

CHAPTER IV

MULTIFACETED CONTINUOUS LOT BY LOT ACCEPTANCE SAMPLING PLAN

This chapter gives a newly proposed multifaceted continuous lot by lot acceptance sampling plan governed by clearance number for unit by unit inspection along with clearance number, sampling fraction and sampling rate for lot by lot inspection. Operating procedure of the plan and the derivation of performance measures by using Markov-chain approach are given. The strength of the proposed plan for varying parameters is analyzed. Procedure for construction of tables is given. Numerical examples are provided to illustrate the selection of the multifaceted plan.

Modern production processes, particularly those occurring in the electronics industry, are of high quality when the fraction of nonconforming products is in the range of parts per million. For control of such superior quality processes, the procedures generally assume cent percent inspection of product. This control strategy may not be effective and subject to test errors.

To overcome this drawback, Pesotchinsky (1987) proposed a strategy of combining the best features of continuous sampling plan, CSP-1 of Dodge (1943) with the lot by lot inspection plan. Due to its complexity, Govindaraju and Bebbington (2000) proposed a simplified scheme called combined continuous lot by lot acceptance sampling plan and provided performance measures, using the Markov chain approach of Stephens (1995).

Combining the best features of continuous sampling plan and lot by lot inspection is desirable for manufacturing processes with the superior quality. This made the investigator to propose a new multifaceted continuous lot by lot acceptance

sampling plan, consisting of three phases of inspection in the order of unit by unit inspection, lot by lot inspection and skipping lot inspection.

Procedure is reverted to screening inspection by units when a non conforming unit/lot is found in any phase. The quality indices and the performance measure are obtained to the proposed plan for the specified parameters

The operating procedure of the multifaceted continuous lot by lot acceptance sampling plan consists of the following steps. When units are offered for inspection in the order of production from a high quality process

- Step 1 : Start with the screening inspection of units.
- Step 2 : If i units in succession are found to be clear of defects. Start forming lots of desired size and move to lot by lot inspection
- Step 3 : Inspect lots one by one applying any lot by lot sampling plan
- Step 4 : If l consecutive lots are accepted on the lot by lot sampling plan, move to skipping inspection of lots at rate f
- Step 5 : If a lot is rejected either on the inspection of lot by lot or on the skipping inspection of lots then revert to screening inspection as in step 1, otherwise continue with skipping inspection at rate f .

The proposed multifaceted continuous lot by lot acceptance sampling plan is indexed by the parameters i, l and f and the parameters of the reference lot by lot sampling plan (N, n, c) .

Derivation of performance measures

Various performance measures of multifaceted continuous lot by lot acceptance sampling plan are derived using the Markov chain approach due to Roberts (1965).

Let $[X_n], n = 1, 2, \dots$ denote the discrete parameter Markov chain with finite state space $S_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, (i + l + 5)$.

The states of the multifaceted continuous lot by lot acceptance sampling plan are defined as

$$S_k = A_{k-1}, k = 1, 2, \dots (i + 1)$$

= 100 percent inspection of units is being conducted, including the latest unit is inspected and the last (k-1) consecutive units were found to be conforming.

$$S_k = B_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, l$$

= Lot by lot inspection is in effect and the last k consecutive lots submitted were inspected and accepted.

$$S_{i+l+2} = R$$

= Lot by lot sampling plan is in effect and the last lot submitted was inspected and rejected.

$$S_{i+l+3} = SA$$

= Skipping inspection is in effect and the last lot submitted was inspected and found to be accepted.

$$S_{i+l+4} = SR$$

= Skipping inspection is in effect and the last lot submitted was inspected and found to be rejected.

$$S_{i+l+5} = SN$$

= Skipping inspection is in effect and the last lot submitted was not inspected.

These set of $(i + l + 5)$ states defined above completely describes the mutually exclusive states of inspection for multifaceted continuous lot by lot acceptance sampling plan.

This procedure applied to an inspection process which is in statistical control and may be viewed as a discrete parameter Markov chain which is finite, irreducible and aperiodic. The vectors of the limiting probabilities π may be determined using the steady state equations. The transitional probability matrix is presented in Table 4.1

The steady state probabilities π_j satisfy the following conditions

$$\pi_j = \sum_{k=1}^{i+l+5} \pi_k p_{kj}$$

$$\pi_j > 0 \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, (i + l + 5)$$

such that
$$\sum_{k=1}^{i+l+5} \pi_k = 1$$

These conditions result in the following equations

$$\pi_0 = p(\pi_0 + \pi_1 + \dots + \pi_{(i-1)} + \pi_R + \pi_{SR})$$

$$\pi_{A1} = q(\pi_{A0} + \pi_R + \pi_{SR})$$

$$\pi_{Aj} = q^{j-1} \pi_{A1} \text{ for } j = 2, 3, \dots, i$$

$$\pi_{B1} = P \pi_{Ai} = P q^{(i-1)} \pi_{A1}$$

$$\pi_{Bm} = P^m q^{i-1} \pi_{A1} \text{ for } m = 1, 2, \dots, l$$

$$\pi_R = (1 - P)(\pi_{Ai} + \pi_{Bi} + \pi_{B(l-1)})$$

$$\pi_{SA} = fP (\pi_{Bl} + \pi_{SA} + \pi_{SN})$$

$$\pi_{SR} = f(1 - P) (\pi_{Bl} + \pi_{SA} + \pi_{SN})$$

$$\pi_{SN} = (1 - f) (\pi_{Bl} + \pi_{SA} + \pi_{SN})$$

Table 4.1 Transition Probability Matrix of Multifaceted continuous lot by lot plan

| | A_0 | A_1 | ... | A_{i-1} | A_i | B_1 | B_2 | ... | B_{l-1} | B_l | R | SA | SR | SN |
|-----------|-------|-------|-----|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-----|-----------|-------|-------|------|--------|------|
| A_0 | p | q | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A_1 | p | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| · | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| · | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| · | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A_{i-1} | p | 0 | | 0 | q | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A_i | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | P | 0 | | 0 | 0 | (1-P) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B_1 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | P | | 0 | 0 | (1-P) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B_2 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | (1-P) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| · | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| · | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| · | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B_{l-1} | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | P | (1-P) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B_l | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | fP | f(1-P) | 1-f |
| R | p | q | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SA | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | fP | f(1-P) | 1-f |
| SR | p | q | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SN | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | fP | f(1-P) | 1-f |

On simplifying, the steady state probabilities are

$$\pi_0 = p(1 - q^i)(1 - P)f/D$$

$$\pi_j = pq^j(1 - P)f/D \text{ where } j = 1, 2, \dots, i$$

$$\pi_{Bm} = P^m q^i p(1 - P)f/D \text{ where } m = 1, 2, \dots, l$$

$$\pi_R = pq^i(1 - P^l)(1 - P)f/D$$

$$\pi_{SA} = pq^i P^{l+1} f/D$$

$$\pi_{SR} = pq^i P^l (1 - P)f/D$$

$$\pi_{SN} = pq^i P^l (1 - f)/D$$

where $D = (1 - q^i)(1 - P_a)f + pq^i(f + (1 - f)P_a^l)$

The performance measures of the plan from the derived steady state probabilities are

- (i) The average number of units inspected under the screening inspection is

$$U = (1 - q^i)/pq^i \quad (4.1)$$

- (ii) The average number of lots passed under the lot by lot inspection is

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 &= (1 - \pi_{100(i)})/\pi_i \\ &= (f + (1 - f)P^l)/(1 - P)f \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where $\pi_{100(i)} = \sum_{i=0}^i \pi_i$

- (iii) The average number of lots inspected under the skipping inspection is

$$\begin{aligned} V_2 &= (1 - \pi_{100(l)} - \pi_R)/\pi_{Bl} \\ &= ((1 - q^i)(1 - P)f + pq^i P^l)/(P^l pq^i f(1 - P)) \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

where $\pi_{100(l)} = \sum_{l=1}^l \pi_l$

(iv) The OC function of the combined continuous lot by lot plan is

$$\begin{aligned} P_a &= 1 - \pi_{100(i)} - \pi_R - \pi_{SR} \\ &= pq^i(fP + (1 - f)P^l)/D \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

(v) If f is the fraction of the lot sampled then average outgoing quality is

$$AOQ = pP_a = ((N - n)/N)p^2q^i(fP + (1 - f)P^l)/D \quad (4.5)$$

AOQL is the maximum of AOQ function.

(vi) The average fraction inspected (AFI) in the sampling inspection is

$$AFI = 1 - \pi_{SN} = f((1 - P)(1 - q^i) + pq^i)/D \quad (4.6)$$

Application of the plan

For the purpose of illustration we suppose that the reference plan to be used is the single sampling plan. Tables 4.1 to 4.3 give the quality indices for multifaceted continuous lot by lot acceptance sampling plan. From which one can choose the required AOQL, given in parts per million closer to the value where the process is to be maintained.

If one needs the AOQL value as 0.001, there are many choices of the plan to be selected. One may observe that there are many useful combinations of i, l, n and c for the specified AOQL such as $(i, n, f, c, l) = (1000, 100, 1/3, 2, 5)$ with AQL=0.000862 and LQL=0.0003095; $(1000, 200, 1/3, 3, 5)$ with AQL=0.0001116 and LQL=0.0003119; $(500, 200, 1/10, 2, 5)$ with AQL =0.000892 and LQL=0.0003949.

From these different choices of the parameters one may choose the required multifaceted continuous lot by lot acceptance sampling plan. Tables give the Acceptable Quality Level (AQL) with 95% probability of acceptance and Limiting Quality Level (LQL) with 10% probability of acceptance in parts per million.

These constraints imply that the process is at the AQL, the lot by lot and fractional sampling will operate 95% of the time and remaining 5% of the time 100% inspection of units will operate and when the process is at the LQL, the lot by lot and fractional sampling will function 10% of the time and remaining 90% of the time 100% inspection will function.

Numerical values in these table reveal the following features

- (i) Increase in n , decreases AOQL, AQL and LQL irrespective of n/N
- (ii) Increase in f , decreases AOQL, AQL and LQL irrespective of n/N
- (iii) As n/N increases the AOQL decreases for any f
- (iv) AQL and LQL are independent of n/N

Construction of tables 4.1 to 4.3

The OC function of the multifaceted continuous lot by lot acceptance sampling plan derived in (4.4) is

$$P_a = pq^i(fP + (1 - f)P^l)/D$$

where P is the probability of acceptance of the reference sampling plan for lot by lot inspection. Under the conditions of Poisson model with single sampling reference plan

$$P = \sum_{d=0}^c e^{-np} (np)^d / d!$$

For the assumed values of i, n, c, f the values of

- (i) AQL with $P_a(p) = 0.95$ and
- (ii) LQL with $P_a(p) = 0.10$
- (iii) AOQL, the maximum of AOQ using (4.6) are evaluated using the iterative procedure varying p between 0 and 1 in steps of 0.000001.

Table 4.1 Quality indices for the multifaceted continuous lot by lot acceptance sampling plan for $c = 1$ and $l = 5$

| i | n | 100 | | | 200 | | | 300 | | |
|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | | n/N | | | n/N | | | n/N | | |
| | f | 0.05 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.05 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.05 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 500 | 1/3 | 246 | 246 | 246 | 125 | 125 | 125 | 83 | 83 | 83 |
| | | 472.9 | 448.0 | 398.3 | 250.8 | 237.6 | 211.2 | 170.8 | 161.8 | 143.8 |
| | | 2439 | 2439 | 2439 | 1412 | 1412 | 1412 | 996 | 996 | 996 |
| | 1/5 | 314 | 314 | 314 | 160 | 160 | 160 | 107 | 107 | 107 |
| | | 590.6 | 559.5 | 497.4 | 317.2 | 300.5 | 267.1 | 217.1 | 205.7 | 182.8 |
| | | 2890 | 2890 | 2890 | 1708 | 1708 | 1708 | 1214 | 1214 | 1214 |
| | 1/8 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 206 | 206 | 206 | 138 | 138 | 138 |
| | | 735.7 | 696.9 | 619.5 | 400.9 | 379.9 | 337.6 | 276.1 | 261.6 | 232.5 |
| | | 3392 | 3392 | 3392 | 2044 | 2044 | 2044 | 1466 | 1466 | 1466 |
| | 1/10 | 438 | 438 | 438 | 225 | 225 | 225 | 151 | 151 | 151 |
| | | 794.2 | 752.4 | 668.8 | 435.3 | 412.3 | 366.5 | 300.4 | 284.6 | 252.9 |
| | | 3581 | 3581 | 3581 | 2172 | 2172 | 2172 | 1562 | 1562 | 1562 |
| 1000 | 1/3 | 171 | 171 | 171 | 87 | 87 | 87 | 58 | 58 | 58 |
| | | 318.8 | 302.0 | 268.5 | 172.1 | 163.1 | 144.9 | 118.1 | 111.9 | 99.4 |
| | | 1553 | 1553 | 1553 | 937 | 937 | 937 | 673 | 673 | 673 |
| | 1/5 | 218 | 218 | 218 | 112 | 112 | 112 | 75 | 75 | 75 |
| | | 394.9 | 374.1 | 332.5 | 216.6 | 205.2 | 182.4 | 149.6 | 141.7 | 125.9 |
| | | 1826 | 1826 | 1826 | 1131 | 1131 | 1131 | 823 | 823 | 823 |
| | 1/8 | 278 | 278 | 278 | 143 | 143 | 143 | 97 | 97 | 97 |
| | | 487.5 | 461.9 | 410.6 | 272.4 | 258.1 | 229.4 | 189.6 | 179.6 | 159.7 |
| | | 2127 | 2127 | 2127 | 1254 | 1354 | 1354 | 998 | 998 | 998 |
| | 1/10 | 302 | 302 | 302 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 106 | 106 | 106 |
| | | 524.6 | 496.9 | 441.8 | 295.1 | 279.6 | 248.5 | 206.1 | 195.2 | 173.5 |
| | | 2240 | 2240 | 2240 | 1439 | 1439 | 1439 | 1066 | 1066 | 1066 |

AQL, AOQL and LQL values in ppm are presented as first, second and third values in each and every cell of the table

Table 4.2 Quality indices for the multifaceted continuous lot by lot acceptance sampling plan for $c = 2$ and $l = 5$

| i | n | 100 | | | 200 | | | 300 | | |
|------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | | n/N | | | n/N | | | n/N | | |
| | f | 0.05 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.05 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.05 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 500 | 1/3 | 1154 | 1154 | 1154 | 606 | 606 | 606 | 411 | 411 | 411 |
| | | 1437.3 | 1361.7 | 1210.4 | 792.0 | 750.3 | 666.9 | 547.5 | 518.7 | 461.1 |
| | | 4753 | 4753 | 4753 | 2941 | 2941 | 2941 | 2139 | 2139 | 2139 |
| | 1/5 | 1345 | 1345 | 1345 | 714 | 714 | 714 | 485 | 485 | 485 |
| | | 1656.3 | 1569.2 | 1394.8 | 925.9 | 877.1 | 779.7 | 643.9 | 610.1 | 542.3 |
| | | 5299 | 5299 | 5299 | 3344 | 3344 | 3344 | 2455 | 2455 | 2455 |
| | 1/8 | 1570 | 1570 | 1570 | 841 | 841 | 841 | 575 | 575 | 575 |
| | | 1906.8 | 1806.4 | 1605.7 | 1083.1 | 1026.1 | 912.1 | 758.6 | 718.6 | 638.8 |
| | | 5886 | 5886 | 5886 | 3785 | 3785 | 3785 | 2803 | 2803 | 2803 |
| | 1/10 | 1658 | 1658 | 1658 | 892 | 892 | 892 | 610 | 610 | 610 |
| | | 2002.9 | 1897.5 | 1686.7 | 1144.6 | 1084.3 | 963.8 | 803.8 | 761.5 | 676.9 |
| | | 6102 | 6102 | 6102 | 3949 | 3949 | 3949 | 2933 | 2933 | 2933 |
| 1000 | 1/3 | 862 | 862 | 862 | 465 | 465 | 465 | 318 | 318 | 318 |
| | | 1028.4 | 974.3 | 866.0 | 587.4 | 556.4 | 494.6 | 412.4 | 390.7 | 347.3 |
| | | 3095 | 3095 | 3095 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 1514 | 1514 | 1514 |
| | 1/5 | 996 | 996 | 996 | 543 | 543 | 543 | 374 | 374 | 374 |
| | | 1170.5 | 1108.9 | 985.7 | 680.0 | 644.2 | 572.6 | 405.2 | 455.9 | 405.2 |
| | | 3406 | 3406 | 3406 | 2272 | 2272 | 2272 | 1724 | 1724 | 1724 |
| | 1/8 | 1150 | 1150 | 1150 | 636 | 636 | 636 | 440 | 440 | 440 |
| | | 1329.8 | 1259.8 | 1119.8 | 786.9 | 745.6 | 662.7 | 561.8 | 532.3 | 473.1 |
| | | 3737 | 3737 | 3737 | 2546 | 2546 | 2546 | 1955 | 1955 | 1955 |
| | 1/10 | 1210 | 1210 | 1210 | 673 | 673 | 673 | 466 | 466 | 466 |
| | | 1390.2 | 1317.0 | 1170.7 | 828.3 | 784.8 | 697.6 | 593.4 | 562.2 | 499.7 |
| | | 3858 | 3858 | 3858 | 2648 | 2648 | 2648 | 2042 | 2042 | 2042 |

AQL, AOQL and LQL values in ppm are presented as first, second and third values in each and every cell of the table

Table 4.3 Quality indices for the multifaceted continuous lot by lot acceptance sampling plan for $c = 3$ and $l = 5$

| i | n | 100 | | | 200 | | | 300 | | |
|------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | f | n/N | | | n/N | | | n/N | | |
| | | 0.05 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.05 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.05 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 500 | 1/3 | 2599 | 2599 | 2599 | 1423 | 1423 | 1423 | 980 | 980 | 980 |
| | | 2742.7 | 2603.1 | 2313.9 | 1568.9 | 1486.3 | 1321.2 | 1100.6 | 1042.7 | 926.8 |
| | | 6998 | 6998 | 6998 | 4514 | 4514 | 4514 | 3358 | 3358 | 3358 |
| | 1/5 | 2896 | 2896 | 2896 | 1603 | 1603 | 1603 | 1109 | 1109 | 1109 |
| | | 3039.8 | 2879.8 | 2559.8 | 1760.7 | 1667.9 | 1482.7 | 1243.2 | 1177.8 | 1046.9 |
| | | 7574 | 7574 | 7574 | 4971 | 4971 | 4971 | 3733 | 3733 | 3733 |
| | 1/8 | 3228 | 3228 | 3228 | 1810 | 1810 | 1810 | 1258 | 1258 | 1258 |
| | | 3361.0 | 3184.1 | 2830.3 | 1977.2 | 1873.1 | 1664.9 | 1406.4 | 1332.4 | 1184.3 |
| | | 8184 | 8184 | 8184 | 5464 | 5464 | 5464 | 4140 | 4140 | 4140 |
| | 1/10 | 3353 | 3353 | 3353 | 1889 | 1889 | 1889 | 1316 | 1316 | 1316 |
| | | 3481.5 | 3298.2 | 2931.7 | 2059.8 | 1951.4 | 1734.5 | 1469.2 | 1391.9 | 1237.2 |
| | | 8406 | 8406 | 8406 | 5645 | 5645 | 5645 | 4293 | 4293 | 4293 |
| 1000 | 1/3 | 1949 | 1949 | 1949 | 1116 | 1116 | 1116 | 782 | 782 | 782 |
| | | 1984.6 | 1880.2 | 1671.2 | 1190.4 | 1127.7 | 1002.4 | 854.5 | 809.6 | 719.6 |
| | | 4579 | 4579 | 4579 | 3119 | 3119 | 3119 | 2401 | 2401 | 2401 |
| | 1/5 | 2146 | 2146 | 2146 | 1246 | 1246 | 1246 | 879 | 879 | 879 |
| | | 2168.4 | 2054.3 | 1826.0 | 1321.2 | 1251.7 | 1112.6 | 956.1 | 905.8 | 805.2 |
| | | 4897 | 4897 | 4897 | 3392 | 3392 | 3392 | 2639 | 2639 | 2639 |
| | 1/8 | 2361 | 2361 | 2361 | 1393 | 1393 | 1393 | 990 | 990 | 990 |
| | | 2366.8 | 2242.2 | 1993.1 | 1466.1 | 1388.9 | 1234.6 | 1070.3 | 1013.9 | 901.3 |
| | | 5231 | 5231 | 5231 | 3683 | 3683 | 3683 | 2896 | 2896 | 2896 |
| | 1/10 | 2443 | 2443 | 2443 | 1449 | 1449 | 1449 | 1032 | 1032 | 1032 |
| | | 2440.2 | 2311.8 | 2054.9 | 1520.6 | 1440.6 | 1280.5 | 1113.7 | 1055.1 | 937.9 |
| | | 5354 | 5354 | 5354 | 3789 | 3789 | 3789 | 2990 | 2990 | 2990 |

AQL, AOQL and LQL values in ppm are presented as first, second and third values in each and every cell of the table