging me to join the Ph.D programme.

I also record my thanks to **Dr. D. Sivaraj, Dr. E. Chandra, Dr. V.S. Meenakshi**, Head of the Department, my friends and colleagues, Department of Computer Science, D.J. Academy for Managerial Excellence, Coimbatore, for their support, encouragement and cooperation rendered for the completion of this research.

I record my gratitude to all the **Faculty members of Department of Computer Science, members of R & D and members of Computer Center, Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women, Coimbatore** for their encouragement and support.

I also thank my husband **Mr. P. Balakrishnan**, my loving son **B. Adithya**, my father **Mr. N. Kandaswamy**, my mother **Mrs. K. Subbulakshmi**, **sister** and **brothers** for their patience, wishes, prayers, encouragement and support extended to me at all the times throughout my career. I also express my gratitude to my Father-in-law **Mr. L. Palaniswamy** and mother-in-law late **Mrs. P. Dhanalakshmi** for their wishes in completion of this course.

Above all, I raise my humble heart in adoration to **God Almighty** who has showered his blessings to execute this research successfully.

**K.CHITRA**

**DEDICATED**  
**TO MY**  
**SON & PARENTS**

## **ABSTRACT**

The development and need of distributed data and multimedia applications are growing rapidly in Internet. Some common examples are Video conferencing, Internet telephony, File transfer, On-line games; Internet based banking, Email distributions, News updates and E- business. High quality delivery of data requires high network bandwidth. Moreover, the best quality of service is required to communicate the desired information across the IP network for these application-oriented operations. The special characteristics of these multimedia and data applications place a number of requirements on the IP network. The requirements are in terms of throughput, packet loss, and delay. Further, the deployment of these applications increases the percentage of dynamic varying traffic in the Internet resulting in congestion and starvation of TCP traffic. Such an effect in Internet results in multiple packet losses, low throughput and low delay leading to a congestion collapse. Internet multimedia and data applications cannot tolerate such performance degradation due to congestion. Congestion has to be handled efficiently that keeps the IP network in a stable state to accommodate varying traffic with high performance. Hence congestion has to be prevented by implementing a proactive approach i.e Congestion Preventive technique that keeps the IP networks in a stable state to accommodate varying traffic.

In IP networks, congestion can be detected and prevented at the network level (i.e.) at the Router level. Each router uses a finite buffer maintained in a queue fashion for the packets to be stored and transmitted. As a result, in case of heavy traffic, router gets congested and further congestion collapse is likely to occur. Packet drops at the routers implicitly indicate congestion to the end sources in the network for it to adjust accordingly the sending rate or window size.

Now-a-days Internet applications with dynamically varying load floods the Internet routers with data that requires efficient Queue management mechanisms. Active Queue Management (AQM) is introduced as a congestion

preventive approach that drops packets before buffer becomes full using congestion indicators like queue length and input rate. These schemes are based on congestion metrics like Queue-length, Load, both Queue and Load and other parameters like Loss rate. Further some of these schemes also use flow information along with various congestion metrics to analyze and control the congestion in routers more accurately. Considering these factors, AQM schemes are categorized based on congestion metrics without flow information and with flow information. The existing AQMs do not achieve all the required QoS of Internet routers that are flooded with dynamically varying traffic. Therefore it is proposed to introduce a method that tries to achieve the required QoS of Internet routers with the flooded data.

This thesis presents an Active Queue Management policy as a Router based mechanism for early detection and prevention of congestion inside the network to steer the overall performance of the dynamically varying traffic in IP Networks. The main objective is to steer Active Queue Management algorithms with respect to high link utilization, restricting disproportionate bandwidth usage, minimum delay and queue loss, stabilized and moderate network queues to achieve a better QoS by preventing congestion in a dynamically varying traffic in IP networks.

The performance of the existing algorithm AutoREDwithRED is improved to handle and provide better QoS for dynamically varying traffic in IP networks. The improvement to the AutoREDwithRED AQM is in terms of fairness in case of TCP and non-TCP flows. The entire contribution is discussed in four phases. The Phase-I uses the flow information to bring fairness. Tracking of this information results in increased in fairness, but does not show high improvement in terms of packet drop rate. The packet drop rate depends on the maximum queue threshold  $\max_{th}$ . Therefore to control the packet drop rate and remove the parameter tuning problem, the parameter  $\max_{th}$  is set as dynamic rather than as constant in the subsequent Phase - II.

Further to improve response or adaptability of the AQM to the dynamically varying load, input rate is introduced as a secondary congestion metric. The methodology in Phase-III brings in a better adaptability to AQM to the varying load and stable moderate queue sizes. To show a better performance in terms of link utilization and queuing delay, the load factor is introduced in the subsequent Phase – IV. The methodology adopted in Phase IV updates the packet drop probability. Updating the packet drop probability projected a better link utilization and minimum queuing delay.

The proposed AQM projects a better utilization with an improvement in the range 0.68% – 1.1% with respect to AutoREDwithRED for dynamically varying load. The stability of average queue size steers betterment between 12.99% and 35.74%. The packet drop rate is controlled for varying input around 3.38% to 6.1%. It shows a better fairness in values between 2% and 24% in case of moderate input traffic rate.

FLoadAutoRED AQM predicts incipient congestion timely and accurately with the help of two congestion factors, the queue size and input rate with the flow information. It projects smaller queuing delay with a higher utilization. It also has a stable queue size and robustness to variation in traffic load. The proposed scheme periodically monitors the link load and determines the capacity, load factor and queue length to detect the incipient congestion early and calculates the packet drop probability. Finally the proposed scheme guarantees the desired performance of dynamically varying traffic in IP Networks.

<b>TABLE OF CONTENT</b>		
<b>CHAPTER No</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE No</b>
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	IP Networks	2
	1.1.1 Applications of IP Networks	3
	1.1.2 Research Challenges in IP Networks	4
	1.1.3 Congestion in IP Networks	5
1.2	Congestion Handling Mechanisms	7
	1.2.1 Queue Management	10
	1.2.2 Active Queue Management	12
1.3	Problem Statement	14
1.4	Objectives of the Thesis	14
1.5	Significant Contributions of the Thesis	15
1.6	Organisation of the Thesis	15
<b>2</b>	<b>RELATED WORKS</b>	<b>17</b>
2.1	Active Queue Management Schemes	18
2.2	Classification of AQM Schemes	20
	2.2.1 Congestion Metric without Flow Information	21
	2.2.2 Congestion Metric with Flow Information	32
2.3	Comparison of AQM Schemes	36

	2.3.1 AutoREDwithRED - QUEUE BASED AQMs	40
2.4	Observations made due to Literature Review	46
2.5	Summary	48
3	<b>METHODOLOGY – PHASE I</b>	57
3.1	Methodology	58
3.2	Features of FAutoREDwithRED Algorithm - Methodology	60
3.3	Experimentation	64
	3.3.1 Performance Metrics	65
3.4	Conclusion	69
4	<b>METHODOLOGY – PHASE II</b>	72
4.1	Parameter Sensitivity	73
4.2	Importance of Queue Threshold	74
4.3	FDynamicAutoRED Algorithm	75
4.4	Experimentation	79
4.5	Conclusion	83
5	<b>METHODOLOGY – PHASE III</b>	86
5.1	Importance of Load Based AQMs	87
5.2	Virtual Queue Based AQMs	90
5.3	Implementation of Load and Virtual Queue – Methodology	91
5.4	Experimentation	93
5.5	Conclusion	95

6	<b>METHODOLOGY – PHASE IV</b>	96
6.1	Importance of Utilisation and Queuing Delay	97
6.2	Importance of Packet Drop Probability	98
6.3	Methodology - FLoadAutoRED Algorithm	100
6.4	Experimentation	103
6.5	Conclusion	106
7	<b>EXPERIMENTS AND RESULTS</b>	108
7.1	Simple Network Topology	109
7.2	Performance Metrics	109
7.3	Experiment I - Robustness with respect to Buffer size	110
7.4	Experiment II - Robustness with respect to number of TCP Connections	114
7.5	Experiment III - Robustness with respect to Link Capacity changes	117
7.6	Experiment IV - Robustness with respect to UDP Rate changes	120
7.7	Experiment V - Robustness with respect to Pareto Flow	123
7.8	Experiment VI - Robustness with respect to Dynamic Load	127
7.9	Conclusion	132
8	<b>CONCLUSION AND FUTURE SCOPE</b>	140
8.1	Summary and Conclusion	141

8.2	Future Scope	144
	<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b>	145
	<b>PUBLICATIONS</b>	151
	i. JOURNALS	151
	ii. BOOK CHAPTERS	152
	iii. CONFERENCES	153

## LIST OF FIGURES

S. NO.	FIG. NO.	FIGURE TITLE	PAGE NO.
1	1.1	Simple IP Network	3
2	1.2	Congestion in Simple IP Network	5
3	1.3	Congestion Handling Approach	7
4	1.4	Level of Congestion Handling	8
5	1.5	Congestion Notification - Feedback	9
6	1.6	Classification of Queue Management	12
7	1.7	AQM and the source algorithm	13
8	2.1	AQM and its Links	19
9	2.2	Classifications of AQM Schemes	21
10	2.3	Classifications of AQM Schemes based on Congestion Metric without Flow information	21
11	2.4	Queue Length Vs Time	26
14	2.5	Queue Length Vs Time	26
15	2.6	Queue Length Vs Time	27
16	2.7	Queue Length Vs Time	29
17	2.8	Classifications of AQM Schemes based on Congestion Metric with Flow information	32
18	2.9	Throughput Vs Time	33
19	2.10	Throughput Vs Time	35
20	3.1	Block diagram of the Methodology – Four Phases	59
21	3.2	Flowchart of FAutoREDwithRED	63
22	3.3	Simple Network Topology	64
23	4.1	Simple Network Topology	80
24	5.1	Simple Network Model with AQM	88
25	5.2	Simple Network Topology	93
26	5.3	Adaptability of AQMs	94
27	6.1	Simple Network Topology	103
28	7.1	Simple Network Topology	109

29	7.2	Comparison of Average Queue Length of AQM Schemes	111
30	7.3	Comparison of Std. Dev Average Queue Length of AQM Schemes	112
31	7.4	Comparison of Packet Drop Rate of AQM Schemes	112
32	7.5	Comparison of Utilisation of AQM Schemes	112
33	7.6	Comparison of Queuing Delay of AQM Schemes	113
34	7.7	Comparison of Queuing Delay Variations of AQM Schemes	113
35	7.8	Comparison of Average Queue Length of AQM Schemes	114
36	7.9	Comparison of Std. Dev Average Queue Length of AQM Schemes	115
37	7.10	Comparison of Packet Drop Rate of AQM Schemes	115
38	7.11	Comparison of Utilisation of AQM Schemes	115
39	7.12	Comparisn of Queuing Delay of AQM Schemes	116
40	7.13	Comparison of Queuing Delay Variations of AQM Schemes	116
41	7.14	Comparison of Average Queue Length of AQM Schemes	118
42	7.15	Comparison of Std. Dev Average Queue Length of AQM Schemes	118
43	7.16	Comparison of Packet Drop Rate of AQM Schemes	118
44	7.17	Comparison of Utilisation of AQM Schemes	119
45	7.18	Comparison of Queuing Delay of AQM Schemes	119
46	7.19	Comparison of Queuing Delay Variations of AQM Schemes	119
47	7.20	Comparison of Average Queue Length of AQM Schemes	121
48	7.21	Comparison of Std. Dev Average Queue Length of AQM Schemes	121
49	7.22	Comparison of Packet Drop Rate of AQM Schemes	121
50	7.23	Comparison of Utilisation of AQM Schemes	122
51	7.24	Comparison of Fairness of AQM Schemes	122
52	7.25	Comparison of Fairness and Variance of AQM Schemes	122
53	7.26	Increasing Pareto - Response Performance of AutoRED	124

54	7.27	Response Performance of CHOKE	124
55	7.28	Response Performance of FLoadAutoRED	125
56	7.29	Response Performance of RED	125
57	7.30	Response Performance of REM	125
58	7.31	Decreasing Pareto - Response Performance of AutoRED	125
59	7.32	Response Performance of CHOKE	125
60	7.33	Response Performance of FLoadAutoRED	126
61	7.34	Response Performance of RED	126
62	7.35	Response Performance of REM	126
63	7.36	Robustness with respect to Incr/Decr Load AutoRED - Queue Length Vs Time	128
64	7.37	CHOKE - Queue Length Vs Time	128
65	7.38	FLoadAutoRED - Queue Length Vs Time	128
66	7.39	RED - Queue Length Vs Time	128
67	7.40	REM - Queue Length Vs Time	129
68	7.41	Robustness with respect to Increasing Load AutoRED - Queue Length Vs Time	130
69	7.42	CHOKE - Queue Length Vs Time	130
70	7.43	FLoadAutoRED - Queue Length Vs Time	130
71	7.44	RED - Queue Length Vs Time	130
72	7.45	REM - Queue Length Vs Time	130
73	7.46	Robustness with respect to Decreasing Load AutoRED - Queue Length Vs Time	131
74	7.47	CHOKE - Queue Length Vs Time	131
75	7.48	FLoadAutoRED - Queue Length Vs Time	131
76	7.49	RED - Queue Length Vs Time	131
77	7.50	REM - Queue Length Vs Time	132

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>S. No.</b>	<b>TAB. No.</b>	<b>TABLE TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE No.</b>
1	2.1	Comparison of AQMs based on Classification	37
2	2.2	Comparison of AQMs based on Performance	39
3	2.3	Pseudocode of AutoREDwithRED	40
4	2.4	Performance Metrics of Existing AQMs	47
5	3.1	Pseudocode of FAutoREDwithRED	62
6	3.2	Parameter Setting of FAutoREDwithRED	64
7	3.3	Comparison of Avg. Queue Size and Std. Dev. of AQMs	67
8	3.4	Comparison of Utilisation of AQMs	68
9	3.5	Comparison of Fairness of AQMs	68
10	3.6	Comparison of Packet Drop Rate of AQMs	69
11	4.1	Pseudocode of FDynamicAutoRED	76
12	4.2	Parameter Setting of FDynamicAutoRED	80
13	4.3	Comparison of Average Queue Size / Std. Dev of AQMs	81
14	4.4	Comparison of Packet Drop Rate of AQMs	81
15	4.5	Comparison of Utilisation of AQMs	82
16	4.6	Comparison of Fairness of AQMs	83
17	5.1	Pseudocode of FLoadAutoRED	92
18	5.2	Parameter Setting of FLoadAutoRED	93
19	6.1	Pseudocode of FLoadAutoRED	101

20	6.2	Parameter Setting of FLoadAutoRED	103
21	6.3	Comparison of Average Queue Size / Std. Dev of AQMs	104
22	6.4	Comparison of Packet Drop Rate of AQMs	104
23	6.5	Comparison of Utilisation/Queuing Delay of AQMs	105
24	6.6	Comparison of TCP/CBR Utilisation of AQMs	105
25	6.7	Comparison of Fairness of AQMs	105
26	7.1a	Buffer Size - Parameter Setting of FLoadAutoRED	111
27	7.1b	Parameter Setting of AQMs	111
28	7.2	TCP Connections - Parameter Setting of FLoadAutoRED	114
29	7.3	Link Capacity Changes - Parameter Setting of FLoadAutoRED	117
30	7.4	UDP Rate Changes- Parameter Setting of FLoadAutoRED	120
31	7.5	Pareto Flow - Parameter Setting of FLoadAutoRED	124
32	7.6	Dynamic Load Change - Parameter Setting of FLoadAutoRED	127
33	7.7	No. of Connections – Different Traffic Types - Comparison of Utilisation	133
34	7.8	Comparison of Std. Dev Avg. Q. Size	134
35	7.9	Comparison of Average Queue Size	134
36	7.10	Comparison of Packet Drop Rate	135
37	7.11	Comparison of Queuing Delay	136
38	7.12	UDP Rate – Comparison of Utilisation	137
39	7.13	Comparison of Std. Dev Avg. Q. Size	137
40	7.14	Comparison of Avg Queue Size	138
41	7.15	Comparison of Packet Drop Rate	138
42	7.16	Comparison of Fairness	139

## **GLOSSARY**

<b>S.No.</b>	<b>Abbreviation</b>	<b>Full Form</b>
1	TCP/IP	Transmission Control Protocol / Internet Protocol
2	FTP	File Transfer Protocol
3	QoS	Quality of Service
4	VoIP	Voice over IP
5	ECN	Explicit Congestion Notification
6	TCP	Transmission Control Protocol
7	FIFO	First In First Out
8	RED	Random Early Detection
9	AQM	Active Queue Management
10	AVQ	Adaptive Virtual Queue
11	AIMD	Additive-Increase Multiplicative-Decrease
12	PD-RED	Proportional Derivative – Random Early Detection
13	SHRED	SHort-lived flow friendly RED
14	DS-RED	Double Slope - Random Early Detection
15	MRED	Modified Random Early Detection
16	REM	Random Exponential Marking
17	CHOKe	CHOOse and Keep for responsive flows, and CHOOse and Kill for unresponsive flows

18	FRED	Fair Random Early Drop
19	SVB	Stabilised Virtual Buffer
20	QCF	Queue Control Function
21	EAVQ	Enhanced Adaptive Virtual Queue
22	SAVQ	Stabilised Adaptive Virtual Queue
23	EWMA	Exponential Weighted Moving Average
24	StoRED	Stochastic RED
25	SFED	Selective Fair Early Detection
26	SFB	Stochastic Fair Blue
27	LUBA	Link Utilization Based AQM
28	UDP	User Datagram Protocol
29	FTP	File Transmission Protocol
30	FABA	Fair Bandwidth Allocation
31	ARED	AdaptiveRED

## PERFORMANCE METRICS USED

S. No.	FORMULA
1	$\text{Fairness Index} = \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i)^2}{n \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}$ <p>where <math>x_i</math> is the normalized throughput (in Kbps) of the <math>i</math>-th flow and <math>n</math> is the number of connections.</p>
2	$\text{Utilisation} = \frac{\text{TotalNo.ofPacketsReceived}}{\text{SimulationTime}} \times \frac{8}{1000 \times \text{Bandwidth}} \%$
3	$\text{Packet Drop Rate} = \frac{\text{DroppedPackets}}{\text{TransmittedPackets}} \times 100$
4	$\text{Throughput} = \frac{\text{TotalPacketsReceived}}{\text{SimulationTime}} \times \frac{8}{1000} \text{ Mbps}$
5	$\text{AverageQueueSize} = \frac{\text{Sum of Instantaneous Queue Size}}{\text{No.ofInstantaneousQueueSize}} \text{ packets}$
6	$\text{StdDev.AvgQueueSize} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (QSize_i - \text{AvgQSize})^2} \text{ packets}$