

III	METHODOLOGY	
	3.1 Phase I: Assessment of factors influencing consumer acceptance of genetic testing for personalised nutrition in India	46
		52
		53
	3.1.1 Selection of study participants	
	3.1.2 Development of validated questionnaire for the baseline survey	
	3.2. Phase II: Development and Design of algorithm for formulating a gene and gut microbiome based dietary advice	54
	3.2.1 Identification and selection of SNPs associated with obesity.	56
	3.2.2 Development of SNPs based dietary recommendations	
	3.2.3 Selection of Criteria for assessment of gut microbiome markers	62
	3.2.4 Development of gut microbiome specific dietary recommendations	65
	3.3 Phase III: Comparative analysis of precision nutrition vs generic nutrition based dietary advice on long term weight managemen	68
		68
	3.3.1 Selection of study participants	
	3.3.2 Assessment of nutritional status of the study participants	69
	3.3.3 Standardisation of protocols for formulating dietary advice for precision nutrition, personalised nutrition and generic nutrition groups.	72
	3.3.3.1 Sample collection	72
	3.3.3.2 Development of the workflow for nutrigenetic testing	72
	3.3.1.3 Development of the workflow for gut microbiome testing	72
	3.3.1.4 Interpretation of the nutrigenetic and gut microbiome reports	72
	3.3.4 Evaluation of the efficacy of precision nutrition based dietary advice on long term weight loss	74
	3.3.4.1 Data collection using diet & lifestyle questionnaire	
		74
	3.4 Phase IV: Statistical Analysis & Interpretation	

IV	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
	4.1.1 Characteristics of study participants	75
	4.1.1.1 Gender of the participants in the study	77
	4.1.1.2 Age Categories of the participants of the study	77
	4.1.1.3 Educational Qualification of the study participants	
	4.1.1.4 Occupation of the study participants	77
	4.1.2 Participant’s medical history and current medical conditions	78
	4.1.3 Perceived advantages and disadvantages of nutrigenetic testing	79
	4.1.4 Confidence level of consumers	82
	4.1.5 Motivation factors to adopt personalised nutrition advice	83
	4.1.6 Perceptions about genetic information providers	84
	4.1.7. Perceived utilities of personalised nutrition genetic testing	85
	4.1.8. Source of health care providers to disseminate information related to genetic information and personalized nutrition reports	86
	4.1.9. Willingness to adopt personalised nutrition recommendations	87
	4.2 Development and Design of Algorithm for formulating a gene and gut microbiome based dietary advice	88
	4.2.1 Descriptive characteristics of the study participants	89
	4.2.2 SNP based dietary recommendations given to the personalised nutrition group	90
	4.2.3 Nutrition-related genetic variation among participants in the personalised nutrition group	91
	4.2.4 Base line descriptive characteristics of the study participants of the all the study groups	93
	4.2.5. Analysis of the baseline characteristics of the study participants	94
	4.2.6 Criteria used for the assessment of the gut microbiome markers	95
	4.2.6.1 Gut Microbiome composition of the study participants	96
	4.2.6.2 Gut bacterial diversity of the study participants	97
	4.2.6.3 Relative abundance of gut bacteria present in the study participants	97
		99

	4.3.1. Gut Microbiome Based Dietary Advice recommended to the precision nutrition group	99
	4.3.3 Changes in the anthropometric measurements at baseline, 30 days, 60 days and 90 days	102
	4.3.2.1 Weight and BMI loss in the study groups	107
	4.3.2 Flow diagram of study participants from baseline to 30 days, 60 days and 90 days	103
	4.3.2.2 Odds Ratio of weight loss between the three study groups	108
	4.3.3 Diet composition and dietary intake of the study participants in 30, 60 and 90 days of follow-up	109
	4.4 Improvements in dietary intakes at baseline, 30days, 60 days and 90 days of follow up	112
	4.5 Changes in the diet composition and dietary intake of the study participants	113
	4.6 Changes in the gut microbiome composition from base line to 90 days	115
	4.7 Post Interventional Changes in Gut Microbiota Profiles	116
V	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	117
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	126
	APPENDICES	140