
CHAPTER 7

Chapter 7

Generalized π -closed sets and grill

7.1 Introduction

Choquet (1947) initiated the idea of grills on topological spaces. This concept is a powerful supporting tool like nets and filters for getting a deeper insight for further study of topological spaces. Roy and Mukherjee (2007) and Roy et al.(2008) defined and studied a topology by associating the existing topology and a grill. Dhananjay Mandal and Mukherjee (2012) defined and studied \mathcal{G} - g -closed sets in grill topological spaces. Arockiarani and Karthika (2012) introduced the notion of generalized B-closed sets in grill topology.

In this chapter, we have introduced and developed the notion of $g\pi$ -closed sets in terms of grills. The concepts of $g\pi(\theta)$ -convergence, $g\pi(\theta)$ -adherence, $g\pi(\theta)$ -linked and $g\pi(\theta)$ -conjoint are introduced and their properties are discussed.

7.2 Generalized π - closed sets with respect to a grill

Definition 7.2.1. Let (X, τ) be a topological space and \mathcal{G} be a grill on X .

Then the mapping $\pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}: \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$, with respect to the grill \mathcal{G} and τ , is defined by

$$\pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A) = \{x \in X : U \cap A \in \mathcal{G} \text{ for every } \pi\text{-open set } U \text{ containing } x\} \text{ for } A \in \mathcal{P}(X)$$

Theorem 7.2.2. Let (X, τ) be a topological space.

(i) If \mathcal{G} is any grill on X , then $\pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}$ is an increasing function in the sense that

$$A \subseteq B (\subseteq X) \text{ implies } \pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A) \subseteq \pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(B),$$

(ii) \mathcal{G}_1 and \mathcal{G}_2 are two grills on X with $\mathcal{G}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{G}_2$, then $\pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}_1}(A) \subseteq \pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}_2}(A)$, for all $A \subseteq X$.

(iii) For any grill \mathcal{G} on X and any $A \subseteq X$, if $A \notin \mathcal{G}$ then $\pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A) = \varnothing$.

Theorem 7.2.3 Let (X, τ) be a topological space and \mathcal{G} be a grill on X . Then for all $A, B \subseteq X$,

$$(i) \pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A \cup B) = \pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A) \cup \pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(B),$$

$$(ii) \pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(\pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A)) \subseteq \pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A) = \pi\text{cl}(\pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A)) \subseteq \pi\text{cl}(A)$$

Proof. (i) In view of Theorem 7.2.2(i) it suffices to show that $\pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A \cup B) \subseteq \pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A) \cup \pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(B)$. Suppose $x \notin \pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A) \cup \pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(B)$. Then there are $U_1, U_2 \in \pi\tau(x)$ such that $A \cap U_1 \notin \mathcal{G}$ and $B \cap U_2 \notin \mathcal{G}$ and hence $(A \cap U_1) \cup (B \cap U_2) \notin \mathcal{G}$. Now $U_1 \cap U_2 \in \pi\tau(x)$ and $(A \cup B) \cap (U_1 \cap U_2) \subseteq (A \cap U_1) \cup (B \cap U_2) \notin \mathcal{G}$, and hence $x \notin \pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A \cup B)$. Therefore $\pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A \cup B) = \pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A) \cup \pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(B)$.

(ii) $x \notin \pi\text{cl}(A)$, there exists $U \in \pi\tau(x)$ such that $U \cap A = \varnothing \notin \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow x \notin \pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A)$. Thus $\pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A) \subseteq \pi\text{cl}(A)$. Now we shall show that $\pi\text{cl}(\pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A)) \subseteq \pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A)$. Indeed, $x \in \pi\text{cl}(\pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A))$ and $U \in \pi\tau(x) \Rightarrow U \cap \pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A) \neq \varnothing$. Let $y \in U \cap \pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A)$, i.e., $y \in U$ and $y \in \pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A)$. Then $U \cap A \in \mathcal{G}$ and so $x \in \pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A)$. Thus $\pi\text{cl}(\pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A)) = \pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A)$.

Now, $\pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(\pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A)) \subseteq \pi\text{cl}(\pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A)) = \pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A) \subseteq \pi\text{cl}(A)$.

Definition 7.2.4 Let \mathcal{G} be a grill on a space X . Then the map $\pi\psi : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$ is defined by $\pi\psi(A) = A \cup \pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A)$, for all $A \in \mathcal{P}(X)$.

Remark 7.2.5 The above map satisfies Kuratowski's closure axioms.

Definition 7.2.6. Let (X, τ) be a topological space and \mathcal{G} be a grill on a space X . There exists a unique topology $\tau_{\mathcal{G}}\pi$ on X given by $\tau_{\mathcal{G}}\pi = \{U \subset X : \pi\psi(X \setminus U) = X \setminus U\}$

Note 7.2.7 For any grill \mathcal{G} on a space X and any $A \subseteq X$,

$$\pi_{\mathcal{G}}(A) = A \cup \pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A) = \tau_{\mathcal{G}}\pi\text{-cl}(A).$$

Lemma 7.2.8. For any grill \mathcal{G} on a space X and any $A, B \subseteq X$,

$$\pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A) \setminus \pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(B) = \pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A \setminus B) \setminus \pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(B).$$

Corollary 7.2.9. Let \mathcal{G} be a grill on a space X , and suppose $A, B \subseteq X$ with $B \notin \mathcal{G}$. Then $\pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A \cup B) = \pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A) = \pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A \setminus B)$.

Definition 7.2.10 Let (X, τ) be a topological space and \mathcal{G} be a grill on X . Then a subset A of X is said to be \mathcal{G} - π -closed with respect to the grill \mathcal{G} (\mathcal{G} - π -closed) if $\pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is open in X .

A subset A of X is said to be \mathcal{G} - π -open if $X \setminus A$ is \mathcal{G} - π -closed.

Theorem 7.2.11 For a topological space (X, τ) and a grill \mathcal{G} on X ,

(a) For any subset A in X , $\pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A)$ is \mathcal{G} - π -closed

(b) Any non member of \mathcal{G} is \mathcal{G} - π -closed.

(c) Every \mathcal{G} - π -closed set is \mathcal{G} - g -closed.

(d) Every π -closed set is \mathcal{G} - π -closed.

Proof: (a) Let A be a subset in X Then $\pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(\pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A)) \subseteq \pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A) \subseteq U$, whenever U is open in X . $\pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A)$ is \mathcal{G} - π -closed.

(b) Let $A \notin \mathcal{G}$ then $\pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A) = \emptyset \subseteq U$, whenever U is open in X . A is \mathcal{G} - π -closed..

(c) Let A be a \mathcal{G} - π -closed and $A \subseteq U$ and U is open in X . Since A is \mathcal{G} - π -closed, $\pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A) \subseteq \Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A) \subseteq U$, A is \mathcal{G} - g -closed. Thus every \mathcal{G} - π -closed set is \mathcal{G} - g -closed.

(d) Let A be a $\mathcal{G}\pi$ -closed set and U be an open set in X such that $A \subseteq U$ then $\pi \text{cl}(A) \subseteq U$, As $\pi \Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A) \subseteq \pi \text{cl}(A) \subseteq U$, A is \mathcal{G} - $\mathcal{G}\pi$ -closed. Thus every $\mathcal{G}\pi$ -closed set is \mathcal{G} - $\mathcal{G}\pi$ -closed.

Definition 7.2.12 Let X be a space and $(\varphi \neq) A \subseteq X$. Then $[A] = \{B \subseteq X : A \cap B \neq \varphi\}$ is a grill on X , called the principal grill generated by A .

Proposition 7.2.13 In the case of $[X]$ principal grill generated by X , it is known that $\tau = \tau_{[X]}$ so that any $[X]$ - $\mathcal{G}\pi$ -closed set becomes simply a $\mathcal{G}\pi$ -closed set and vice-versa.

Theorem 7.2.14 Let (X, τ) be a topological space and \mathcal{G} be a grill on X . If a subset A of X is \mathcal{G} - $\mathcal{G}\pi$ -closed then $\tau_{\mathcal{G}} \pi \text{cl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is open.

Proof: Let A be a \mathcal{G} - $\mathcal{G}\pi$ -closed set and U be a open in X such that $A \subseteq U$ then $\pi \Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A) \subseteq U \Rightarrow A \cup \pi \Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A) \subseteq U \Rightarrow \tau_{\mathcal{G}} \pi \text{cl}(A) \subseteq U$. Thus $\tau_{\mathcal{G}} \pi \text{cl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is open.

Theorem 7.2.15 Let (X, τ) be a topological space and \mathcal{G} be a grill on X . If a subset A of X is \mathcal{G} - $\mathcal{G}\pi$ -closed then for all $x \in \tau_{\mathcal{G}} \pi \text{cl}(A)$, $\pi \text{cl}(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \varphi$.

Proof: Let $x \in \tau_{\mathcal{G}} \pi \text{cl}(A)$. If $\pi \text{cl}(\{x\}) \cap A = \varphi \Rightarrow A \subseteq X \setminus \pi \text{cl}(\{x\})$ then by theorem 7.2.14 $\tau_{\mathcal{G}} \pi \text{cl}(A) \subseteq X \setminus \pi \text{cl}(\{x\})$ which is a contradiction to our assumption that $x \in \tau_{\mathcal{G}} \pi \text{cl}(A)$. Therefore $\pi \text{cl}(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \varphi$.

Theorem 7.2.16 Let (X, τ) be a topological space and \mathcal{G} be a grill on X . If a subset A of X is \mathcal{G} - $\mathcal{G}\pi$ -closed then $\tau_{\mathcal{G}} \pi \text{cl}(A) \setminus A$ contains no non-empty closed set of (X, τ) . Moreover $\pi \Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A) \setminus A$ contains no non-empty closed set of (X, τ) .

Proof: Let F be a closed set contained in $\tau_{\mathcal{G}} \pi \text{cl}(A) \setminus A$ and let $x \in F$. Then $F \cap A = \varphi$ and $\pi \text{cl}(\{x\}) \cap A = \varphi$. This is a contradiction to the fact that $\pi \text{cl}(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \varphi$. Therefore $\tau_{\mathcal{G}} \pi \text{cl}(A) \setminus A$ contains no non-empty closed set of

(X, τ) . Since $\pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A) \setminus A = \tau_{\mathcal{G}}\pi \text{cl}(A) \setminus A$, $\pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A) \setminus A$ contains no non-empty closed set of (X, τ) .

Theorem 7.2.17 Let \mathcal{G} be grill on a space (X, τ) and A be a \mathcal{G} - $g\pi$ -closed set. Then the following are equivalent

- (a) A is $\tau_{\mathcal{G}}\pi$ -closed.
- (b) $\tau_{\mathcal{G}}\pi \text{cl}(A) \setminus A$ is closed in (X, τ)
- (c) $\pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A) \setminus A$ is closed in (X, τ)

Proof:

(a) \Rightarrow (b) Let A be $\tau_{\mathcal{G}}\pi$ -closed. Then $\tau_{\mathcal{G}}\pi \text{cl}(A) \setminus A = \emptyset$ and so $\tau_{\mathcal{G}}\pi \text{cl}(A) \setminus A$ is a closed set

(b) \Rightarrow (c) since $\tau_{\mathcal{G}}\pi \text{cl}(A) \setminus A = \pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A) \setminus A$, $\tau_{\mathcal{G}}\pi \text{cl}(A) \setminus A$ is closed in (X, τ)

(c) \Rightarrow (a) Let $\pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A) \setminus A$ be closed in (X, τ) since A is \mathcal{G} - $g\pi$ -closed by Theorem 7.2.16, $\pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A) \setminus A = \emptyset$ so A is $\tau_{\mathcal{G}}\pi$ -closed.

Theorem 7.2.18 For any subset A of a space (X, τ) and a grill \mathcal{G} on X . If A is \mathcal{G} - $g\pi$ -closed then $A \cup (X \setminus \pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A))$ is \mathcal{G} - $g\pi$ closed.

Proof: Let $A \cup (X \setminus \pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A)) \subseteq U$, where U is open in X . Then $X \setminus U \subseteq X \setminus (A \cup (X \setminus \pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A))) = \pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A) \setminus A$. Since A is \mathcal{G} - $g\pi$ -closed, by Theorem 7.2.15, we have $X \setminus U = \emptyset$, i.e., $X = U$. Since X is the only open set containing $A \cup (X \setminus \pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A))$, $A \cup (X \setminus \pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A))$ is \mathcal{G} - $g\pi$ -closed.

Theorem 7.2.19 For any subset A of a space (X, τ) and a grill \mathcal{G} on X , the following are equivalent

- (a) $A \cup (X \setminus \pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A))$ is \mathcal{G} - $g\pi$ -closed

(b) $\pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A)\setminus A$ is \mathcal{G} - $g\pi$ -open.

Proof: Follows from the fact that $X \setminus (\pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A)\setminus A) = A \cup (X \setminus \pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A))$.

Theorem 7.2.20 Let (X, τ) be a space, \mathcal{G} be a grill on X and A, B be subsets of X such that $A \subseteq B \subseteq \tau_{\mathcal{G}}\pi\text{-cl}(A)$. If A is \mathcal{G} - $g\pi$ -closed, then B is \mathcal{G} - $g\pi$ -closed.

Proof: Let $B \subseteq U$, where U is open in X . since A is \mathcal{G} - $g\pi$ -closed, $\pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A) \subseteq U \Rightarrow \tau_{\mathcal{G}}\pi\text{-cl}(A) \subseteq U$. Now, $A \subseteq B \subseteq \tau_{\mathcal{G}}\pi\text{-cl}(A)$

$\Rightarrow \tau_{\mathcal{G}}\pi\text{-cl}(A) \subseteq \tau_{\mathcal{G}}\pi\text{-cl}(B) \subseteq \tau_{\mathcal{G}}\pi\text{-cl}(A)$. Thus $\tau_{\mathcal{G}}\pi\text{-cl}(B) \subseteq U$ and hence B is \mathcal{G} - $g\pi$ -closed.

Theorem 7.2.20 Let \mathcal{G} be a grill on a space (X, τ) . Then a subset A of X is \mathcal{G} - $g\pi$ -open iff $F \subseteq \tau_{\mathcal{G}}\pi\text{-int}(A)$ whenever $F \subseteq A$ and F is closed.

Proof : Let A be \mathcal{G} - $g\pi$ -open and $F \subseteq A$, where F is closed in (X, τ) . Then $X \setminus A \subseteq X \setminus F \Rightarrow \pi\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(X \setminus A) \subseteq X \setminus F \Rightarrow \tau_{\mathcal{G}}\pi\text{-cl}(X \setminus A) \subseteq X \setminus F \Rightarrow F \subseteq \tau_{\mathcal{G}}\pi\text{-int}(A)$.

Conversely, $X \setminus A \subseteq U$ where U is open in $(X, \tau) \Rightarrow X \setminus U \subseteq \tau_{\mathcal{G}}\pi\text{-int}(A) \Rightarrow \tau_{\mathcal{G}}\pi\text{-cl}(X \setminus A) \subseteq U$. Thus $(X \setminus A)$ is \mathcal{G} - $g\pi$ -closed and hence A is \mathcal{G} - $g\pi$ -open.

7.3 Grills: $g\pi(\theta)$ -convergence and $g\pi(\theta)$ -adherence

Definition 7.3.1 A grill \mathcal{G} on a topological space X is said to:

(a) $g\pi(\theta)$ -adhere at $x \in X$ if for each $U \in g\pi O(x)$ and each $G \in \mathcal{G}$, $g\pi\text{cl}U \cap G \neq \emptyset$,

(b) $g\pi(\theta)$ -converge to a point $x \in X$ if for each $U \in g\pi O(x)$, there is some $G \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $G \subseteq g\pi\text{cl}(U)$ (in this case we shall also say that \mathcal{G} is $g\pi(\theta)$ -convergent to x).

Remark 7.3.2 It at once follows that a grill \mathcal{G} is $g\pi(\theta)$ -convergent to a point $x \in X$ iff \mathcal{G} contains the collection $\{g\pi\text{cl}(U) : U \in g\pi O(x)\}$.

Definition 7.3.3. A filter \mathcal{F} on a topological space X is said to $\text{gp}(\theta)$ -adhere at $x \in X$ ($\text{gp}(\theta)$ -converge to $x \in X$) if for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and each $U \in \text{gp}O(x)$, $F \cap \text{gp}cl(U) \neq \emptyset$ (resp. to each $U \in \text{gp}O(x)$, there corresponds $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $F \subseteq \text{gp}cl(U)$).

Definition 7.3.4. If \mathcal{G} is a grill (or a filter) on a space X , then the section of \mathcal{G} , denoted by $\text{sec}\mathcal{G}$, is given by $\text{sec}\mathcal{G} = \{A \subseteq X : A \cap G \neq \emptyset; \text{ for all } G \in \mathcal{G}\}$

Theorem.7.3.5

- (a) For any grill (filter) \mathcal{G} on a space X , $\text{sec}\mathcal{G}$ is a filter (resp. grill) on X .
- (b) If \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} are respectively a filter and a grill on a space X with $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$, then there is an ultra filter \mathcal{U} on X such that $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$.

We note at this stage that unlike the case of filters, the notion of $\text{gp}(\theta)$ -adherence of a grill is strictly stronger than that of $\text{gp}(\theta)$ -convergence.

Theorem.7.3.6 If a grill \mathcal{G} on a topological space X , $\text{gp}(\theta)$ -adheres at some point $x \in X$, then \mathcal{G} is $\text{gp}(\theta)$ -convergent to x .

Proof: Let a grill \mathcal{G} on X and \mathcal{G} be $\text{gp}(\theta)$ -adhere at $x \in X$. Then for each $U \in \text{gp}O(x)$ and each $G \in \mathcal{G}$, $\text{gp}cl(U) \cap G \neq \emptyset$ and hence $\text{gp}cl(U) \in \text{sec}\mathcal{G}$, for each $U \in \text{gp}O(x)$, and therefore $X \setminus \text{gp}cl(U) \notin \mathcal{G}$. Then $\text{gp}cl(U) \in \mathcal{G}$ (as \mathcal{G} is a grill and $X \in \mathcal{G}$), for each $U \in \text{gp}O(x)$. Hence \mathcal{G} must $\text{gp}(\theta)$ -converge to x .

The following example shows that a $\text{gp}(\theta)$ -convergent grill need not $\text{gp}(\theta)$ adhere at any point of the space even if the space is finite.

Example.7.3.7 Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$ and

$\mathcal{G} = \{\{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$. Then it can be easily seen that \mathcal{G} is a grill on X and τ is a topology on X such that $\text{gp}O(X) = \tau$. Now $cl(\{a\}) = \{a, c\}$, $cl(\{b\}) = \{b, c\}$, $cl(\{a, b\}) = X$ and $cl(\{a, c\}) = \{a, c\}$. So, $\{cl(U) : U \in \text{gp}O(x)\} = \{\{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, X\} \subseteq \mathcal{G}, \forall x \in X$. It can be verified that the

grill \mathcal{G} is $g\pi(\theta)$ -convergent to each $x \in X$, but does not $g\pi(\theta)$ -adhere at any $x \in X$.

Notation.7.3.8 Let X be a topological space. Then for any $x \in X$, we adopt the following notations:

(a). $\mathcal{G}(g\pi(\theta), x) = \{A \subseteq X : x \in g\pi(\theta)\text{-cl}A\}$;

(b). $\text{sec}\mathcal{G}(g\pi(\theta), x) = \{A \subseteq X : A \cap G \neq \emptyset, \text{ for all } G \in \mathcal{G}(g\pi(\theta); x)\}$.

Theorem.7.3.9 A grill \mathcal{G} on a space X , $g\pi(\theta)$ -adheres to a point $x \in X$ iff $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{G}(g\pi(\theta), x)$.

Proof: A grill \mathcal{G} on a space X $g\pi(\theta)$ -adheres at $x \in X$

$$\Rightarrow g\pi\text{cl}(U) \cap G \neq \emptyset, \text{ for all } U \in g\pi\mathcal{O}(x) \text{ and all } G \in \mathcal{G}$$

$$\Rightarrow x \in g\pi(\theta)\text{-cl}(G), \text{ for all } G \in \mathcal{G}$$

$$\Rightarrow G \in \mathcal{G}(g\pi(\theta), x), \text{ for all } G \in \mathcal{G}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{G}(g\pi(\theta), x).$$

Conversely, let $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{G}(g\pi(\theta), x)$. Then for all $G \in \mathcal{G}$, $x \in g\pi(\theta)\text{-cl}(G)$, so that for all $U \in g\pi\mathcal{O}(x)$ and for all $G \in \mathcal{G}$, $g\pi\text{cl}(U) \cap G \neq \emptyset$. Hence \mathcal{G} is $g\pi(\theta)$ -adheres at x .

Theorem.7.3.7 A grill \mathcal{G} on a topological space X is $g\pi(\theta)$ -convergent to a point x of X iff $\text{sec}\mathcal{G}(g\pi(\theta), x) \subseteq \mathcal{G}$.

Proof: Let \mathcal{G} be a grill on X , $g\pi(\theta)$ -converging to $x \in X$. Then for each $U \in g\pi\mathcal{O}(x)$ there exists $G \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $G \subseteq g\pi\text{cl}(U)$, and hence

$$g\pi\text{cl}(U) \in \mathcal{G} \text{ for each } U \in g\pi\mathcal{O}(x) \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

Let $B \in \text{sec}\mathcal{G}(\text{g}\pi(\theta), x)$. Then $X \setminus B \notin \mathcal{G}(\text{g}\pi(\theta), x) \Rightarrow x \notin \text{g}\pi(\theta)\text{-cl}(X \setminus B)$
 \Rightarrow there exists $U \in \text{g}\pi\mathcal{O}(x)$ such that $\text{g}\pi\text{cl}(U) \cap (X \setminus B) = \emptyset \Rightarrow \text{g}\pi\text{cl}(U) \subseteq B$,
 where $U \in \text{g}\pi\mathcal{O}(x) \Rightarrow B \in \mathcal{G}$ (by (1)).

Conversely, let if possible, \mathcal{G} be not to $\text{g}\pi(\theta)$ -converge to x . Then for some $U \in \text{g}\pi\mathcal{O}(x)$, $\text{g}\pi\text{cl}U \notin \mathcal{G}$ and hence $\text{g}\pi\text{cl}(U) \notin \text{sec}\mathcal{G}(\text{g}\pi(\theta), x)$. Thus for some $A \in \mathcal{G}(\text{g}\pi(\theta), x)$,

$$A \cap \text{g}\pi\text{cl}(U) = \emptyset \dots \dots \dots (2)$$

But $A \in \mathcal{G}(\text{g}\pi(\theta), x) \Rightarrow x \in \text{g}\pi(\theta)\text{-cl}A \Rightarrow \text{g}\pi\text{cl}(U) \cap A \neq \emptyset$, contradicting (2).
 Hence the result.

Definition.7.3.11 A grill \mathcal{G} on a space X is said to be:

(a) $\text{g}\pi(\theta)$ -linked if for any two members $A, B \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$\text{g}\pi(\theta)\text{-cl}(A) \cap \text{g}\pi(\theta)\text{-cl}(B) \neq \emptyset.$$

(b) $\text{g}\pi(\theta)$ -conjoint if for every finite subfamily A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n of \mathcal{G} ,

$$\text{g}\pi\text{int} \left[\bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{g}\pi(\theta)\text{-cl}(A_i) \right] \neq \emptyset:$$

Remark 7.3.12 It follows from the definitions that every $\text{g}\pi(\theta)$ -conjoint grill is $\text{g}\pi(\theta)$ -linked. That the converse is false is exhibited by the following example.

Example.7.3.12 Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$ and

$\mathcal{G} = \{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$. Then it can be easily seen that \mathcal{G} is a grill on X and τ is a topology on X such that $\text{g}\pi\mathcal{O}(X) = \tau$. Now $\text{g}\pi(\theta)\text{-cl}(\{a\}) = \{a, c\}$, $\text{g}\pi(\theta)\text{-cl}(\{b\}) = \text{g}\pi(\theta)\text{-cl}(\{b, c\}) = \{b, c\}$, $\text{g}\pi(\theta)\text{-cl}(\{a, b\}) = X$ and $\text{g}\pi(\theta)\text{-cl}(\{a, c\}) = \{a, c\}$. It is obvious that \mathcal{G} is a $\text{g}\pi(\theta)$ -linked grill on X but it is not $\text{g}\pi(\theta)$ -conjoint as $\text{g}\pi\text{int} [\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{G}} \text{g}\pi(\theta)\text{-cl}(A)] = \text{g}\pi\text{int} \{c\} = \emptyset$.

7.4 $g\pi$ -closed space and grills

Definition.7.4.1 A non-empty subset A of a topological space X is called $g\pi$ -closed relative to X if for every cover \mathcal{U} of A by $g\pi$ -open sets of X , there exists a finite subset \mathcal{U}_0 of \mathcal{U} such that $A \subseteq \bigcup \{g\pi cl(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}_0\}$. If, in addition, $A = X$, then X is called a $g\pi$ -closed space.

Theorem 7.4.2 For any topological space X , the following are equivalent.

- (a) X is $g\pi$ -closed.
- (b) Every maximal filterbase $g\pi(\theta)$ -converges to some point of X .
- (c) Every filterbase $g\pi(\theta)$ -adheres at some point of X .
- (d) For every family $\{U_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of $g\pi$ -closed subsets such that $\bigcap \{U_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta\} = \emptyset$, there exists a finite subset Δ_0 of Δ such that $\bigcap \{g\pi int(U_\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \Delta_0\} = \emptyset$.

Proof: (a) \Rightarrow (b). Let \mathcal{F} be a maximal filterbase on X . Suppose that \mathcal{F} does not $g\pi(\theta)$ -converge to any point of X . Since \mathcal{F} is maximal, \mathcal{F} does not $g\pi(\theta)$ -adheres at any point of X . For each $x \in X$, there exists $F_x \in \mathcal{F}$ and $g\pi$ -open set U_x containing x such that $F_x \cap g\pi cl(U_x) = \emptyset$. Now $\{U_x \mid x \in X\}$ is a $g\pi$ open cover for X . By (a), there exists a finite subset $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of X such that $X = \bigcup \{g\pi cl(U_{x_i}) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. Since \mathcal{F} is a filterbase, there exists $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $F \subset \bigcap F_{x_i}$. Now, $\bigcap F_{x_i} = (\bigcap F_{x_i}) \cap (\bigcup g\pi cl(U_{x_i})) = \bigcup ((\bigcap F_{x_i}) \cap g\pi cl(U_{x_i})) = \emptyset$. Hence $F = \emptyset$, a contradiction.

(b) \Rightarrow (c). Let \mathcal{F} be a filterbase on X . Then there exists a maximal filterbase \mathcal{F}_0 such that $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}_0$. By (b), \mathcal{F}_0 is $g\pi(\theta)$ -converges to some point $x \in X$. For every $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and every $U \in g\pi$ open set containing x , there exists $F_0 \in \mathcal{F}_0$ such that $F_0 \subset g\pi cl(U)$. Now $F_0 \subset g\pi cl(U)$ implies that $F_0 \cap F \subset g\pi cl(U) \cap F$. Since \mathcal{F}_0 is a filterbase, $F \cap \mathcal{F}_0 \neq \emptyset$ and so $F \cap g\pi cl(U) \neq \emptyset$. Hence \mathcal{F} is $g\pi(\theta)$ -adheres at x .

(c) \Rightarrow (d). Let $\{U_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a family of $g\pi$ -closed subsets of X such that $\bigcap\{U_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta\} = \emptyset$. Let \mathcal{I} be the family of all finite subsets of Δ . Assume that $A_I = \bigcap\{g\pi \text{ int}(U_\alpha) \mid \alpha \in I\} \neq \emptyset$ for every $I \in \mathcal{I}$. Then the family $\mathcal{F} = \{A_I \mid I \in \mathcal{I}\}$ is a filterbase on X . By hypothesis, \mathcal{F} is $g\pi(\theta)$ -adheres at some point $x \in X$. Since $\{X - U_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is a $g\pi$ -open cover of X , $x \in X - U_\beta$ for some $\beta \in \Delta$. Since $g\pi \text{ cl}(X - U_\beta) \cap g\pi \text{ int}(U_\beta) = \emptyset$, a contradiction to the fact that \mathcal{F} is $g\pi(\theta)$ -adheres at $x \in X$. Thus $\bigcap\{g\pi \text{ int}(U_\alpha) \mid \alpha \in I\} = \emptyset$ for some $I \in \mathcal{I}$.

(d) \Rightarrow (a). Let $\{U_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a cover of X by $g\pi$ -open sets of X . Then $\{X - U_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is a family of $g\pi$ -closed subsets of X such that $\bigcap\{X - U_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta\} = \emptyset$. By (d), there exists a finite subset Δ_0 of Δ such that $\bigcap\{g\pi \text{ int}(X - U_\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \Delta_0\} = \emptyset$. Hence $X - \bigcap\{g\pi \text{ int}(X - U_\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \Delta_0\} = X$ which implies that $\bigcup\{g\pi \text{ cl}(U_\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \Delta_0\} = X$. Hence X is $g\pi$ -closed.

Theorem.7.4.3 A topological space X is $g\pi$ -closed iff every grill on X is $g\pi(\theta)$ -convergent in X .

Proof: Let \mathcal{G} be any grill on a $g\pi$ -closed space X . Then by Theorem 7.3.5, $\text{sec}\mathcal{G}$ is a filter on X . Let $B \in \text{sec}\mathcal{G}$, then $X \setminus B \notin \mathcal{G}$ and hence $B \in \mathcal{G}$ (as \mathcal{G} is a grill). Thus $\text{sec}\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$. Then by Theorem 7.3.5(b), there exists an ultra filter \mathcal{U} on X such that $\text{sec}\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$. Now as X is $g\pi$ -closed, in view of Theorem 7.4.2 the ultra filter \mathcal{U} is $g\pi(\theta)$ -convergent to some point $x \in X$. Then for each $U \in g\pi O(x)$, there exists $F \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $F \subseteq g\pi \text{ cl}(U)$. Consequently, $g\pi \text{ cl}(U) \in \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$, i.e., $g\pi \text{ cl}(U) \in \mathcal{G}$, for each $U \in g\pi O(x)$. Hence \mathcal{G} is $g\pi(\theta)$ -convergent to x .

Conversely, let every grill on X be $g\pi(\theta)$ -convergent to some point of X . By virtue of Theorem 7.4.2 it is enough to show that every ultra filter on X is $g\pi(\theta)$ -converges in X , which is immediate from the fact that an ultra filter on X is also a grill on X .

Theorem7.4.4. A subset A of a topological space X is $g\pi$ -closed relative to X iff every grill \mathcal{G} on X with $A \in \mathcal{G}$, $g\pi(\theta)$ -converges to a point in A .

Proof: Let A be $g\pi$ -closed relative to X and \mathcal{G} a grill on X satisfying $A \in \mathcal{G}$ such that \mathcal{G} does not $g\pi(\theta)$ -converge to any $a \in A$. Then to each $a \in A$, there corresponds some $U_a \in g\pi O(a)$ such that $g\pi cl(U_a) \notin \mathcal{G}$. Now $\{U_a : a \in A\}$ is a cover of A by $g\pi$ open sets of X . Then $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n g\pi cl(U_{a_i}) = U$ (say) for some positive integer n . Since \mathcal{G} is a grill, $U \notin \mathcal{G}$ and hence $A \notin \mathcal{G}$, which is a contradiction.

Conversely, let A be not $g\pi$ -closed relative to X . Then for some cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of A by $g\pi$ open sets of X , $\mathcal{F} = \{A \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} g\pi cl(U_\alpha) : \Delta_0 \text{ is a finite subset of } \Delta\}$ is a filterbase on X . Then the family \mathcal{F} can be extended to an ultrafilter \mathcal{F}^* on X . Then \mathcal{F}^* is a grill on X with $A \in \mathcal{F}^*$ (as each F of \mathcal{F} is a subset of A). Now for each $x \in A$, there must exist $\beta \in \Delta$ such that $x \in U_\beta$, as \mathcal{U} is a cover of A . Then for any $G \in \mathcal{F}^*$, $G \cap (A \setminus g\pi cl(U_\beta)) \neq \emptyset$, so that $G \not\subseteq g\pi cl(U_\beta)$, for all $G \in \mathcal{G}$. Hence \mathcal{F}^* cannot $g\pi(\theta)$ -converge to any point of A . The contradiction proves the desired result.

Theorem.7.4.5. Let X be any topological space such that every grill \mathcal{G} on X , with the property that $\bigcap_{i=1}^n g\pi(\theta)\text{-cl}G_i \neq \emptyset$ for every finite subfamily $\{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n\}$ of \mathcal{G} , $g\pi(\theta)$ -adheres in X , then X is a $g\pi$ -closed space.

Proof: Let \mathcal{U} be any ultrafilter on X . Then \mathcal{U} is a grill on X and also for each finite sub collection $\{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n\}$ of \mathcal{U} , $\bigcap_{i=1}^n g\pi(\theta)\text{-cl}U_i \supseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^n clU_i \neq \emptyset$, so that \mathcal{U} is a grill on X with the given condition. Hence by hypothesis, \mathcal{U} is $g\pi(\theta)$ -adheres. Consequently, by Theorem 7.4.2, the space X is $g\pi$ -closed.

Theorem.7.4.6 For any $A \subseteq X$, $g\pi(\theta)\text{-cl}A = \bigcap \{g\pi cl(U) : A \subseteq U \in g\pi O(X)\}$.

Theorem.7.4.7 In a $g\pi$ -closed space X , every $g\pi(\theta)$ -conjoint grill $g\pi(\theta)$ -adheres in X .

Proof. Let \mathcal{G} be a $g\pi(\theta)$ -conjoint grill on a $g\pi$ -closed space X . Since $g\pi(\theta)$ -cl(A) is $g\pi$ -closed for every $A \subset X$, $\{g\pi(\theta)$ -cl(A) | $A \in \mathcal{G}\}$ is a collection of $g\pi$ -closed sets in X . Since \mathcal{G} is $g\pi(\theta)$ -conjoint, for any finite subfamily $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$ of \mathcal{G} , $g\pi \text{ int} (\bigcap \{g\pi(\theta)$ -cl(A_i) | $1 \leq i \leq n\}) \neq \emptyset$ and so $\bigcap \{g\pi \text{ int} (g\pi(\theta)$ -cl(A_i)) | $1 \leq i \leq n\} \neq \emptyset$. Hence by Theorem 7.4.2, $\bigcap \{g\pi(\theta)$ -cl(A) | $A \in \mathcal{G}\} \neq \emptyset$ and so there exists $x \in X$ such that $x \in g\pi(\theta)$ -cl(A) for every $A \in \mathcal{G}$ which implies that $A \in \mathcal{G}(g\pi(\theta), x)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{G}$ which in turn implies that $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{G}(g\pi(\theta), x)$. Therefore, \mathcal{G} $g\pi(\theta)$ -adheres at x , by Theorem 7.3.9.