

**Effectiveness of Instructional Methods in Imparting Human Rights
Education to the Student Teachers in Coimbatore**

**By
M. CHANDRAVATHANA**

**SUPERVISOR
DR.R.ANNAKODI**

**A Thesis Submitted to
Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science
and Higher Education for Women,
Coimbatore -641 043**

**In Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements for the
Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Education**

December 2013

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the Thesis entitled "**Effectiveness of Instructional Methods in Imparting Human Rights Education to the Student Teachers in Coimbatore**" submitted to Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women, Coimbatore for the award of the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Education is a record of original research work done by **M.CHANDRAVATHANA**, during the period of her study in the Department of Education, Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women, Coimbatore under my supervision and guidance and the Thesis has not formed the basis of the award of any Degree/ Diploma/ Associateship/Fellowship or similar title to any candidate of any University.

R. Annabrodi

Signature of the Head of the Department

R. Annabrodi

Signature of the Guide

Prmarathyrjayan

Signature of the Dean

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the matter embodied in this Thesis entitled **“Effectiveness of Instructional Methods in Imparting Human Rights Education to the Student Teachers in Coimbatore”** submitted to Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women, Coimbatore is the result of the investigation carried out by me in the Department of Education, Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women, Coimbatore under the supervision of **Dr. R. Annakodi**, Associate Professor, Department of Education, Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women, Coimbatore and it has not been submitted for the award of any Degree/ Diploma/ Associateship/ Fellowship or similar title to any other University or Institute.

M. Chandrasekhar
16.12.2013
Signature of the Candidate

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

With the grace of **LORD ALMIGHTY** the investigator would like to place her reverential gratitude to **Thiru. T. S. Avinashilingam Ayya Avargal**, the founder of this renowned University and Padmashri. **Dr. Rajammal P. Devadas**, M.A., M.Sc., Ph.D. Hon.DHL, Former Chancellor and Architect of this University for providing the opportunity and exposure to the world of knowledge.

The investigator expresses her thanks to **Dr.T.S.K. Meenakshi sundaram** , M.A., M.Phil., Ph.D., Chancellor, Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women, Coimbatore, for all amenities provided for this investigation.

The investigator is obliged much to express her profound and sincere gratitude to **Dr. Sheela Ramachandran**, M.Sc., P.G. Dip., Ph.D., Vice Chancellor, Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women, Coimbatore for her encouragement and all facilities provided for this study.

The investigator also extends her thanks to **Dr. R. Gowri Ramakrishnan**, M.Sc., M.Phil., Ph.D. ,Registrar, for the constant support and the facilities provided to me to undertake this study.

The investigator expresses her sincere thanks to **Dr. Saroja Prabhakaran**, M.A., Ph.D., Dip.Ed., Former Vice Chancellor, Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women, for her suggestions and guidance for undertaking this study.

The investigator is deeply indebted to **Dr. R. Chandra**, M.Sc., M.Ed., M.Phil., Ph.D.,Former Dean, Faculty of Education, Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women, for her enlightened guidance, constructive criticism and support in organizing and conducting this study smoothly.

The investigator expresses her sincere thanks to **Dr.Premavathy Vijayan**, M.Sc., M.Ed., M.Phil., Ph.D., Dean, Faculty of Education, Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women for her valuable support and guidance in the completion of this research.

The investigator expresses her heartfelt and sincere gratitude to her guide **Dr. R. Annakodi**, M.Sc., M.Phil., M.Ed., Ph.D. Associate Professor, Department of Education, Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women for her dynamic guidance, constant motivation, continuous support, meticulous corrections and valuable suggestions rendered throughout this investigation.

The investigator extends her heartfelt thanks to **Dr. H. Indu**, Associate Professor, **Mrs.S.S. M.Manimozhi**, Asst.Prof, **Mrs. R.Vaijyanthi**, Asst. prof., **Mrs. S.Andal**, Asst. prof., **Dr. V. Hemanalini**, Asst Prof., **Ms. A. Suryalatha**, Lecturer, and **Mrs. Lakshmi Kalpana Roy**, Lecturer in the Department of Education, Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women for their timely help and fruitful suggestions during the course of this study .

The investigator expresses her thanks to all the colleagues, Faculty of Education for their kind help. The investigator would like to convey her gratitude to **Dr.Ambiga Devi**, Professor and Head and **Dr. Geetha**, Professor, Department of Economics, Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women for their valuable suggestions in carrying out their study.

Profound thanks are due to **Dr.P. Santhana Krishnan**, Director, Research and Consultancy, Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women for his valuable support throughout the progressing stages of this research work.

The investigator is also very much thankful to **Mrs.I. Vetharani**, Associate Professor and **Mrs. S. Guna Sundari**, Associate Professor, Department of History, Jeyaraj Annapackiam College, Periakulam, **Dr. B. William Dharmaraja**, Associate Professor, Department of Education, Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli and **Dr. Michael J. Leo**, Assistant Professor, St. Xavier's College of Education, Palayamkottai who rendered much help in validating the tools.

The investigator also expresses her gratitude to **Ms .M. Dhanaselvi**, Lawyer, Coimbatore and **Mr. A. John Britto**, Lawyer, Tirunelveli who had helped much in

validating the tools used in this investigation. The investigator also expresses her due thanks to the **Librarians, Mrs. Thilakavathy** and **Mrs. Selvanayaki**, Department of Education for their help in accessing the library resources.

The investigator extends her deep sense of gratitude to the **authorities of Bharathi College of Education, Nair College of Education and Government College of Education**, Coimbatore for their kind permission to conduct this study in their Institution but for whom this study would not have been realized.

Above all, the investigator wishes to thank her **husband, other family members and friends** for their enormous encouragement and help rendered throughout this study. But for their cooperation, this work would not have been completed.

Content		
Chapter Number	Title	Page Number
1	INTRODUCTION	
	1.1. Introduction	1
	1.2. Meaning and Definition of the Term ‘Human Rights’	2
	1.3. Human Rights Education	3
	1.4. Why Human Rights Education?	4
	1.5. Why Human Rights Education to Women?	5
	1.6. Why Human Rights Education to the Students Teachers?	6
	1.7. Technique of Imparting Human Rights Education	7
	1.8. Statement of the Problem and Operational Meaning of the Terms	8
	1.9. Need of the Study	9
	1.10. Scope of the Study	10
	1.11. Objectives of the Study	11
	1.12. Hypotheses Framed for the Study	12
	1.13. Delimitations and Limitations of the Study	14
1.14. Organisation	15	
2	REVIEW OF LITERATURE	
	2.1. Introduction	16
	2.2. Purpose of Review of Literature	16
	2.3.1. Studies Related to Instructional Methods.	17-33
	2.3.2. Studies Concerning Human rights Education	33-42
2.3.3. Critical Appraisal of the Reviews	42	

	METHODOLOGY	
	3.1. Introduction	43
	3.2. Methodology	43
3	3.2.1 Research design	44
	3.2.1.1. Experimental Design	44
	3.2.1.2. Sampling procedure	47-52
	3.2.1.3. Selection of Media	53-62
	3.2.1.4. Tools selected for the study	62-67
	3.3. Data collection procedure	67-68
	3.4. Data analysis procedure	69
	3.5. Conclusion	69
	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	
	4.1. Introduction	70
	Section I: Analysis of the Knowledge scores on Human Rights Education in terms of different Methods.	70-99
4	Section II: Analysis of the Attitude scores towards Human Rights Education in terms of different Methods.	100-126
	Section III: Correlation Analysis of the scores in terms of the Variables.	127-130
	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	
	5.1. Introduction	131
	5.2. Objectives of the study	131
5	5.3. Methodology	132
	5.4. Findings	133-139
	5.5. Synthesis of the Findings	140
	5.6. Recommendations	140-142

5.7. Suggestions for Further Research	142
5.8. Conclusion	143
BIBLIOGRAPHY	144-163
APPENDICES	
APPENDIX-I : Background Information Form	164
APPENDIX-II: Scheme of Instruction for Teaching Human Rights Education to the Student Teachers	165-166
APPENDIX-III: Module on Human Rights Education to the Student Teachers	167-223
APPENDIX-IV: Situations Quoted for Teaching Human Rights Education to the Student Teachers (Situational Approach Group)	224-227
APPENDIX-V: Tool to Assess the Knowledge of the Student Teachers in Human Rights Education	228-235
APPENDIX-VI: Attitude Scale	236-237
APPENDIX-VII: Investigator Teaching Human Rights Education in Various Institutions.	238-239

LIST OF TABLES

Table Number	Title	Page Number
3.1	Schematic Representation of the Research Design	46
3.2	Distribution of the Total Sample Selected for the Study	48
3.3	Profile of the Selected Sample	50
3.4	Variables Selected for the Study	52
3.5	Subgroups of the Cooperative learning prior to the Treatment	56
3.6	Subgroups of the Cooperative learning after the Treatment	57
4.1	Comparison of Knowledge score in the Pretest and Posttest in Relation to Different Methods	71
4.2	Analysis of the Knowledge Scores in the Pretest and the Posttest Among Different methods	72
4.3	Scheffe's Multiple Comparison between the Methods on Knowledge Score	73
4.4	Analysis of Knowledge Scores in Terms of Stream of courses	75
4.5	Scheffe's Multiple Comparison between the Methods on Knowledge Score in Terms of Stream of courses	78
4.6	Analysis of Knowledge Score in Self Financing College	79
4.7	Scheffe's Multiple Comparison between the Methods on Knowledge Score in Self Financing College	80
4.8	Analysis of Knowledge Score in Government College	81
4.9	Scheffe's Multiple Comparison between the Methods on Knowledge Score in Government College	82
4.10	Analysis of Knowledge Score in Government Aided Institution	83

Table Number	Title	Page Number
4.11	Scheffe's Multiple Comparison between the Methods on Knowledge Score in Government Aided Institution	84
4.12	Analysis of Knowledge Score in Terms of Locality	85
4.13	Scheffe's Multiple Comparison between the Methods on Knowledge Score in Terms of Locality	87
4.14	Analysis of Knowledge Score of the Student teachers of Mathematics Optional in Relation to Different Methods	88
4.15	Scheffe's Multiple Comparison between the Methods on Knowledge Score of the Student Teachers of Mathematics Optional	89
4.16	Analysis of Knowledge Score of the Student teachers of Computer Science Optional in Relation to Different Methods	90
4.17	Scheffe's Multiple Comparison between the Methods on Knowledge Score of the student teachers of Computer Science Optional	91
4.18	Analysis of Knowledge Score of the Student Teachers of Physical science Optional in Relation to Different Methods	92
4.19	Scheffe's Multiple Comparison between the Methods on Knowledge Score of the student Teachers of Physical Science Optional	93
4.20	Analysis of Knowledge Score of the student Teachers of Biological Science Optional in Relation to Different Methods	94
4.21	Scheffe's Multiple Comparison between the Methods on Knowledge Score of the Student Teachers of Biological Science	95

Table Number	Title	Page Number
4.22	Analysis of Knowledge Score of the Student Teachers of Language Optional in Relation to Different Methods	96
4.23	Scheffe's Multiple Comparison between the Methods on Knowledge Score of the Student Teachers of Language Optional	97
4.24	Analysis of Knowledge Score of the Student Teachers of Social Science Optional in Relation to Different Methods	98
4.25	Scheffe's Multiple Comparison between the Methods on Knowledge Score of the Student Teachers of Social Science Optional	99
4.26	Comparison of Attitude Score in the Pretest and Posttest in Relation to Different Methods	100
4.27	Analysis of the Attitude Score in the Pretest and the Posttest Among Different methods	101
4.28	Scheffe's Multiple Comparison between the Methods on Attitude Score	102
4.29	Analysis of Attitude Score in Terms of Stream of Courses	103
4.30	Scheffe's Multiple Comparison between the Methods on Attitude Score in Terms of Stream of Courses	105
4.31	Analysis of Attitude Scores in Self Financing College	106
4.32	Scheffe's Multiple Comparison between the Methods on Attitude Score in Self Financing College	107
4.33	Analysis of Attitude Scores in Government College	108
4.34	Scheffe's Multiple Comparison between the Methods on Attitude Score in Government College	109
4.35	Analysis of Attitude Score in Government Aided Institution	110

Table Number	Title	Page Number
4.36	Scheffe's Multiple Comparison between the Methods on Attitude Score in Government Aided Institution	111
4.37	Analysis of Attitude Score in Terms of Locality	112
4.38	Scheffe's Multiple Comparison between the Methods on Attitude Score in Terms of Locality	114
4.39	Analysis of Attitude Scores of the student Teachers of Mathematics Optional in Relation to Different Methods	115
4.40	Scheffe's Multiple Comparison between the Methods on Attitude Score of the Student Teachers of Mathematics Optional	116
4.41	Analysis of the Attitude Score of the Student Teachers of Computer Science Optional in Relation to Different Methods	117
4.42	Scheffe's Multiple Comparison between the Methods on Attitude Score of the Student Teachers of Computer Science Optional	118
4.43	Analysis of the Attitude Scores of the Student Teachers of Physical Science Optional in Relation to Different Methods	119
4.44	Scheffe's Multiple Comparison between the Methods on Attitude Score of the student Teachers of Physical Science Optional	120
4.45	Analysis of the Attitude Score of the Student Teachers of Biological Science Optional in Relation to Different Methods	121
4.46	Scheffe's Multiple Comparison between the Methods on Attitude Score of the Student Teachers of Biological Science Optional	122

Table Number	Title	Page Number
4.47	Analysis of the Attitude Score of the Student Teachers of Language Optional in Relation to Different Methods	123
4.48	Scheffe's Multiple Comparison between the Methods on Attitude Scores of the Student Teachers of Language Optional	124
4.49	Analysis of the Attitude Score of the Student Teachers of Social Science Optional in Relation to Different Methods	125
4.50	Scheffe's Multiple Comparison between the Methods on Attitude Scores of the Student Teachers of Social Science Optional	126
4.51	Correlation between Knowledge and Attitude Scores of the Group under Multimedia approach in the Posttest	127
4.52	Correlation between Knowledge and Attitude Scores of the Group under Cooperative Learning in the Posttest	128
4.53	Correlation between Knowledge and Attitude Scores of the Group under Situational Approach in the Posttest	129
4.54	Correlation between Knowledge and Attitude Scores of the Group under Brainstorming in the Posttest	129
4.55	Correlation between Knowledge and Attitude Scores of the Group under Conventional Method in the Posttest	130

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure Number	Title	Page Number
3.1	Sample from the Self Financing Institution	48
3.2	Sample from the Government College	49
3.3	Sample from the Government Aided Institution	
3.4.1	Sample on the Basis of Stream of Courses	51
3.4.2	Sample on the Basis of Type of Institution	
3.4.3	Sample on the Basis of Locality	
3.4.4	Sample on the Basis of Subject Specialisation	
3.5	Basic Elements of Cooperative Learning	55
3.6	Different Perspectives of Brain Storming	60
3.7	Stages of Brain Storming	61
3.8	Steps of Data Collection Procedure in Each Institution	68
4.1	Knowledge Score of the Student Teachers in Relation to Different Methods	73
4.2	Knowledge Scores of the Arts Stream students in Relation to Different Methods	77
4.3	Knowledge Score of the Science Stream students in Relation to Different Methods	
4.4	Knowledge Score of the Student teachers in Self financing College in Relation to Different Methods	80
4.5	Knowledge Score of the Student teachers in Government College in Relation to Different Methods	82
4.6	Knowledge Score of the Student teachers in Government Aided Institution in Relation to Different Methods	84
4.7	Knowledge Score of the Student Teachers from Rural Area in Relation to Different Methods	86

4.8	Knowledge Score of the Student Teachers from Urban Area in Relation to Different Methods	86
4.9	Attitude Score of the Student Teachers in Relation to Different Methods	102
4.10	Attitude Score of the Arts Stream students in Relation to Different Methods	104
4.11	Attitude Score of the Science Stream students in Relation to Different Methods	
4.12	Attitude Score of the Student Teachers in Self financing College in Relation to Different Methods	107
4.13	Attitude Score of the Student Teachers in Government College in Relation to Different Methods	109
4.14	Attitude Scores of the Student Teachers in Government Aided Institution in Relation to Different Methods	111
4.15	Attitude Scores of the Student Teachers from Rural Area in Relation to Different Methods	113
4.16	Attitude Scores of the Student Teachers from Urban Area in Relation to Different Methods	