

A Study of Androcentric Perspectives in Mahesh Dattani's

Dance Like a Man and Where There's a Will

PAVITHRA. S

(20PEN026)

Thesis Submitted to

Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education

for Women, Coimbatore-641043

in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the

Master's Degree in English

May 2022

DECLARATION

DECLARATION

I declare that the dissertation entitled of **A Study of Androcentric Perspectives in Mahesh Dattani's *Dance Like a Man* and *Where There's a Will*** submitted by me for the degree of Master of Arts (M.A) is the record of work carried out by **PAVITHRA. S** during the period from **JANUARY 2022 - MAY 2022** under the guidance of **Mrs. C. KAVITHA**, Assistant Professor, Department of English, Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women (S.F), Coimbatore and has not formed the basis for the award of any Degree, Diploma, Associateship, Fellowship, Titles in the University or any other University or other similar Institutions of Higher Learning.

Signature of the Candidate

CERTIFICATE

CERTIFICATE FROM THE SUPERVISOR

I certify that the dissertation entitled of **A Study of Androcentric Perspectives in Mahesh Dattani's *Dance Like a Man* and *Where There's a Will*** submitted for the degree of **Master of Arts (M.A)** is the record of work carried out by **PAVITHRA. S** during the period from **JANUARY 2022 - MAY2022** under my guidance **C. KAVITHA** and supervision and that this work has not formed the basis for the award of any Degree, Diploma, Associateship, Fellowship, Titles in the University or any other University or other similar Institutions of Higher Learning.

Signature of the

Head of the Department

Signature of the

Supervisor with Designation

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The researcher thanks the Lord Almighty for the abundant blessings showered on her during the study period.

The researcher expresses her gratitude to Prof. S.P. Thyagarajan, revered Chancellor, Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women, for all the good wishes towards the successful completion of the study.

The researcher would like to acknowledge her heartfelt thanks to Dr. V. Bharathi Hari Shankar, Vice Chancellor, Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women, for her constant motivation and encouragement towards academic performance.

The researcher would like to thank, Dr. S. Kowsalya, Registrar, Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women, for extending full support for the successful completion of the study.

The researcher would like to express her gratitude to Dr. K.T. Geetha, Dean, Faculty of Humanities, Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women, for her encouragement throughout the study.

The researcher would like to express her gratitude to Dr. S. Raja, Director, Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women (S.F), Coimbatore, for his constant support for the completion of the study.

The researcher would like to express her gratitude to Dr. S. Deva Shanthi, Assistant Professor and Head in charge, Department of English, Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women, Coimbatore for her help in conduct of the study.

The researcher feels highly elated in expressing her glowing sense of gratitude to her guide Mrs. C. Kavitha, M.A., M.Phil., Assistant Professor, Department of English,

Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women, for her meticulous guidance, deep concern, constructive suggestions, continued motivation and sincere help and for her constant support to complete the study.

The researcher extends her enthusiastic thanks to Dr. S. Aananthi Balamurugan, Professor, Department of English, Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women, Coimbatore for her support.

The researcher takes this opportunity to extend her thanks to all the Staff members, Department of English for their support.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTERS	TITLE	PAGE NO.
I	INTRODUCTION	1-17
II	GENDER BASED	18-52
III	TRADITIONAL SOCIAL LIFE	53-88
IV	CONCLUSION	89-92

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Literature comprehensively is any assortment of composed work; however, it is additionally utilized all the more barely for compositions explicitly viewed as an artistic expression, particularly exposition fiction, show, and verse. In late hundreds of years, the definition has extended to incorporate oral writing, quite a bit of which has been deciphered. Writing is a strategy for recording, saving, and sending information and diversion, and can likewise have a social, mental, otherworldly, or political job.

Literature, as a fine art, can likewise remember works for different genuine classifications, like life story, journals, diary, letters, and the paper. Inside its expansive definition, writing incorporates non-works of fiction, articles or other printed data on a specific subject.

Etymologically, the term gets from Latin *literature* / *litteratura* learning, a composition, sentence structure, initially composing framed with letters, from *litera* / *littera* letter. Despite this, the term has additionally been applied to spoken or sung texts. Improvements on paper innovation have permitted an always developing conveyance and multiplication of composed works, which presently incorporates electronic writing. Literature is grouped by whether it is verse, exposition or show, and such works are arranged by authentic periods, or their adherence to specific tasteful elements, or class.

English-language writing as opposed to the writing of England, with the goal that it incorporates journalists from Scotland and the entire of Ireland, as well as writing in English from nations of the previous British Empire, including the United States. Be that as it may, until the mid-nineteenth century, it is just arrangements with the writing of the United Kingdom, Walden and Ireland. It does exclude writing in different dialects of Britain. The

English language has created throughout the span of over 1,400 years. The earliest types of English, a bunch of Angelo-Frisian tongues brought to Great Britain by Anglo-Saxon trespassers in the fifth century, are called Old English. *Beowulf* is the most popular work in Old English, and has accomplished public awe-inspiring status in England, notwithstanding being set in Scandinavia.

Indian literature alludes to the writing created on the Indian subcontinent until 1947 and in the Republic of India from that point. The Republic of India has 22 formally acknowledged dialects. The earliest works of Indian literature were orally sent. Sanskrit writing starts with the oral writing of the Rig Veda an assortment of writing dating to the period 1500-1200 BCE. The Sanskrit sagas *Ramayana* and *Mahabharata* were in this manner classified and showed up towards the finish of the second thousand years BCE. In the archaic period, writing in Kannada and Telugu showed up in the sixth and eleventh century separately. Afterward, writing in Marathi, Gujarati, Assamese, Odia, Bengali and Maithili showed up. From that point writing in different vernaculars of Hindi, Persian and Urdu started to show up too. In 1913, Bengali artist Rabindranath Tagore turned into India's most memorable Nobel laureate in writing. In contemporary Indian writing, there are two significant artistic honours; these are the Sahitya Academic Fellowship and the Jnanpith Award. Eight Jnanpith Awards each have been granted in Hindi and Kannada, trailed by five in Bengali and Malayalam, four in Odia, Gujarati, Marathi, Telugu and Urdu, two each in Assamese and Tamil, and one in Sanskrit.

Indian English Literature has a somewhat late history, being almost two centuries old. The main book composed by an Indian in English was *The Travels of Dean Mahomet*, a movement story by Sake Dean Mahomed, distributed in England in 1794. In its beginning

phases, Indian English Literature was impacted by Western books. Early Indian journalists utilized English pure by Indian words to convey an encounter which was basically Indian. Bankim Chandra Chattopadhyay (1838-1894) composed *Rajmohan's Wife* and distributed it in 1864; it is the principal Indian novel written in English. Lal Behari Day's *Govindan Samantha* or the History of a Bengali Raiyat was distributed in 1874 and similar creator's Folk Tales of Bengal: *Life's Secret* was distributed in 1912. *Bianca, or The Young Spanish Maiden* (1878) by Toru Dutt was the main novel composed by an Indian lady. Both Toru Dutt and Krupabai Sathianadhan, two promising Indian English scholars of the nineteenth century kicked the bucket awkward in their mid-twenties and thirties separately. Sathianadhan personal novel *Saguna: A Story of Native Christian Life* was distributed sequentially in The Madras Christian College Magazine from 1887 to 1888. The main other novel by Sathianadhan is *Kamala: The Story of a Hindu Life* (1894).

The non-fictitious collection of composition works; comprising of letters, journals, political declaration, articles, discourses, philosophical works and so on in Indian English writing of the nineteenth and the mid-twentieth century, is rich and shifted. The talks of Swami Vivekananda, Rabindranath Tagore, Chittaranjan Das, Bal Gangadhar Tilak, Mahatma Gandhi and Subhas Chandra Bose, to give some examples, molded the fate of current India and furthermore the predetermination of English language in India. Gandhi's *Indian Home Rule or Hind Swaraj* (1910) was written in an indigenised assortment of the English language and tested 'effectively the authority of Standard English' even before *R. K. Narayan, M. R. Anand and Raja Rao*.

Drama in India has a fabulous old history. Its starting point can be followed back to the Vedic Period. Bharata's *Natyashastra* is the first and most critical work on Indian poetics and show. Here Bharata thinks about play as the fifth Veda. There are references to show in Patanjali's *Mahabhashya*, Vats Ayana's *Kamasutra*, Kautilya's *Arthashastra* and Panini's

Ashtadhyayi. Bharat's hypothesis of emotional craftsmanship was trailed by Ashwaghosh, Bhasa, Shudraka, Kalidas, Harsha, Bhavabhuti, Vishakhadatta, Bhatta Narayana and Murari. The pre-eminent accomplishment of Indian Drama without a doubt lies in Kalidas, the Shakespeare of India. In India, Sanskrit show thrived in its greatness till the fifteenth hundred years. However, from that point Indian dramatization action nearly reached a conclusion because of specific attacks on India.

The ascent of the advanced show traces all the way back to the eighteenth century when the British Empire fortified its power in India. With the effect of Western development on Indian life, another renaissance unfolded on Indian expressions including drama. Without precedent for the historical backdrop of present-day Indian venue two comedies, *Disguise* and *Love is the Best Doctor* were made an interpretation of from English into Bengali by Lebed off and Golkonda Das and they were delivered in Calcutta. Be that as it may, the genuine excursion of Indian English Drama starts with Michael Madhusudan Dutt's *This Called Civilization* which was written in 1871. Thereby any economical imaginative endeavours were not occurred in show for quite some time after Dutt's play.

Asif Currimbhoy is one of the most productive dramatists of the post-Independence time frame. He is India's most memorable real voice in the theatre. He is one current Indian writer who has shown extraordinary interest in creating show. His affection for Shakespearean show has impacted his collection of work. His most memorable play *Goa* manages racial separation as a worldview of post expansionism. Regardless of thoroughness, Currimbhoy emotional workmanship has been a subject of analysis. His images are in many cases unrefined, ordinary and repairman however the best limit of his strategy is uncovered particularly in his later plays in which Currimbhoy seems to mistake emotional method for dramatic dishonesty and stage tricks with sensational experience.

Girish Karnad is a significant supporter of Indo-Anglian theatre. His commitment goes past theatre. He has coordinated highlight movies, narratives, and TV serials. He addressed India in unfamiliar grounds as a messenger of craftsmanship and culture. Karnad has the broadest reach concerning subject and method. He has explored different avenues regarding the combination of the conventional and current sensational structures and content. The motivation behind utilizing conventional structures is to accomplish an uncommon knowledge into the contemporary reality in light of the fact that Karnad accepts that intricacies of post expansionism are acquired from the provincial and pre-frontier times. Pre-provincial, pioneer and post frontier encounters in writing cannot be compartmentalized in obvious sense. They are not separated from one another. Girish Karnad utilizes the gadgets of fantasy, fables and history to visit the past as well as to take a gander at the present and furthermore to hint what's in store. He utilizes fantasy and history to make another awareness of the idiocy of human existence with every one of its interests and clashes. He acquired his plots from history, folklore and old legends yet with many-sided imagery, he attempted to lay out their pertinence in contemporary socio-political circumstances. Karnad's emotional craftsmanship needs soundness still his prosperity lies in specialized try different things with a native sensational structure.

A Marathi writer, Vijay Tendulkar, fundamentally changed the structure and example of Indian show. He overcame any issues among customary and present-day theatre. In the entirety of his plays, Tendulkar harps upon the subject of disconnection and enduring of the individual and his showdown with the threatening environmental elements. He investigates the socio-political framework of contemporary Indian subjectivity in his plays. He unequivocally accepts that thoughts are solidly settled in our mind at an early age and 'our disposition has a great deal to do with what we incorporate in our initial early stages'. He relates the issue of pain to the subject of savagery in the vast majority of his plays.

Rabindranath Tagore is a Bengali Brahmin from Calcutta with hereditary nobility established in Burdwan locale and Jessore, Tagore composed verse as an eight-year-old. At sixteen years old, he delivered his most memorable significant sonnets under the pen name, were taken advantage of by abstract specialists as tragically missing works of art. By 1877 he graduated to his most memorable brief tales and shows, distributed under his genuine name. As a humanist, universalist, internationalist and enthusiastic enemy of patriot, he upbraided the British Raj and upheld freedom from Britain. As a type of the Bengal Renaissance, he progressed a huge standard that contained works of art, portrays and doodles, many texts, and nearly 2,000 melodies his heritage likewise perseveres in the organization he established Visva-Bharati University.

Tagore modernized Bengali workmanship by rejecting inflexible traditional structures and opposing semantic injuries. His books, stories, tunes, dance-dramatizations and articles addressed subjects political and individual. *Gitanjali*, *Gora* and *Ghare-Baire* are his most popular works, and his stanza, brief tales and books were acclaimed or searched for gold lyricism, expression, naturalism and unnatural consideration. His syntheses were picked by two countries as public hymns: India's "Jana Ghana Mana" and Bangladesh's "Amar Shonar Bangla". The Sri Lankan public song of devotion was motivated by his work.

R.K. Narayan is the principal extraordinary Indian English essayist who had extensive crowd abroad. R.K. Narayan books shares the pith of Indian culture in the entirety of its real nature. Like Thomas Hardy's Wessex, he made Malgudy, the imaginary spot with ordinary Indian settings in its wonderful structure. The aide is considered as his work of art. His works are '*The English Teacher*', '*Mr. Sampath*', '*The Man Eater of Malgudy*' and '*Swamy and Friends*'. The Financial Expert was hailed as quite possibly the most unique work of 1951 and Sahitya Academy Award Winner *the Guide* was adjusted for the film (winning a Filmfare Award for Best Film) and for Broadway.

Narayan features the social setting and daily existence of his characters. He has been contrasted with William Faulkner who made a comparative fictitious town and moreover investigated with humour and sympathy the energy of conventional life. Narayan's brief tales have been contrasted and those of Guy de Maupassant due to his capacity to pack a story. In a vocation that crossed more than sixty years Narayan got many honours and respects including the AC Benson Medal from the Royal Society of Literature, the Padma Vibhushan and the Padma Bhushan, India's second and third most elevated regular citizen grants and in 1994 the Sahitya Academic Fellowship, the most elevated distinction of India's public foundation of letters. He was additionally designated to the Rajya Sabha, the upper place of the Indian Parliament.

Mahesh Dattani, the foremost comprehensive sensational soul, was born on August 7, 1958 in the city of Bangalore in Karnataka, although his guardians initially had a place in Porbandar of Gujarat. They have relocated to Bombay for trade reasons and at last, settled in Bangalore where he found an opportunity of observing Gujarati and Kannad a play within the company of his guardians and sisters. Dattani took his instruction from Baldwin Tall School and St. Joseph College of Expressions and Science, Bangalore. Reviewing his days at Baldwin, he affirms that the communication was as it was done through English. Utilization of vernacular dialect was entirely disallowed and scowled upon.

Dattani includes an exceptionally solid passion for theatrical craftsmanship. He yearns to display multicolored Indian life and sensibility. It is since of then he sets his eyes on the craftsmanship of play composing and organizing execution. Something else, he needed to spend a typical life, making a difference running his father's commerce. Of course, he made a difference with his father in a family business for some time. He has an urge to advance showy tradition competent sufficient to capture the soul and sensibility of soil. He was motivated to join Bangalore Small Theater during his college days, in the early 1980s and

started partaking in workshops, acting, and directing plays. He took training in Western ballet under the guidance Molly Andre at Union Francaise de Bangalore (1984- 87), while he took the preparation of Bharatanatyam *Chandrashekar Devi* and *Krishna Rao*, Bangalore (1986-90). He did his graduations in history, financial matters, and political science at St. Joseph College, Bangalore. He did his post-graduation in Promoting and Notice Administration. So, his scholastic career has no coordinated association with his emotional voyage. Mahesh Dattani has begun to possess theater gathering named Playpen in 1984. It can be deciphered as the appearance of his theoretical thoughts and information on craftsmanship and move. This playpen, an imperative performing craftsmanship bunch, is exceptionally valuable for the craftsman. His plays are performed at his studio. It too energizes the amateur craftsmen to take part in sensational exercises. He connects his incredible victory to his Playpen. He, in one of his interviews, asserts: I wouldn't say the as it were one, but I would say that I have been the most successful for various reasons: I have my claim theater company (Playpen), and I have a theater foundation. I'm not composing since I'm an essayist (of writing), I'm composing since I have a theater background.

Dattani has been instructing show courses at the Summer Program of Portland State College. He moreover organizes the workshops on theater courses and a wave of other showy exercises at his Playpen studio time and again. Being the flexible scholarly and sensational figure, he has given modern tallness and measurement to Indian English Drama. He has rendered extraordinary offer assistance in bringing out Indian English dramatization from regretful presence. With the support of playwrights like Mahesh Dattani, the wilting tree of the Indian English Show has begun taking its roots and developing rapidly. Besides being the most excellent known producer, Dattani is additionally rumored and gifted performing artist, chief, scriptwriter, and move educator. It has been attributed: Dattani's sensational craftsmanship is propelled by the mission to communicate significant meaning through his

plays and, so, he shows sharp mindfulness for the course and organized execution of his possess plays. His sensitive emotional self may be a fine amalgamation of an artist, chief, on-screen character, writer, group of onlookers, and commentator moving collectively towards a single heading.

Separated from the play composing trade, he has been effectively locked in so numerous exercises related to theater. He has begun effectively in a few famous plays, winning awards for his brilliant and fabulous performance. While reacting to the questions about whether there happens a struggle between the performing artist Dattani and chief Dattani, He clarifies by taking after terms,

The actor, the playwright, and the director are all complimentary to each other in a generation. It is like planting; where an entirety is made of numerous parts. So numerous conditions decide a garden's lavishness, its excellence. I compose for a performing artist within the genuine sense of the word and not to ponder to conceit performing artists. There's no theater without an on-screen character or a group of onlookers. Everything is g e a r e d towards 'rasa' which is why I continuously coordinate the primary generation of any play I type in. That empowers me to put in more organize enlightening which goes on to become a kind of blueprint for other executives. That way, there's no strife.

Dattani has coordinated and acted in numerous plays. He began with performance of his play at his Playpen, a theater company. The plays of other craftsmen are too, to begin with, performed there and vital alterations are made in them. Since Dattani isn't the understudy of any craftsmanship or writing, the questions emerge in our intellect that what makes him type in a play. A few theaters gather utilized to perform Gujarati plays in

Bangalore. Youthful Dattani would appreciate observing these plays in the company of his family. The touchy intellect of Dattani gets a permanent impression of showy execution. It maintained his intrigued of observing Gujarati and Kannada plays for a longer period. He admits: 'I didn't know the world at my doorstep. I got included in theater and for a long time kept on Gujarati theater in Mumbai, I realized I had to unlearn a part that I learned in school. That's when my genuine instruction truly started'. (Ayyar 2004)

Hence, his experience with Gujarati plays is the foremost critical marvel informing his intellect for the theater trade. It is, hence, that one can see Gujarati settings in most of his plays. He recognizes this within the taking after way.

Dattani is serious to create theater that can be caught on and delighted in by the multilingual community of India and overseas. Subsequently, he restores English as a medium of communication in his theater. English could be a 'hybrid language' and talked unpretentiously in India. In any case, Dattani puts, to begin with, the transmission of a message through execution and besides transmission of message or thoughts through dialect the moment. In this way, execution holds more noteworthiness than dialect in the showy world of Dattani. He makes a fair confession: My milieu is theater; you can't work in segregation. I do need theater development to happen. The major block for that's the need for sound preparation and professionalism. We have the ability, but theater is more than that, it's a craft from m unicasting through the language of action.

In the sensational world of Mahesh Dattani, there's a blend of chief and author. Most of his plays are coordinated by himself. As a chief, he is equality greatness. His plays have been performed effectively to open and basic laud. Most of his radio plays have been disclosed on BBC radio. Dattani is exceptionally prompt and passionate about the arranged

generation of his plays. He performs the play before long as the composing of the script of the play is over.

Dattani is a theater expert who loves craftsmanship and not himself in workmanship. Meticulously, he works with the entertainers to reproduce his text in a structure which fit them way to deal with execution: a sub text-based approach normal for entertainers with a western preparing. To Dattani, a play is never truly wraps up. Plays just truly occur in the theater, as vaporous occasions. The clearly long-lasting printed text is only one estimate to what could happen when the piece is performed. He steers incredible torment in his stage headings to make sense of the stage, spread out anticipates the onlookers for neglected him exhaustively. Dattani presents his topics in a differentway, moving to and from across time. Through a strategy suggestive of film, he fabricates emotional pressure as it cuts quickly from one scene to another.

In Dattani's plays, the plot will, in general, follow a specific example. A guest or a more abnormal goes into a family with a presentation. Through him a progression of occasions is uncovered. Previous occasions are exposed. A few dim mysteries of the family are uncovered. Once the activity starts to follow, the interest of the crowd is significant reinforced as a number of lesser disclosures lead us to the last dramatic upset.

Each play is something of a dramatic visit deforce from inside which the characters don'tcontinuously arise completely. They frequently have poppinglines of discourse however there is little stabilizer to them. Not character drives the activity; rather, a series of clues and disclosures lead us intomysteries that the heroes would like todisguise. By and by, they stand uncovering, not onwhat is uncovered. The human center isn't what itis about; the situational dramatization holds theconsideration. (Haider, 24-25)

Dattani's capacity to make significant and moving dramatization has laid out him as the main figure in English theater today. Dattani is a finished man of the theater acquainted with all parts of showmanship. Dattani's affection for show and shortage of English dramatization in India roused him to compose more plays. He gives articulation to the longings and wants of the working-class Indians. With Dattani's introduction to the modern subjects changed the crowd by homogenizing them with his theater, and his performance center with the taste of his crowd. As English is the window language and vehicle of correspondence, then in some way made itself his very own indispensable piece personality. Without breaking a sweat, Dattani utilizes his picked language. He tracks down it as the most agreeable language. Language is the significant area of challenge, thus this accomplishment of Dattani is critical. He utilizes English for the stage with a high proportion of ability. As the metropolitan working-class talks English today, there is a rising affirmation with the English language, shared by crowds what's more, dramatists and this is demonstrated through Dattani's *Dance Like a Man*.

The story spins around three ages. Jairaj and Ratna need to foster their profession as artist's. Dance for them isn't just their enthusiasm yet additionally their life and soul. They need to foster their professions in this field. The generalizations of orientation jobs are set in the general public and disregarding that Jairaj proceeds to seek after his vocation as an artist. This is the bend that the writer provides for the generalizations related with 'orientation' gives that view exclusively a lady at the less than desirable finish of the harsh power designs of the general public. The play flips open in the contrary orientation's perspective and demonstrates the way that even men can be a section or a casualty to such conditions by being mistreated, and stifled by the contrary orientation and society.

Jairaj and Ratna need to live inside the house of the 'patriarch' Amritlal, father of Jairaj. Dance for Amritlal is a calling of a whore and which is the reason he can't

acknowledge his little girl in-regulation learning it and is impossible for his child to learn it and make profession out of it. Generally, this is likewise on the grounds that he was a reformist and individuals would snicker at him for Jairaj's activities and his standing would be forfeited.

He can't endure the sound of moving chimes in his home and his child wandering around with the tinkling of ringers in his leg during the training meeting. His dad likewise despises the delicate master that comes to their home and furthermore the long hair that he and his child both have kept. So, Ratna goes onto gain the dance from a woman massage parlor. Amritlal believes that the sanctuaries have gradually gone to whorehouses as they practice dance there. He denies Ratna to visit the old Devadas who shows her the old structures and strategies of 'Bharatnatyam' which were gradually quenching.

Here there are unpretentious signs that learning dance and having a master like that would make a womanly man which recommends the possibility of homosexuality however it isn't expressly referenced anywhere in the text.

As he can't acknowledge his child chasing after his profession as an artist, he attempts every one of the potential means to prevent him from looking for his desire. He eliminates them/repudiates them from his home and his property, not giving them a solitary penny to get by.

Jairaj, leaves and take Ratna alongside him. However, the outcomes are awful. They stay at Ratna's uncle's home and he attempts to exploit her thus they take off from the house just to return.

The personality of Ratna can be called as that of a childish one since she consents to her father by marriage's requests and furthermore thinks about that there would be one less individual to contend with. She continually deceives him and plays with his feelings

regardless of being his accomplice. However, Jairaj never constrained his perspectives on anyone and instead of that Ratna would continuously overwhelm and take choices for herself, for himself and presently their little girl too.

She needed her own profession to legitimate, thus she forfeits her better half's vocation all the while. She was dazed by her energy to such an extent that she held hands with Amritlal. This quietly shows the relationship she personally imparted to Jairaj which was more for her very own rationale than whatever else. She wedded him on the grounds that Jairaj himself was an artist and he could never prevent her from moving even subsequent to getting hitched. Had it been that she would have hitched another man, there was plausible that she would be denied of her vocation and her energy and she would be vulnerable.

At the point when Jairaj conceivably had some awareness of her thought processes, the intention was at that point accomplished, that he was a bombed artist and that he didn't make a lot of out of his life. He had turned into a drunkard. She continually exploited Jairaj's affection. She drove him away from the universe of dance and furthermore realize that he was not an incredible artist himself, to come to the among the top artists, that he was only a fair one. She was liable for Jairaj's demise as a person as well as an artist.

Ratna here didn't stop yet proceeded to make her little girl Lata, likewise a Traditional artist. She utilized her girl as well, to bring in popularity and cash everywhere. She plots controls and uses every one of her contacts to sort her little girl's profession out right from the beginning. She additionally utilizes the contacts to get grateful audits for her little girl's presentation. Lata here is viewed as the more youthful Ratna who prevails with the assistance of her mom.

Afterward, in the play, Jairaj faults his significant other for their child's demise as she needed to find success and she had left him home alongside a babysitter. The caretaker had given

him a dozing portion so he would quit crying and that she could likewise rest calmly yet sadly, she gave it a lot in amount which eventually prompted his demise. Jairaj faults her for his fruitless profession.

Dattani involves the procedure of Traditional Dance as a medium to depict the contention of orientation issues in the play. Thus, his plays are important and will be significant in any event, long into the future. Amritlal could never acknowledge his child turning into an artist, Ratna misinformed him, Jairaj was accused to be not taking care of business enough to procure and uphold his loved ones. Everything prompted the conditions that show how orientation generalization functions in the Indian culture.

In play *Where There is a Will*, one can see there are many characters. Such an Hasmukh Mehta, his significant other Sonal Mehta, his child Ajit and his daughter-in-law Priti, Kiran Jaweri courtesan of Hasmukh Mehta. It is a Gujrati play. The story began with the demise of Hasmukh Mehta and he shows up as a phantom. The relatives are occupied with their own life. In the play '*Where There is a Will*' primarily move in the social issue.

As the play opens Ajit is on the telephone conversing with a companion about his disappointment as his dad doesn't give him Rs.5 Lakhs to modernize the production line. Here, we can see the connection among father and child. Hasmukh Mehta continues to remark on Ajit's reckless and nut case plans. And furthermore, one can track down the showdown among Hasmukh and his child Ajit. The more he guarantees his entitlement to demonstrate his value, Ajit is insulted by his dad as a slacker saying, "I'm doing whatever it takes not to embarrass you. I'm attempting to place some sense into you. Attempting to occupy void space"

Ajit uncovered his dad's childish thought processes because of wickedness in youth. Hasmukh continues irritating Ajit, calling him a major zero and confirms that he could at any

point remain so. The contention and counter contention between the due end up with the dad resting and Ajit for disregarding him.

Be that as it may, in the play *Where There is a Will* Mahesh Dattani likewise center around the females who are generally occupied for work and planning for supper. Sonal and Priti are generally occupied with the making supper. Sonal likewise makes orange seasoned halva for Ajit which bothers her significant other who is a diabetic. From the communication of the characters, it is obvious that they have no profound familial relationship.

In the play *Where There is a Will* Dattani likewise center the cleverly scene. Sonal and Priti conversing with one another around then Sonal unexpectedly remark on the importance of 'Hasmukh' which signifies 'a grinning face'. In any case, here we can see her significant other never grinned, faulting her and her child for every one of his concerns.

In the second piece of the play, the scene movements to Hasmukh's demise. Here Dattani applying enchantment authenticity, Dattani has deftly acquired the scene to lay out the hero's man centric authority even in the afterlife.

At the closures with the appearance of Kiran, Hasmukh's fancy woman, who has come to remain in the house being named the executrix of the will. Since they all are battling with will, Kiran lays out her essentialness as she has come to help them according to Hasmukh's will. By attempting to lay out preeminent command over his family through his cash and will, Hasmukh was just executing the disgusting custom acquired from his dad. Some place the authority needed to stop. Ajit rebels against male controlled society and lays out his last triumph.

Toward the end, they all hold hands being survivors of a similar male mastery and savage man-controlled society. Dattani has effectively investigated like Ibsen a portion of the issue looked by male centric social orders that need cleansing of the phantoms of the past.

Dattani through light on the Gujrati family and in contemporary life one can see the current issue in the 21st century. However, written in the setting of a Gujrati family, *Where There is a Will* is relevant to join-family framework predominant in a few pieces of India. Likewise, we can say toward the finish of the play Kiran's evaluation of outrageous man centric control in Hasmukh as a substitute for his deficiency as a man, at last purposes the contention among the characters and unite them to get benefit from the Hasmukh Will.

CHAPTER II

GENDER BASED

CHAPTER II

GENDER BASED

Working with various discords, instead of solidarity, Dattani's *Dance Like a Man* becomes the challenging area of conflicting powers inside oneself, family, and different illuminating requests in the public arena. A task of de-generalizing orientation jobs, *Dance Like a Man* centers around the harmful impact man-controlled society apply on the one who will in general keep outside the orientation framework. The play unequivocally manages the issue of moving like a man in regularizing examples and welcomes upsetting "assumptions". It was the signification, even the meaning of the four individual words; rather, what was upsetting, was the quiet "fifth" signifier making a quickly moving "signifying". The uncommon living together the peripheral words, 'DANCE' and 'MAN', make a consistent disturbance, restlessly attempting to track down an unequivocal request/"signifying" at the focal point of connotation, however continually upsetting any fixity and making space for challenging thoughts.

There is something exceptionally 'Indian' about the play. He cherishes the conventional craftsmanship structure, particularly Bharatanatyam which is vital to this play. It is a play about a youthful man who wants to be an artist, experiencing childhood in a world with a notion that dance is for ladies. This is a play about performs; and utilizes theater to exhibit how in a universe of fraud, acting turns into a lifestyle.

Dance Like a Man play have made strong developments and examinations, managed subjects connected with the present social situation, with an end goal to achieve social change, and sociocultural transformation to make individuals mindful of the need to change the current

social design. The plays manage the yearnings of the denied mid minimized segments of society, what's more, portray the intense struggles of contemporary city life.

Dattani shows his order of language in the play. The dramatist utilizes the unique Hindi words like - kurta, mithai, guruji, jalebi, Gulmohar, Navratri Utsav, and so forth as the utilization of English counterparts can't completely draw out the ideas. His discourse is fresh furthermore, pointed. Whenever Dattani is condemning of contemporary society, he creates a strong discourse of a genuine sort. Simultaneously, he can be clever as the event requests. It is clear through the discourse among Amritlal and Jairaj as well as Jairaj and Ratna.

According to Dattani, "the attention is on the family as the agent unit of the defilement of culture and profound quality, in whose middle the legislative issues of desire take on the most savage structures". Jairaj's decision to dance, a ladylike pursuit all the more explicitly the helot's pursuit upsets the hetero-male-centric ordinariness. The play presents the intricacies furthermore, complexities given by its protagonist's refusal to adjust to the way of life explicit kind of 'man', and the importantly rising intricacies in an advanced post-independence metropolitan India. In these plays, family is depicted as a result of the worth framework the general public represents and the social talk of which it is a section. The play records how the wide social uneasiness with the socially troublesome picture of a male artist imperils the affections and feeling of having a place in a family, and how the individuals, in their 'need to have a place', cross-examine the deviation.

Translations of sexual contrasts are intricate. Practically all perceptions pronounce ladies' bodies to be intrinsically substandard. *Dance Like a Man* feature that generally a lady experiences in the public eye, as well as even men, are delivered defenseless as an outcome of social molding. Men's voices here and there stay unheard because they are considered oppressors and no one notices their persecution by society. A man-centric culture smothers a

lady with a partner just the 'ladylike' characteristics with her, as her partner, a man is supposed to adjust to normalized 'manly' characteristics.

In India, writing of all dialects has written down the disgraceful situation of ladies. Furthermore, subsequently have raised voices against this uncaring treatment of ladies. Indian writing in English is no special case for this. One thing that ought to be noted here is that women's liberation has blended reactions from everywhere in the world.

Women's liberation has brought forth two inverse perspectives specifically favorable tofeministic and against feministic mentality. The authors who have a positive demeanor toward women's liberation are called favorable to women's activists and the individuals who go against this disposition are designated as hostile to feministic. Mahesh Dattani has a place with the previous class for example the author with a favorable feministic disposition.

In *Dance Like a Man*, Amritlal trusts in the mental prowess of his girl in regulation. He enables Ratna to keep his child from moving and permit him to grow up. Ratna is narrow-minded and strong in tuning her significant other. Accordingly, Jairaj lost his personality. Ratna and Kiran end up repeating the perspectives on prevailing male gatherings.

Ratna bombs in assisting him with developing like a grown-up. Ratna is smart, childish, and fearless. To satisfy her longings she makes Jairaj move as indicated by her music."A lady in a man's world might be thought of as being moderate. Be that as it may, a man in a woman's world is regrettable" (Dattani, 427).

This large number of agonizing inconsistencies forces him to make apact with Ratna. He imagines that he could change his child through his little girl in-regulation. Dance is an energy to her, as well. Presently he will agree to her vocation in dance provided that she assists him with hauling Jairaj out of his fixation and makes him amanly man. The two can

partake in the entirety of his wealth. Consequently, the social inclination against the craft of dance among men is featured in the play:

Orientation character is one more topic that rules the plays of Mahesh Dattani.

Lady Like a Man questions the legitimacy of a man violating his locale by taking to moving, Bharatnatyam. He is excluded for he decides to move like a lady however, his 20 moving is an approach to communicating his character.

(Rozario, 108)

Truth be told, Jairaj with his fixation on dance is good to go to destroy these generalizations. Giving a wind to these categorizations related to gender jobs that consider ladies at the less than desirable finish of the suppressive power designs of patriarch society, the play excuses this discernment and inspects the oppression nature that even men might be subject to inside such designs. A man isn't once allowed to forfeit his life for workmanship like Bharatnatyam at his will. Dattani takes his subject from inside the muddled elements of the modern metropolitan family for this play.

The play is a disclosure of Jairaj's goals in life and the push of weight of his life which has come near the idea of continuous flow. The utilization of this kind of emotional method isn't simply creative yet additionally proper to mirror the broken picture of life that is a real outer sign of the significant self of a character on the stage. Lillete Dubery recalled the impressions of the play in the articulation: It is the perfectly created how it moves forward in time, its utilization of one entertainer to assume more than one part that tests the actor's capacity, brands it as remarkable as the solid portrayal and the seamless developments in time. The social shows of orientation double ruining the finesse of both for male and female, is the point of convergence of this play. The play's sensational construction moves around the idea that one should battle against social shows.

The actual title is to be noted because the play is about the orientation fight. It isn't the question of being moderate that is the issue of being wiped out. Amritlal realizes that his child was not a splendid artist like Ratna. He begged help from her to make Jairaj a grown-up, an adult man. *Dance Like a Man* manages many issues like marriage and vocation, the struggle between innovation and custom, male-centric power, the trouble of devadasi, orientation jobs, and so on.

Up until this point Mahesh Dattani's impression of ladies is concerned, it is very unusual. He has no particular plan of woman's rights to pass on to watchers. He attempts to find various features of a ladylike mind. His works portray the consistent fight between the ladylike mind and man-centric request.

They found that a male/female individual has greater inventiveness in the fields of craftsmanship, theater, and writing than a non-male/female individual because being an androgyne in a sex-generalized society permits the individual to be sufficiently adaptable to acknowledge obvious alternate extremes, adequately brave to stay uninterested in accepted practices, furthermore, adequately autonomous to communicate their imagination. Its capacities as a psychological and the actual incorporated medium that shows incredible protection from socio-political and socio-social belief systems of imbalance.

Theoreticians likewise accept that more the opportunities individuals are offered to reprieve from generalized orientation guidelines and put themselves out there genuinely and truly, the higher their imagination remainder would be. As an account of male/female personalities, it prompts a huge number of advantageous qualities, for example, expanded self-esteem, conduct adaptability, flexibility, decisiveness, and mental capacity (Bem, 1974).

All of these special characteristics are consolidated in a solitary body, making it an uncommon blend. The subject of why people are uncomfortable partnering themselves

with a male/female individualises here. One more concern connected with therefore a gender-ambiguous individual is so reluctant to recognize their male/female nature. The play *Dance Like a Man* by Mahesh Dattani examines the existing socio-social circle that rehearses governmental issues of prohibition of male/female personalities under the facade of a calmly living together hetero Indian family. It presents the survivor of man-centric shows as a man, as opposed to a lady, against the background of a male-centric attitude.

The man-centric society's thorough customary thoughts and flinching ways of thinking, which see hermaphroditic people as unnatural and womanly, give the response to the previously mentioned questions. Thus, a mix of these highlights wouldn't be attractive. It will bring down the worth of one or the other sex since, from one perspective, a profound man is anything but an optimal model of manliness, and then again, a resilient lady will eclipse her domain. Accordingly, hermaphroditism can sabotage a man-centric society's whole cosmology and structure. Control and authority might lose their importance. Accordingly, a male-controlled society has created conduct standards and examples that expect men to carelessly embrace its stifling practices to protect its authority and prohibit any chance of disruption. They are effectively powerless against cultural anathematization if they will not acknowledge it. This is because, in our general public, sex, sexual direction, and orientation are viewed as imperative credits that are interlaced. The overall agreement is that sex number three is the most physically dynamic. It just exists in a paired structure, for example, male versus female. Orientation is the social development of sex, and it is normal furthermore, central. Head servant, then again, invalidates it (1990). She asserts that orientation isn't the main social build; natural and actual differences between the genders are likewise gotten from the equivalent gendered idea.

An individual's sexual personality is additionally a social development. We should check a model out. At the point when a kid is brought into the world with a penis, society marks him

as a kid who will grow up to take care of business and satisfy each of the tasks that a man is expected to do, for example, bringing in cash and safeguarding his loved ones. Similarly, assuming a kid is brought into the world with avaginal birth deformity. Do logical terms like "genitalia," "chromosomes," and chemicals (Butler, 1990, p. 9) generally, adjust in a similar spellbound style as sex and orientation? Not. Indeed, even scholars, who for the most part see the body as discursive and regular, try not to adopt such a fundamental strategy. They, as well, trust the double perspective on human sexuality is distorted. Roughly a modest number of babies are brought into the world with qualities that are troublesome to classify as male or female (Arboleda et al., 2014). Some of the time kids are brought into the world with intersex attributes. To keep up with and protect the designs of sex, they are deliberately positioned under the blade for sex amendment systems and afterward raised as male or female relying upon their sex post-operatively. Statement of Unconventional Androgyny and Subversion of Traditional Masculinity Mahesh Dattani looks at the person as well as the social attitude of individuals who are obliged by male-centric shows in *Dance Like a Man*. The trouble looked by an Indian person named Jairaj, who picked dance as employment and selected to break orientation guidelines, embodies the diligence of social fraud. The writer takes apart the central jobs of manliness amazingly through him.

The play splendidly portrays the shame associated with the word delicate. Jairaj's dad, Amritlal Parekh, is a highly-educated Hindu opportunity fighter as well as a cunning Gujju (Gujarati) financial specialist. Guys, as he would like to think, were expected to perform things that exhibited their actual strength, and moving as a calling for men was unfathomable to him. Jairaj's profession was for the most part administered by the assimilated feeling of set orientation standards, henceforth he protested moving. This performing workmanship, as he would like to think, doesn't squeeze into the best job for his orientation. It was okay for Amritlal as long as the presentation was restricted to his little girl's in-regulation, yet the

moment he sees his adored child playing out the old dance structure, he blows a gasket. "I would have made a cricket pitch for you on our grass if you were keen on cricket," Amritlal says, showing his male-centric perspective. [...] My child appreciates moving." (Dattani, 414.) "[...] Where will your moving take you?" "What makes you think you need to move?" (Dattani, p. 415, 2000). Although he depicts himself as a political dissident who struggled for India's freedom from the British oppression, he turns into the dictator-gatecrasher who powers Jairaj to leave his opportunity and individual space of dance for something else in keeping with his male person. Here, Dattani comes down on the "standard social system," which chokes a craftsman's enthusiasm in its romanticized structure. Jairaj, the child, faces, and endeavors to state his uniqueness against this rocklike person Amritlal, who had the endorsement of a whole biased society with its all-around acknowledged macho generalizations. He doesn't completely accept that chasing after dance as a business is in any capacity feminine. Dance, in his assessment, has nothing to do with orientation. It's an unadulterated creative desire arising in a craftsman's creative mind. Jairaj's specialty communicates areas of strength for the metaphysics of orientation-based parallel division. He utilizes moving to understand his personality, since the recognizable proof isn't simply a socio-political marker of one's situation in a given group environment, yet additionally a strong method for guaranteeing affirmation inside that society. In the play, the house is changed into an open space where clandestine and clear fights among conventionalists, innovators, and conservatives, oppressors and opposition occur simultaneously. Jairaj is altogether segregated as the 'other' and the second sex' (Beauvoir, 2010). Amritlal feels horrendous when he discovers that his child is learning Kuchipudi and depicts him as "sick" (Dattani, 427). Orientation takes on another importance here, as "an exhibition that shapes the personality that it gives off an impression of being" (Hawthorne, 155). It is guessed that on the off chance that ordinary guidelines are not

perceived, the manly authority would be annihilated. Foster an example of a limitation in your manliness. She could be doing such by impacting you. Perhaps it's not you, but rather it's her" (Dattani,415). Accordingly, he can kill two birds with a solitary stone. Here, male-centric standards are the social components by which character is shaped "by which sexed nature' or 'a characteristic sex' is delivered and laid out as 'discursive,' precursor to culture" (Butler, 11). His terrible plans wreck and ruin both Jairaj's own and proficient lives. Jairaj was consigned to the situation with a periphery kid all through his life. At the point when he was in front of an audience, he was a sad remnant of Ratna's center presentation. He stayed a foundation artist "in a normal kurta-pajama," while she involved the middle point "wearing a superb Bharatanatyam clothing" (Dattani,440). "We coming up short on effortlessness," says the more seasoned Jairaj. We coming up short on capacity to consider some fresh possibilities. We missed the mark on sorcery to move like God" (Dattani, 448), he implied that he came up short on blazing soul and a stoic expression to stay away from the wanton conventional powers to seek after his heart's desires and needs. His assertion moreover features the negative parts of social originations that have mutilated the genuine inspiration for the foundation of the establishment known as family. The objective of this establishment was to naturally keep individuals connected to one system. It was a very much planned that kept people snared to each other. Notwithstanding, self-centered frontier desires to control and order the exposed possess invaded individuals' brainpower.

Dance Like a Man is loaded with androcentric perspectives and approaches towards the respected class. These authoritative opinions and restrictions made not on the value of a people but rather have been permeated slowly throughout the long term. One such friendly development is the imprisonment of the workmanship for dance just to ladies' class of Devadas (sanctuary artist) and that excessively not to the ladies of a purported decent family. Phallogocentric caretakers' pessimism is extreme and excruciating when they notice that a man

has turned to move. Subsequently, Jairaj who is enthusiastic about making a profession in moving succumbs to the cultural standards so firmly loved by his dad Amritlal for the sake of family name and popularity. Amritlal prides in maintaining the norms furthermore, set convictions of the standard society. He discourages Jairaj's singular opportunity to pick the moving as a vocation for his taking care of business. One more sort of orientation bias is obvious in Viswas' evil way of behaving with Lata by prudence of his masculinity. He investigates every possibility to make fun of prospective spouse Lata. His male-centric methodology furthermore, mentality toward Lata and would-be parents in law for example Jairaj and Ratna can be detected in his insulting talk with Lata when the play opens.

In addition, his consideration looking for pomposity for the sake of manliness; reference to share; alluding to his moderate mother far-fetched to permit Lata to tan her legs and wear a skirt; interest in having endowment from the guardians of a lady of the hour; bragging about his abundance and his over worry with material things are to be seen under the range of what is termed as male-centric mentality. Anita Myles appropriately comments: *Dance Like a Man* looks at the job of authority and bias socially and socially. The dramatist urges us to look into our individual and group awareness.

The fundamental incongruity and sentiment of the human issue has been effectively brought out by Dattani. Genuinely the play reflects the bizarre pictures of all that is cursorily acknowledged as expected throughout everyday life. (135) Viswas who is an eventual child-in-law of Jairaj and Ratna invests wholeheartedly in the generalization of manliness right from the launch of the play. Amritlal regardless of being a father of Jairaj has solid complaints about his moving as moving effeminate man. A piece of knowledge in the title *Dance like a Man* itself indicates the underpinnings of orientation inclination. It is a representation of a 'tyrant request' to be followed by the peripheral, powerless or defenseless. It is a basic sentence that is run-of-the-mill Amritlal-like individuals who have saved the specialty. Consequently,

this sentence inheres in its thought of 'request', 'power', and 'order', forced by the standard class of cliché thinking, to which the ladies of good families and the men too are expected to unquestioningly follow. So, whether the ladies or men of good families, the title *Dance Like a Man* indicates going about according to the principles set by the standard society.

It implies they need to do just those works and errands which are not restricted are marks of disgrace from manliness-driven individuals. Amritlal's child Jairaj uncovers forward his misery of unfulfilled craving of turning into an artist of public and global notoriety because of the spoiled mindset of his dad Amritlal advocates, "The art of a whore to flaunt her products what business did a man have learning such a specialty? Of what use might it at any point be to him? No utilization. So, no man would need to learn such a specialty. Henceforth anybody who learns such art could not take care of business. How is it that I could contend against such rationale?" (Dattani.25) The helplessness of Jairaj to understand his fantasy constrains him to prostrate to the despotic and tyrant demeanor of his dad. Clearly in the name of orientation bias both the young ladies and young men are similarly kept on the outskirts. They are denied space and remain non-element. Moving was saved and restricted to the devadasis (sanctuary artists). It was viewed as a disreputable blotch on the substance of a general public run by, in the expressions of Jairaj "a modest bunch of obstinate, biased people with extravagant pompous goals." (Dattani.38) It is these individuals who pass the pronouncement furthermore, choose codes of living in the general public. Amritlal addresses this class. He is against such sanctuaries of moving of artists and proves this scorn to Jairaj during the contention over moving taken up by him as a vocation. "As you probably are aware, it is to kill certain undesirable and monstrous rehearses which are a disgrace to our general public." (Dattani.38) Now a man who is condemning of workmanship sees his child, through the crystal of orientation develop, falling back on hitting the dance floor with high enthusiasm. He gets bothered by getting his child womanly.

This would unquestionably mean extraordinary harm to his family's honor, name, and acclaim. All things considered; he was one of the vanguards attempting to destroy the act of moving. Thus, no inquiry emerges for his child fostering any sort of preference for or taking on moving. This sort of distracted conviction isolating the expressions (makes) on the lines of orientation and shackling the individual opportunity of picking a profession is unquestionably unpleasant. Hence the play not just depicts a struggle between moderate pondering orientation jobs and the new advancement making its account of not separating one craftsmanship from one more based on orientation. The vehement attestation of Mahesh Dattani in one of his meetings is appropriate here, "Specifically, I discuss the regions where the individual feels depleted. My plays are about individuals who are endeavoring to grow this space. They live on the edges of the society and are not searching for acknowledgment, in any case, are battling to get as much periphery space for themselves as they can". (Prasad 156) Thus, his guidance to Jairaj is *Dance Like a Man* importance act or carry on like a man and follow the creeds and decency set and chosen by such a male situated society. As indicated by Amritlal, 'aman's satisfaction lies' in 'taking care of business.' (Dattani. 49) Amritlal is so much fixated on the development of allocated orientation jobs, that in case of resistance by his child Jairaj, he removes him along with his better half.

Amritlal's brain, swarmed with bias against ladies is additionally recognizable in his protest over Ratna's going to Chennai Amma 'to get familiar with the craftsmanship of abhinaya from her.' Chennai Amma, 75 years, an elderly person is without a doubt the last one standing of the passing on dance structure 'abhinaya'. But Amritlal forestalls her going to Chennai Amma by referring to reasons that passers-by check her out. At the point when Ratna invalidates and proposes to welcome Chennai Amma to her home to gain proficiency with the workmanship, Amritlal's real nature of phallogentric demeanor surfaces and he

comprehensively deny authorization to Ratna. Dipti Agrawal's perception in this respect is extremely able to refer to:

“There is much in the play to be perused between the lines - the one who takes up a 'female' occupation is a weakling, a lady who thinks often about her profession is a terrible spouse, a thoughtless mother, a manipulative individual, and so on. This ideal mix of the topic and feelings of the characters with the stage settings portray Dattani's greatness in the specialty of conveying through theater.” (300) Another significant person who displays a male-driven mentality in the play is Viswas. Viswas visits Lata's home to request her hand in marriage. He feels disturbed and irritated at not viewing her would-be parents-in-law to invite him. Viswas, presently driven by distracted manly reasonableness on the double takes the cliché corresponds to Lata about his folks by insulting, "They likewise say at home when they have welcomed their future child in-regulation to their home." (Dattani, 3) Not just this, inebriated with the prevalence of the man-centric framework running through his body and brain.

He carves out an opportunity to start the subject of the endowment. For endowment chooses the social remaining of a male and bears declaration to the smooth working of the male-centric framework. This social development permeated into his mind is noticeable in his support it will most likely examine wedding subtleties. This build of endowment which on the double position's ladies at a lower level in a progressive and male-centric framework has been acknowledged as gospel-like truth. Lata's dad Jairaj isn't a special case for this social evil. Whenever Viswas likes the wrap of Amritlal and communicates his longing to get it as a present, Jairaj nonchalantly talks about the most recognizable subject of endowment, "It's just a wrap. Most young men would request a Maruti van." (Dattani, 3) Ironically unwary of Viswas materialistic bowed of the psyche of his eventual child in-law. That lady is as yet not liberated in going with her vocation decisions especially later marriage. Viswas is more inspired by the place of Lata at the core of the city. On knowing that her dad is hesitant to sell

the house, he finds one more way out. He believes that whenever he is hitched to Lata then he is qualified for all that is possessed by Jairaj and Ratna. It is astonishing to foresee that under the range of orientation inclination, a lady is antagonistic to another lady.

Viswas projects the regular picture of his ruling mother who will end up being a very moderate mother by marriage for Lata by devastating and smothering her singular opportunity of wearing garments. Viswas gives approaching risk to Lata, "She has eyes like a bird of prey, my mom! She'll try and check to perceive how far up your legs are tanned so she'll be aware regardless of whether you wear smaller than normal skirts!" (12) That man, stripped of ethical quality under the infectious effect of his feeling of manliness, is further uncovered regarding Ratna's uncle. In the wake of having been removed from the house by Amritlal, Jairaj with his significant other takes asylum under the cover given by Lata's uncle. In any case, a lady has forever been seen as aware to utilize. Uncle goes as far as the meanest level by making progress toward Ratna to delight his creature's intuition. The lascivious and vulgar nature of Uncle is uncovered during the spousal contentions between Jairaj and Ratna over getting back to Amritlal's home. Jairaj reminds Ratna of the justification behind their return, "While your uncle requested that you hit the sack with him? Could I have been a man then? Giving my significant other to her own uncle since he was offering us food and cover." (31) This sort of individual inebriated with manly incomparability resemble wild creatures prepared to jump on their prey no matter what their relationship. Such abhorrent and intolerable instances of brutalities are so common in our general public to be experienced consistently, breaking and shaming the most sacrosanct and the nearest relationship.

Orientation segregation is a type of separation which is significant in each culture and particularly in the Indian culture. Sex is an 'organic develop' while orientation is a 'social build' which intends that the separation between man and lady based on orientation is the

consequence of the social doctrines set in from the old times. The voice of segregation and dissent against the freedoms of a lady has areas of strength for becoming right. Now however sadly the man has been ignored in this angle furthermore, is generally considered as a resident of an honor class'. In India, it is expected that main ladies have been stifled of their cravings, overwhelmed by the wills of others, and are the survivors of the orientation segregation however it isn't accurate as men additionally need to confront the separation. Men like ladies are in like manner the setback from society as he is by and large chosen according to the point of view of masculinity and chivalric qualities.

An Indian man has been settled on the limits of masculinity down the ages. His desires and wishes are disregarded if it 'sounds cultured' or are associated with the fields where women have a key position. The man must have solid areas for being, administering, and hard in nature while the woman should be submissive, obedient, tranquil, and pleasing. It is a social construction arranged by the genuine people of the overall population in addition, it has transformed into the reason for direction partition which acts like a dull cover on the psyche of Indian people. The subject of the play is weaved with relationships, calling, standing, and society. The plot twirls around Jairaj and Ratna and their daughter Lata and her life accomplice Viswas. An equivalent string follows the past of Jairaj, Ratna, and Amritlal Parekh; Jairaj's father through the technique of flashback. Jairaj and Ratna are introduced to the displeasure of Amritlal Parekh who forgets to fathom their responsibility toward dance and especially of Jairaj. The maker has shown the normal Indian viewpoint towards dance through Amritlal Parekh who ponders that dance is made solely for females. He epitomizes that a man with a feeling of satisfaction will not at any point seek after a dance as a calling, particularly a man.

Dattani has depicted the detachment considering the direction looked by the Indian man and woman and its results. In *Dance Like a Man* Dattani has highlighted that man-

controlled society is a resolute part of Indian society. Folks hold fundamental power and win in the space of the family. They will by and large hold the reins of control over women, kids, and various people in the family. Direction partition has been accessible in the public field starting from the start of progress and till today humanity is in its shackles. Dattani has projected an Indian family in which Amritlal Parekh had undeniable authority over Jairaj and Ratna. Parekh is at the highest point of the family, he 'anticipated' himself to be responsible for taking the critical decision in their life. He is a political dissenter and reformist yet 'Moderate and hypercritical'. He was helping India with getting free from the white men; amusingly he joined and controlled his own kid's cravings. He lessens the chance of his kid who expected to transform into a Bharatnatyam craftsman "Do you know where a man's delight lies? In being a Man..." (425). Amritlal powers his craving on Jairaj and needs him not to pursue dance as his occupation since it is everything except a 'male organized' calling.

In Indian culture, man ought to be the bread specialist and he should be in such a calling with which 'certainty' is connected. All things considered; man didn't have an open door to seek after imaginative articulation like Bharatnatyam. Jairaj's father compares the specialty of hitting the dance floor with prostitution. Dattani has portrayed that Amritlal Parekh had a humiliating outlook on Jairaj considering the way that being a 'certifiable man' he was drawn in with the calling of the lower level. He considered Jairaj a justification for the shame for the family as he came to a conclusion about Jairaj with the point of convergence of masculinity where he didn't fit.

Dattani presents a comic component yet bias and predispositions are available on a deeper level. At the point when Amritlal Parekh's partners hit the dance floor with effeminacy, it brings out humor yet underlined with parody. He questions "master Ji" who trains dance to be womanly which sickens Jairaj - "I have never seen an ordinary man with long hair. I have likewise seen how he strolls." (417). Amritlal addresses that multitude of men who accept that

a man with long hair isn't a man in an obvious sense. He nearly blew his top when Ratna told him of Jairaj's craving of developing his hair long to 'Improve his abhinaya'.

Dattani has depicted the story of hardship of both man and woman as the hatchet of Amritlal's authority isn't just restricted to Jairaj yet falls on Ratna as well. Poor people woman is 'educated' and 'requested' by her father by marriage that she should quit visiting matured "Devadas" for learning dance as visiting an 'old wilted' whore would carry bad reputation to his loved ones. He harshly arranges her maxim "You will not. There's nothing more to it. I want not give you any justification for it." (). Dattani has featured the incongruity that on one hand Amritlal Parekh likens hit the dance floor with prostitution and avoids Jairaj from moving and then again, he permits Ratna to move. This insinuates that people are not given equivalent status in Indian culture. In India, the expertise in dance is considered the second rate and consequently, it is accepted to be appropriate for ladies. Amritlal cunningly makes Ratna feel that Jairaj can never be all around as great as she is at moving and guarantees elegance and excellence to be womanly characteristics. He speaks, "A lady in the man's reality might be considered as being moderate. In any case, a man in a lady's reality is unfortunate, yet being moderate is...sick". (427)

The orientation struggle has additionally been featured through the sprouting relationship of Lata and Viswas. Lata needed to look for Viswas' consent regardless of whether he will permit dance after marriage. According to her, "Viswas, when we are hitched you will allow me to come here to rehearse, would you"? (389). According to Multani, Dattani has featured this in this play as Viswas shares with Lata "Tolerating a little girl in regulation who doesn't make tea is asking a lot of him" (391). Dattani towards the end projects exceptionally throbbing reality; the outcomes and repercussions of the vain orientation struggle which immersed Jairaj. Poor Jairaj has been lessened to void, pitiful 'fainthearted kid' as his stubborn father made him comprehend that he is futile and lowlife,

not regardless, for dance. He faults Ratna for removing his poise, confidence, honor, and certainty. "You removed it little by little. You removed it when you made me dance my most fragile things. You removed it when you organized the easing up so I moved in your shadow". (443).

Consequently, the play *Dance Like a Man* brings to the front the hurting reality that both man and lady are defrauded by orientation separation. Indian culture passes judgment on a singular's ability, cravings furthermore, and accomplishment on the measuring stick of orientation. The man with the longing to move is viewed as sub-par and the lady has no privileges and says in the family. Dattani has shown that ladies, as well as men, the experience of orientation separation, as Jairaj was not permitted to seek after the profession voluntarily. Orientation separation is the social insidious which has overwhelmed the Indian culture and it tends to be annihilated just when the attitude of individuals will change and comprehend that orientation separation is a 'simple social build'. Rather than passing judgment on an individual on orientation premise, one should decide on his/her capacity and afterward, the general public will progress in the obvious sense. Every individual has been sent on the earth with a reason and merits equivalent regard. To end orientation separation, we want to regard everybody as a person and praise their presence on the planet.

The play opens and one can see that the actual setting is a mix of the old and the new. The room itself delivers the change from custom to advancement. The furniture in the room is just about forty years old though the compositions are present day. The phone which is a component of the cutting-edge age is additionally put on the front board. The play opens on the note that Vishwas and Lata are enamored and she has brought him to her home so they can converse with her folks about getting hitched. Vishwas has no binds with Bharatnatyam. He is the child of a rich 'Mithai Wala' who possesses a ton of business structures too. Further, Lata illuminates Vishwas that she is the sole successor to the property which is worth Ninety

Lakhs. Lata lets him know that her mom's home was only five miles away and both her folks rehearsed under a similar master before they were hitched even. Dattani attempts to depict that it would have been a seriously current situation for a lady a long time back to inhabit her better half's place before getting hitched. Lata feels a feeling of sentimentality when she shows Vishwas the sets of moving chimes Jairaj use to wear during his Bharatnatyam exhibitions. He is steady of his better half's calling as an artist and of the way that they probably won't have kids following getting hitched. As the play advances, we see that Lata discusses her granddad Amritlal Parekh. She says that she doesn't know much about him however he was a social reformer during the English time. Further, we see that Lata shows Vishwas a huge elaborate cabinet. There are a few books and a brocade wrap which was talented to Amrita by the 'Maharaja of Mysore'. The actual cloak is an image of 'harmful manliness'. The cloak is an objective exemplification of all that is called manly or a man's reality. At the point when Vishwas puts on that wrap and attempts to mirror Amritlal, he additionally derides Jairaj for taking up dance as a calling that is viewed as feminine. Likewise, Lata prevents Vishwas from opening the books or, in all likelihood the pages will disintegrate.

This in itself is emblematic of the way that the outside appearance or cloak can't conceal what is the inner turmoil in the house. Thus, assuming the outside strips off it will uncover Jairaj's secret effeminacy. Ratna and Jairaj get back home and Ratna is concerned because the 'mridangam' player Srinivas who was to go with Lata for her presentation has broken his arm. Lata continually bars her better half by continually saying 'my' rather than 'our own' which indicates a troubled wedded life. The gaps in their marriage are gradually uncovered by Dattani prompting the last and second demonstration of the play where we come to know the genuine explanation for the conjugal issues. Ratna continually faults Jairaj for not doing anything critical monetarily in his life. She says that as the situation played

outreturn following 48 hours since moving didn't give them enough funds to live all aloneimplies. Additionally, the orientation struggle comes to the front.Ratna faults Jairaj for nothaving the option to help his own family without his dad's assistance monetarily.

The fact that you are not a make there a thoughtman, if you don't 'acquire' enough and Ratna upholds her dad - in-regulations philosophy that Dance as a callinghas made Jairaj 'to a lesser extent a man'.Further when Jairaj and Vishwas have a discussion Jairaj lets him know that his dad considers dance as an'Art of a whore'. Amritlal accepted that there was no rationale for a man to learn such an art and it would beno utilization to a man. In the wake of going out Jairaj and Ratna went to Ratna's uncle's place.However, he attempted tophysically attack her and they had to take off and return to Amritlal's home. Subsequently, Amritlal wasnever in favor to let both Jairaj and Ratna dance. He accepted that it was simply Jairaj's extravagant to move yet itcan't be transformed into a calling. He accepted that his child ought to be keen on sports like cricket and notenjoy moving. Amritlal accepts that at a particular age you need to do what the general public anticipates from youabandoning your advantages. He felt that Ratna's ladylike presence was the explanation that Jairajwas keen on dance.Jairaj accepted that Amritlal was a scoundrel. He professed to be an ever-evolving social reformer however on theinside he was a keen patriarch driving his accepts on his youngsters. As the play advances and there is thesecond demonstration where Lata and Vishwas take up the job of more youthful Jairaj and Ratna and more seasoned Jairaj takes up thejob of Amritlal Parekh. The demonstration starts on the note of Amritlal attempting to control Ratna so that in a roundabout way hecan accomplish what he needs which is to prevent Jairaj from moving. We see that Ratna concedes that she wedded Jairaj, not because he was an artist yet he would let her dance after marriage.Ratna, at last, concurs with Amritlal and begins foretelling Jairaj from all their two-part harmony exhibitions. He, little by little turns into the shadow of Ratna

and she a fruitful artist. Ratna somewhat herself is a deceiver since her affection for Jairaj is simply restricted to her art of moving and not past that.

At the point when she becomes old, she gains the heaviness of her unfulfilled assumptions on Lata's shoulders and believes she should be the best regardless of what. Although Lata herself is a splendid artist Ratna needs to accomplish distinction through her girl's prosperity. Jairaj accepts that Lata has got achievement and popularity in her specific manner and not because of his spouse's contacts with individuals in the business. Ratna herself doesn't exactly concede, however, her bad faith is noticeable as she is prepared to push the envelope quite far for Lata's prosperity regardless of whether it is befriending Chandrakala whom she calls 'bitch'. As the play advances further, we come to know the genuine purpose for the troubled wedded existence of Jairaj and Ratna. Jairaj accepted that Ratna removed his confidence in him. He refers to a few reasons and occasions when she continually demotivated him. He says that she took all the funds for the dance shows, made him dance his most vulnerable arranged presentation, and organized the stage lights so that Jairaj generally moved in Ratna's shadow.

He says that she called him belittling names which he cannot even concede openly. Ratna then again accepted that Jairaj suffocated himself in liquor and never endeavored to make progress. She accepts that he got what he merited. It is currently uncovered that the couple had a kid named Shankar. Jairaj needs to satisfy his assumptions from his child by showing him 'Shiva tantara' which is called or known as 'the dance of man'. Anyway, he likewise believes that Ratna's energy somewhat pulled her back from becoming a loyal mother. We see that one day during Ratna's absence the 'ayah' who took care of Shankar gave him an excess of opium to make him nod off. Anyway, due to the excess, Shankar kicked the bucket in his rest. This itself turned into the debilitating ground for Jairaj and Ratna's relationship which would never be repaired. The ending of the play has maybe a dreamlike

consummation. The parental home of Amritlal is annihilated and Jairaj and Ratna move to an elegant level. The completion is representative because the house which caused such countless difficulties to the couple, at last, got wrecked. Jairaj himself trusted that regardless of how moderate an individual is alluding to his dad, one day demise thumps down even the best of people. Demise, at last, joins Jairaj and Ratna in Heaven. Presently they dance in wonderful harmony and concordance. The flawlessness to move like God and heavenly beauty can be accomplished solely after death and not in this human life. They at last later demise settle all their distinction and giggle at their previous oversights. The play closes on a note that we human creatures can never accomplish the flawlessness of the Almighty.

The play *Dance Like a Man* however is an issue that is confronted by men worldwide. The possibility that is placed into the mind of men all along makes them not just float away from what they need yet additionally drained of feelings and sentiments that 'a man' can't show. Ciboa in 'Chuckle of Medusa' plainly brings up that there is a requirement for discrete manly and female compositions because main individuals from the particular sexes can figure out the mind at various levels. Dattani utilizes oddity to draw out the possibility that man and lady exclusively can join incomplete sense solely after passing. Amritlal who is a patriarch and misanthrope accepts that a man sits around aimlessly with dance which he calls 'the art of a whore'. He is the person who can be called a firm devotee to the thought of harmful manliness and everything that generally 'a man' should be. Amritlal trusted that Dance for a man must be a leisure activity and can't be sought after as a profession. Dance is what a lady should do. He maintained that his child should be keen on cricket like most young men are. Subsequently, we see those little fellows from youth themselves instructed that side interests like cricket ought to be taken up by them and dance was viewed as a female innature and practice and learning such art would make little youngsters grow up as 'not so much masculine' but rather more delicate. Men in our general public should be the defender of their families and

youngsters and if they neglect to do so then they are not near anything that 'manliness' represents. Ratna herself backs that Jairaj was a 'cowardly kid' since he was unable to set up an adequate number of funds with the goal that they could live on them and not under the consideration of Amritlal. She laments that Amritlal's opinion on Jairaj was correct and he must be changed and turned into a man. In this way, she removes all of what Jairaj trusted in his specialty and his enthusiasm, and step by step he turns into a drunkard suffocating himself in the haziness of what are the repercussions of harmful manliness. Jairaj accepts that he would satisfy his fantasies through his child, Shankar. He trusts that when his child grows up, he wouldn't need to go through the very disgrace that he went through. Anyway, Shankar's demise additionally obliterates all that is left in the couple's marriage. They become far off from one another forever and lastly join in death. In this way, 'Poisonous manliness' annihilates connections as well as additionally dreams and lives. Men ought to be allowed to pick anything artistic expression they wish to rehearse and manliness is tied in with being caring and, dislike 'Amritlal' who accepted that men ought to be raised with a specific goal in mind and act with a specific goal in mind. The day we go against his way of thinking that ladies and men both are similarly moderate in one another's a reality we will want to accomplish total populism.

Dance Like a Man is a depiction of contention among the three ages and their autonomous battles. The characters present on screen the maudlin Ness of the human issue in an unobtrusive manner. The story frames the orientation segregation looked at by all kinds of people in Indian culture and its impacts on their lives. The play portrays the account of Jairaj and Ratna, a couple in a standing marriage who shares their energy for moving explicitly Bharatnatyam; their girl Lata, a Bharatnatyam artist also; and her old flame Vishal. All the while runs the narrative of the moving couple's past with Jairaj's dad Amritlal Parekh in the scene utilizing the flashback procedure.

Ratna is met with a proposal by Amritlal to assist him with making his child a 'man', all together guiding in following her enthusiasm. Ratna using this settlement purchases her opportunity to move to the detriment of Jairaj's craving to turn into a male Bharatnatyam artist. She feels free to annihilate Jairaj for individual increase by sabotaging his 'confidence' as a craftsman. She flourishes as a regarded entertainer while Jairaj is left to his misery. Albeit in the current arrangement, both Jairaj and Ratna appeared to be denied their cravings. While Jairaj saw to be in wording with his disappointment; Ratna attempts to force her fantasy on her girl. It uncovered that Ratna was of a propensity to poison child Shankar with opium to quiet him down and put him to bed so that she could go in front of an audience to perform with practically no concerns. Although she knew nothing about the way that the Ayah designated for the child was doing likewise

In the setting of the play the family home only turns into an actual presence since individuals residing in it are not generally appended to one another. Amritlal gives his arrangement of acceptance to Jairaj and Ratna who thus push their inclination to Lata. The misanthropic structure that Amritlal passes down to his child hurts Ratna and him. Lata anyway is an alternate individual. She is content with her vocation as an artist and to wed Vishwas who has no binds with Bharatnatyam. She happens to become a caring mother as well. We see that Dattani additionally attempts to investigate the key angle that Jairaj and Ratna have caused sufficient damage to each other over their marriage and presently Ratna attempts to push her unfulfilled desires on her girl Lata's shoulders. Toward the finish of the play the house which led to such countless issues is at long last wrecked emblematically indicating the way that old worth frameworks should be discarded to give the new current period a breeze of natural air.

Dattani has shown the reasonableness of an expert writer by inventively utilizing time boats to vacillate between the over a significant time and taking us through different

generations. He utilizes inventively the characters of Lata and Vishwas to play more established Jairaj and Ratna and more seasoned Jairaj taking up the job of Amritlal. Hence Dattani closes the play that regardless of how diligently we attempt the total flawlessness in any work of art can be accomplished as it were in the afterlife. People can never be wonderful like God. People can take a stab at flawlessness yet complete beauty and flawlessness must be accomplished after death. Like Ratna and Jairaj dance in complete harmony in Paradise.

The deep-rooted fight between custom and young disobedience is a brilliant study of human relationships and weaknesses shaped. The play centers around the conflict in the person like Amritlal Parekh who addresses the perspectives of the old age during the 1930s and 1940s. The more youthful age, addressed by Jairaj and Ratna, goes against such a demeanor. They challenge the elderly person who has no decent assessment of the work of art. Because of this tussle, Jairaj and Ratna take off from the house in rebellion.

Be that as it may, out of sheer powerlessness and desperation they return, 'Inside Forty-eight hours' because Ratna's uncle wanted to lay down with her in return for giving them cover in the house. This play is critical to South India, particularly Chennai, as the main heroes are two Bharatanatyam artists, past their present. Mahesh is investigating through this play to scratch angles an overall restraint to a man taking dance as a profession and that too a dance which is normally and generally performed by ladies, the connection among husband and wife who have contrary to all open impression of being a blissful and appropriate couple, grown separated and have caused sufficient damage to one another. What do the own thwarted ambitions and dissatisfactions mean for the little girl who is enamored with a man who doesn't have anything to do with the workmanship scene by any stretch of the imagination. Aspiration surpasses Ratna to show off her abilities as an artist, she 'destroys' Jairaj by subverting his confidence. Jairaj feels that he is used as an apparatus, as a phase prop, and as a Choreographer to Ratna's dance things, but seldom as a co-artist. He takes

drinking considering Ratna liable for his drunkenness since she has, under an arrangement with imperious dad, denied him of dancing.

The struggle underlies the dad-child relationship in the play has been brought out by Dattani's verbal creativity. That is the justification for why Jairaj hates his father however when Amritlal passes on, he annihilates all that was near his father. To start with, he obliterates the rose nursery Amritlal has so affectionately made and turns it into a training corridor for their dance. The topic of the play is society versus person. The effect of a male-centric expert in a joint family to the remainder of the family has been featured here. The outcome of such oppressiveness is very normal, as in the play in which the family as the microcosm of society sets out the unwritten guidelines.

Dattani's plays have a general allure. They could be organized anyplace on earth; they would draw the undivided focus of the group. Dattani molds his subject in such a way that it is both effective as well as engaging. His plays talk across linguistic and social boundaries. Dattani utilizes Indian mythology, ceremonies, customs, and contemporary issues, India is beset with yet he raises these subjects to a more elevated level, contacting the human chord that radiates love, bliss, sexual fulfillment, and the issue of identity. Though he lives in Karnataka, he expounds on the whole country of India, in regards to the entire world he lives in. It is in the wellness of stuff that one must make work for balance assessing the playwright's topical worries along with his investigation of, and trial and error with stage. The plays of Mahesh Dattani arose as a rush arrival in the area of Indian English dramatization in the final ten years of the 20th century. His plays manage contemporary issues. They are plays of today in some cases as real concerning cause contention, but at a similar time, they are plays which epitomize numerous from the exemplary concerns of world show.

Orientation is utilized for depicting the differentiation between the natural sex and socialized parts of womanliness and manliness. It is depicted as the accomplished status by the feminist hypothesis in youth. As a social development, orientation sets the way of behaving such as masculine and female. The job of orientation is extremely huge in the public arena. In particular, a reality in which ladies are commonly mistreated by men inside a social construction that establishes jobs for ladies, which are of the unequivocally lesser limit with regards to gathering and exercising inconsistent power. The framework which shows this power is typically referred to as "male-controlled society". In addition, guys are tending to be inclined toward the power component and insist to be a piece of male-driven action. Subsequently, the personality of a man portrays his work and sexuality. Going about a female's responsibilities is trying to his orientation job in a friendly construct. Hence, a man's approval of female action like dance could be censured by the general public.

Mahesh Dattani is a productive Indian dramatist and Sahitya Academic Award Winner writer. His *Dance Like a Man* has depicted the secret reality of conventional Indian male-centric culture that chooses the orientation job of a man. Dattani has brought up issues on man's sexuality and social position. The title of the play itself involves that man should do the work which suits the man and not seek after their vocation in whatever else makes them to a lesser degree a man. This two-act execution presents three ages and their desires, their struggle, and their penance. Dance is talked about as the focal subject of the discussion between father and child and the little girl in-law. *Dance Like a Man* manages a bunch of standards taking care of business in the customary Indian Society. It is a portrayal of the late and old history of India. Albeit, the story is worried about the dancer however the subjectivities of the play lie with close-to-home social dance. *Dance like a Man* presents the existence of a maturing artist, Jairaj. It additionally presents how he loses his profession, his

enthusiasm, his fantasies, and his motivations in life to cultural ideas of orientation. Jairaj what's more, his significant other Ratna is an artist.

They are in the safe house of Jairaj's dad Amritlal Parekh. It is referenced in the text that Ratna picked Jairaj as her life accomplice so her vocation would not be compromised. Be that as it may, Amritlal Parekh is a man of fixed thoughts. So, he can't tolerate his child needing to turn into an expert artist. Bharatanatyam is great as a leisure activity, however, to be taken up as a calling by a man is something unthinkable. Amritlal Parekh couldn't bear his child needing bombastic outfits, developing long hair, and rehearsing dance constantly. Indeed, even he could do without his girl in regulation going to meet a Devadasi to realize this work of art. He declined his child's reliance on him and causes him to comply with his directs. He just totally ruins his child's calling.

In this terrible endeavor, he utilizes Ratna additionally as his collaborator. He guarantees her that her profession would be safe if his child disappeared away from dance. To protect her interests, she also contrives with her father by marriage, and she upholds him to indulge her better half's fantasy.

Bharatanatyam is the 'art of whore to flaunt hardware'. Thus, a man has no business to learn such an art, and any individual who 'learned such an art wouldn't take care of businesses. However, Amritlal never thought the interest of his child in dance 'Would transform into a fixation'. As per Jairaj, Amritlal Parekh was 'as moderate and pretentious' as the white rulers. In the previous days, Bharatanatyam was protected by Devadasis and was related to sanctuaries and customs. It was performed by proficient artists in sanctuaries. These dancers were ignored by clerics and society. At long last, due to the monetary requirements, this went to prostitution. This further drove the general public to go against this dance structure. The craftsman's cultural condition constrains them to acknowledge

this livelihood. In any case, the singular space they need should not be denied by purported human progress. What's more, such gender discrimination concerning the dance structure is the type of discrimination in the realm of craftsmen. So, no man needs to learn this dance structure.

To learn such a dance, he stops being a man. Bharatnatyam and its training by the male considered the individual having the female trademark. Jairaj's energy of Bharatnatyam goes overlooked by his dad. His moving is a way of expressing his personality. He is a man who opposes social standards. However, his life is crushed by his dad and his significant other. "If the play questions customary male generalizations and point out that male personality is a development molded by social standards and assumptions, it does as such by including those same developments for the female characters" says Angelia Multani in her paper, 'On Mahesh Dattani's *Dance Like a Man*: The governmental issues of creation and Performance'. Jairaj and Ratna could have prevailed as artists yet they have flopped as individuals and as spouses and husbands. The play closes with Jairaj's affirmation that they were only humans and missed the mark on 'elegance', 'splendor', and sorcery to dance like God, "We dance impeccably. As one. Not missing a stage or beat. We talk and giggle at every one of the missteps we made in our past move. We were just human. We needed the effortlessness. We missing the mark on brightness. We missing the mark on sorcery to dance like God". (Dattani.)

In the end, it uncovers that to safeguard and continue any fine art, the craftsman ought to absent above human shortcomings. It is a condition of human cognizance that cover up the distinction of male and female into a condition of entirety'. In his self-acknowledgment, Jairaj views that as the heavenly pith of a person is neither male nor female. the issue of orientation separation isn't just socially and socially built yet additionally connected with anybody's social and self-personality. It is an area of strength for a human character and its

concealment will undoubtedly prompt terrible consequences the good examples of proficient achievements, habits, dresses and ethical quality are communicated in wording of gender inclination.

Beginning in Hindu sanctuaries of Tamil Nadu and close by areas, Bharatanatyam before long flourished in other South Indian sanctuaries. As indicated by certain sources the Devadasi culture tracing back to 300 BCE to 300 CE advanced under the protection of the royals that saw the sanctuary artists called Devadasis, who were devoted to serving the Lord as basis or workers, playing out the dance structure. In the end, the Devadasi culture turned into an essential piece of customs in South Indian sanctuaries. Albeit antiquated texts and figures demonstrate the presence of such culture and the presence of moving young ladies as additionally elite quarters for ladies in the sanctuary compound, there is no substantial proof either archeological or text-based that can show the Devadasis as whores or prostitutes as blamed by some pioneer Indologists. In the wake of examining confirmations, Devesh Soneji, a student of the history of execution expressions and a specialist on Bharatanatyam, inferred that concubine moving peculiarity initiated during the Nayaka time of Tamil Nadu at some point around the late sixteenth or seventeenth century.

The eighteenth-century saw rise of the rule of the East India Company observed by the setting up of British pilgrim guidelines in the nineteenth century. Such improvements saw a decline of different old-style dance structures which were exposed to disdainful tomfoolery and debilitation including Bharatanatyam that through the nineteenth century stayed restrictive to Hindu sanctuaries. In the long run, friendly and monetary circumstances related to Devadasi culture added with disdain and abominable demeanor from the Christian preachers and British authorities, who held the Devadasis of South India and nautch young ladies of North India as whores, and shamed such frameworks. Moreover, the Christian teachers sent off enemies of dance development in 1892 to stop such practice. The Madras

Presidency under the British frontier government prohibited the custom of moving into Hindu sanctuaries in 1910 and with this, the well-established custom of performing Bharatanatyam in Hindu sanctuaries likewise concluded.

Mahesh Dattani's plays are amazing for their specialized craftsmanship. Dattani guarantees that the authorization in front of an audience will be powerful when point-by-point stage bearings are given. He utilizes space skillfully, in some cases as keeping and in some cases as extending. He moves to start with one piece of the stage then onto the next starting with one level of the stage then onto the next, what's more, he illuminates various regions of the stage at various times. As he does this, his characters move in time. Age becomes youth, the 1990s become 1947, and, past, present, and future meet up. The complicated design of these plays matches their complex and upsetting topical quality. Dattani, all the time puts one person at a more significant level so that the play is seen from his audit point.

A critical person in the story 'Dance' has an alternate substitute every one of the person's lives. It is a mode for Jairaj to put himself out there, his feelings. Dance for him is additionally an agitator apparatus used to rebel against the desire of his dad to control him, to satisfy the guidelines he has set for him. Moving for Jairaj began as a side interest or rather an extravagant that he had according to Amritlal which he thought wouldn't make due for a really long time. In any case, Jairaj notwithstanding his dad's hesitance proceeds to practice Bharatanatyam and is further able to learn Kuchipudi, one more type of Indian old-style dance. Ratna, his spouse, loans him more mental fortitude to remain against his dad by supporting him being his dance accomplice. Jairaj gives an indication of agitator even following quite a while of Amritlal's passing when, regardless of his retreating hairline due to maturing, he actually doesn't trim his hair short as what his dad generally wanted. Amritlal who is known to be moderate and freed among the citizenry neglects to apply the dynamic outlook at home

as he can't acknowledge his child moving and needs him to act as indicated by the orientation job appointed to him by the general public. Both dad and child vary significantly in their concept of autonomy, progress and opportunity. This fluctuation in their perspective saw in an arrangement where Jairaj inquires as to whether he had never had any fixations, to which Amritlal answers saying "On the off chance that you mean my association in battling for your freedom.... indeed, it was my fixation." (Rooks, 2004). Jairaj then shouts, "You had yours. Presently permit me to have mine!" (Rooks, 2004)

'Dance' is an enthusiasm for Ratna, an undying one that impels her personality since the starting and all through the story affecting her activities. It is a gadget to acquire achievement and become renowned for her. There is a clue that she wedded Jairaj in any case since he too was keen on moving and accordingly would let her dance without bringing any hardship. Driven by the agreement she had with Amritlal, she doesn't actually hold back to crush the vocation of Jairaj as a traditional artist and thus annihilating his life too, only for self-benefit. Where Jairaj just relies on his instinct, Ratna here follows her psyche too, which is now and then overwhelming her heart. She sees dance to be a wellspring of bringing in cash as well as notoriety. She sees it to be a premise of laying out regard among the friends and the universe of dance. She consistently plays with her significant other's feelings and attempts to direct his life in the way the most appropriate for her. Indeed, she does not simply stop at controlling the existence of her significant other therefore passing on him to turn out to be only a soul-less zombie; she attempts to assume control over the existence of her girl Lata too, forming her into an impression of herself. Ratna believes Lata should turn into anything she wanted for her and proved unable to, to get anything that she might not get and attempts like the devil to lay out her as a Bharatnatyam artist without asking her once on the off chance that she needed all of this or not. Ratna never appears to stress a lot over what others need; her craving is generally the need. Some way or another in the extremely specific place of

Amritlal, years after his passing, the specialist of man-controlled society is by all accounts moved from being aman to a lady. Jairaj, notwithstanding taking care of business holds no power and neither attempts to; all things being equal, Ratna fits to some degree very well and is by all accounts running the tradition of Amritlal's man-controlled society in the family.

Liquor is utilized as an image of masculinity in the film. Jairaj consistently consumes liquor to console himself of his masculinity. There is a scene in the film when Jairaj meets his tobe child in-regulation Vishal, interestingly and takes him to a bar where just men appear to be visiting. Vishal doesn't expect an individual like Jairaj is visiting such a spot in light of the fact that an individual so energetic about a fine art polished off in liquor doesn't appear to be so normal. One more reason for Jairaj to assume Vishal to that position was to have a one man to another discussion with him while sharing liquor.

The wrap of Amritlal introduced to him by the Maharaja (King) of Mysore which currently has a spot in the cabinet of antique furniture in Jairaj and Ratna's family. It is the image of Amritlal's pride, and especially for Jairaj, it is a tormenting memory of his definitive dad. The bad memory of his dad's longings and controlled his opportunity of decision, utilizing his manipulative brain. Anyway, Jairaj is of blended mind, from one viewpoint, he would rather not part with his dad's house and the wrap, perhaps due to the pride connected to them which he needs to teach in himself, and then again, he needs to dispose of his last memory by offering the wrap to Vishal as a present after his marriage with his girl. Later in the wake of getting back from the bar with Vishal, Jairaj when let be savored his lounge room gets Amritlal's cloak folds it over also, mutters— "Your last memory. Before long I will be freed of you as well. Then, at that point, I won't see you wearing his wrap and strolling about this room." (Rooks, 2004). He continues to express this with his articulations transforming miserable and afterward he blurs into a memory of his past.

With all the imagery and topics running behind the scenes, the essential focal point of the drama stays to be the grandstand of generalizations connected to the character of the male orientation as its title, dance like a Man, self makes sense of. It is reminiscent of the imperative question of Jairaj, the male hero 'on the off chance that he can move like a man' or improve on the off chance that he can take care of business according to the general public acting as per the orientation job allotted to him since dance excluded from that job. However, for Jairaj dance is a mode of self-articulation and a method for finding and laying out his character, he is much of the time reprimanded and peered downward on for picking it as a vocation since ordinarily old-style dance viewed as a ladylike work of art and, surprisingly, the men who perform it are womanly. He looks for happiness in his energy, fulfillment in his specialty, and opportunity in his life, however he rather compensated with predisposition, persecution, control, and he left with his curbed wants. In this manner, what is by all accounts the general subject playing all through the film is of the individual versus the general public as likewise proposed by Deb (2013) about the topic of the storyline of the play of which the film is a transformation. The film has a subtle prompt demonstrating the need to lay out orientation balance in the public eye for a prosperous living. As per Marinova (2003) in a review directed for the United Nations, orientation correspondence would be established more profound in the public eye when men are permitted to investigate rather more choices with respect to their vocation and way of life. Then men wouldn't need to focus on demonstrating their masculinity by ruling different people while taking on a hyper-manly façade and in outcome, participating in perilous way of life exercises (Marinova, 2003). This would result to be gainful for all kinds of people.

CHAPTER III

TRADITIONAL SOCIAL LIFE

CHAPTER III

TRADITIONAL SOCIAL LIFE

Contemporary Indian English Drama is exploratory and imaginative, taking everything into account however it doesn't imply that it doesn't have anything to do with the custom. It is profoundly associated with it however it has established the underpinning of an unmistakable practice throughout the entire existence of world dramatization by re-emerging history, legend, fantasy, religion and fables with setting to contemporary social-policy driven issues. Fictions were there to manage the issues. They have acknowledged their obligations to uncover the foundation of the general public eliminating the surface covering and by giving amusement to show them their lifestyle. Subsequently, presentation of current dramatization has begun to contribute the writers in uncovering the consuming issues of the general public.

Current show is a piece of an overall scholarly revolt by artists and writers. Dismissing the counterfeit limitations on structure and content, these dramatists started to make another writing one that had energy and innovation and that was worried about the social, financial and moral issues of contemporary life. The requirement for such a topic was particularly perfect in the field of show. Present day writers trusted that by making genuine understandings of life, they could re-establish a place of significance in the theater and in writing. This sort of dramatization won acknowledgment gradually, hesitantly, and notwithstanding open aggression from pundits, moralists and public as in such show human issues were being adjusted, weighted and judged. Playwright went to researchers, thinkers, financial experts and social pundits for thoughts that would give essentialness and importance to their plays. Whether these thoughts were introduced inconspicuously through episode and discourse or in a clearly educational way, they provided for dramatization a scholarly tone.

The post-Independence Indian English dramatization was benefited by the rising interest of the outside nations in Indian English writing overall and Indian English show inspecific. Numerous Indian dramatizations were organized in U.S.A. also, in England. Among every one of the writers of the age Mohan Rakesh showis seen as an intricate workmanship. He utilized words and dialects not asexchanges or direct articulations yet as the instruments of ideas to convey the importance, past the verbal meaning once in a while, he ponders the issue of relations among man and ladies,inner self conflicts, the conflict of self-image among a couple. Girish and V. Tendulkar were likewise zeroing in on existential issues of the general public. Other than these and other notable screenwriters,there were lady writers who attempted to improve soil of Indian dramatization by extending the internal universe of ladylike mind in the theater. Their fundamental subject was orientation segregation.

The advanced Indian dramatization is an incredible mix of custom and innovation where custom is likened with old obsolete thoughts and convictions and customs and practices are advancing with progress and groundbreaking thoughts. Gone up against with the difficulties of new circumstances, people and societies return to their past, filter the imperative components in them, alter them if essential. Along these lines, in present day Indian writing artistic characters attempt to go with new mentalities yet to show the enchanted change all the while they need to uncover the old in the vast majority of the Post-Independence writing, independence, individual objectives, sexual, liberation and discourse have made an honest effort to supplant themwith customary subject of subjection, Dharma, sexual virtue and quietness. The conventional topic of subjection implies acknowledgment of male authority might be of father, spouse or child. Dharmaimplies obligation, sexual virtue implies both early virginal and conjugal constancy and quietness means to expose every one of those granted upon without articulating a solitary word. In this period writing the

subject connected with the lady's advancement and strengthening and through which the general public has been changing remains the parts the focal subject of the Literature. With it, as Indian English dramatization stuck profound roots in the Indian soil, it had inseparable connections with the socio-political milieu. By inventively treating the contemporary topics, the Indian English drama tried to investigate and decipher India fundamentally in its different perspectives social, political, financial, authentic and social. They have begun to zero in on the contemporary issues. Spurred by the political and social inquiries emerging from the changed verifiable circumstance they started to consider the relationship of man and his environmental elements in a new and practical way. This acknowledgment with respect to the Indian English producers brought about the making of a socially intentional writing momentous for its scholarly development, specialized complexity and creative vision-all combined into a natural entirety.

After the Second World War, there was sufficient material in the general public torn by political maneuverings, social differences, shared furor and defilement in organization for the topical treatment by the Indian producers to mix the creative mind of individuals to a new arousing.

The status and quandary of ladies in Indian culture has been one more persuading force for the Indian dramatists with a social reason. Regardless of the way that lady can add to social recovery as much concerning the reason for family government assistance, she turned into a survivor of social biases and male hawkishness. Notwithstanding, Gandhi's Clarian call to the Indian ladies to partake effectively in the opportunity. This development made them aware of the genuinely necessary freedom and correspondence of chance in private, social and political life. Hence Indian English screenwriters overall and lady producers specifically, started to regard ladies as authentic subjects for their shows.

The whole corpus of Mahesh Dattani's plays can be arranged into three classes to be specific Stage Plays, Radio Plays, and Screen Plays. Up to this point the subjects of his plays are concerned; they are, overall, very contemporary and current, revolutionary and offbeat. He has sensationalized issues of the advanced metropolitan culture going from common pressure, homosexuality, youngster misuse, orientation separation, marriage and profession, struggle among custom and innovation, male centric social framework, limitations of hijra's (eunuchs), ladies, kid worker, relational relationship, operations of individual and moral decisions, personality emergency, disclosure of past, the issues looked by HIV up-sides. Pranav Joshi Pura in his book named *A Critical Study of Mahesh Dattani's Plays* comments that Dattani's plays:

"... .. mainly manage humanism overall and foul play to minimized segment of society like gay people, hijras and ladies, specifically. In every one of them, he incites our reasoning, propels us to ponder the issues ... and change us ordinary mentalities and suspicions about what are evil."(2)

Pranav feels that Dattani "... isn't a preacher" and has " no convention to propound."(4)

As would be natural for him, his work is pointed toward gathering, "... .. what untruths dissipated in his plays, and develop from the material Dattani, the man and Dattani, the artist."(5)

Family is the main dramatic space in his plays as a large portion of the plays have family as their region. They are managing the narratives or stories of different families. *Where There's a Will, Bravely Fought the Queen, Dance Like a Man, Tara* is some of his plays in which the excruciating stories of different families have gone into the texture and

surface of the plays. The playwright portrays the fights being battled among the individuals from same family at home.

Where There's a Will is one of the four earliest plays of Mahesh Dattani. It is such a play which isn't just intriguing and reflective yet in addition gives a night of unadulterated diversion. Human connections and family are at the heart in Mahesh Dattani's *Where There's a Will*. The play manages the existences of a high society family, investigating with both humor and misfortune. It likewise presents compromises, penances and deceptive nature that untruth underneath the obligation, family devotion and individual personality. The play is a drawing room satire of a rich money manager, Hasmukh Mehta, his family and paramour. Hasmukh Mehta attempts to control his family even after his demise through his will. The play portrays how a man needs to turn out to be so strong. The longing of controlling his family transformed into a convoluted 'Will'. Indeed, even after his passing his control over his family was alive. The play is actually quite fascinating and engaging. It produces a ton of chuckling and merriment among the crowd.

In Dattani's absolute first play *Where There's a Will*, he decides a useless family to investigate mind boggling familial connections. The play is established in an Indian working-class Gujarat business family wherein he shows how ineptitude, bias, and longing for control play destruction with the actual presence of a nuclear family.

Here Hasmukh Mehta, the top of the Mehta family, battles an open and a mystery fight against rest of the individuals from the family. Every one of the individuals from the Mehta family live under one rooftop yet they contrast generally in their contemplations and convictions. Mr. Hasmukh Mehta tracks down his better half Sonal sluggish, his child Ajit a "simpleton" and "a dolt" and his girl in-regulation Preeti "shrewd as a snake." The child finds his own dad "a grandiose simpleton" and dull as a "tough bison"; and the little girl in

regulation Preeti gets a spouse who, she thinks, is "senseless moronic dolt" and an "oaf". Hasmukh's significant other Sonal accepts that her better half is fittingly practically identical to a "town bison." Nevertheless, they are burdened together when the play opens.

Of late, a major outcome in business and probably the most extravagant man of the city, Mr. Mehta, to educate his family an illustration, abandons a will which puts his fancy woman Kiran Jhaveri at the focal point of activity. Presently onwards his whole resources will be overseen by a trust to be coordinated by her for twenty-one years a period which will see his child turn 45, when the abundance would be of little use to the child and other squanderer individuals from the family as they all will be excessively old to savor it. Besides, the will gives that Kiran will reside with the family in the place of Mehta's, and that the child has to work in his office as indicated by the clear-cut bearings to bring back the cash after twenty-one years. Hasmukh appoints the obligation of revising his family to his special lady with the conviction that she will stay devoted to him for eternity.

Under the Mehta family's glimmer and facade of material solace lies the grotesqueness of voracity and severity. Carrying on with an existence under the shadow of his dad, Hasmukh Mehta is rarely prepared to permit space to others in the family. Additionally, he adores cash. While living, he was unable to pardon his family for squandering cash, after his demise he can't fail to remember his adoration for the well-deserved cash. It was the anxiety toward his cash being squandered luxuriously that caused him to pass on a will. How he loves recollections of bringing in cash says a lot about his demeanor towards cash:

HASMUKH. No more school. No more loafing for me. Difficult work.

Furthermore, I am blissful That's what he did! We brought in cash! I recall that we used to go through around 50% of the evening going through our records

and counting our benefits. The other portion of the night we would fantasy about being moguls (*WTW 464*)

The cash close by and such satisfying recollections of bringing in cash cause him to feel strong and vain. Since his childhood Ajit doesn't revere cash the manner in which his dad does, he is thought of as a major disappointment by Hasmukh Mehta. Presently he thinks about himself to his child; and the more he gauges himself against his child, the more he comes to adore himself and disdain his child and the subsequent distinction becomes bone of conflict.

Aside from the top of the family, different individuals from the Mehta family likewise love cash as their God. The little girl in-regulation Preeti says that she wedded Ajit in light of the fact that he was rich. That she cherishes cash more than any other person in the family gets laid out from the manner in which she goes upset on figuring out that her late father-in-law by marriage has made a will against them. Presently every one of the endeavors she has placed in to see the finish of her father by marriage, Hasmukh Mehta appear to end in disaster. Once more, all restrictions of adoration for cash appear to get crossed when we observe that Kiran Javari's significant other permits her to be the escort of her supervisor to get cash for his own alcohol.

Accordingly, cash stands like a strong living substance in the play and it is this cash which makes the affection disdain relationship in the family. Notwithstanding cash, which without a doubt is the most convincing power in annihilating human connections in the play, Hasmukh Mehta's overbearing nature and the apparition of past are two more fallen angels who have an influence in annihilating familial connections. Hasmukh is one who had no fantasies furthermore, contemplations of his own, one whose accomplishments are only

interpretations of his dad's desires. Such a stubborn man can't permit others to have their own considerations and dreams. This is the way he exhorts his child not to request any room:

AJIT. Daddy, you reserve no option to embarrass me before my companions!

HASMUKH. Wrong! I, Hasmukh Mehta, have each right...AJIT. Don't I have any freedoms whatsoever? HASMUKH. You reserve the privilege to pay attention to my recommendation and submit to my orders. (WTW 458)

At the point when gone against, the dictator and overbearing villain Hasmukh refers to his better half as "a decrepit old pack" (WTW 497) and his 23-year-old son a 'pig'. Consequently, he expects everything great from others and requests that his child figure out how to act with older folks. His tyrannical nature makes Kiran Jhaveri infer that he was 'inebriated with his power' and 'believed that he was strong' (WTW 508).

Hasmukh Mehta's decision of utilizing possessive pronoun 'my' in the start of the play helps us not just to have a peep at his inclination yet to gauge how much significant he believes himself to be opposite of different individuals from the family. His smugness does no good to him or to his family. For sure the longing to overwhelm others has caused an untold harm to him and his family, and has annihilated his relational connections. The manner in which Dattani portrays his characters in *Where There is a Will* seems to feature his disdain for the unwanted enthusiasm for cash. From one perspective Hasmukh and Preeti are introduced in a negative light, on the other, Ajit is conferred the righteousness of enthusiasm for life as he settles on his own way oblivious of what his dad needed. He is only not the same as his dad whose main measuring stick for estimating achievement was cash. In Hasmukh Mehta's reality accomplishment needs to battle against assumption. Consequently, fixation for accomplishment, love for cash, longing for mastery and biases demonstrate definitive in annihilating human connections in this play. It is weird that Hasmukh never tries to think on

the inquiry for what reason to bring in cash yet whenever neglects to ponder for what reason to wed. He had neither compassionate nor any honorable goals at all for which he might have endeavored so difficult to bring in cash. He would never figure out how to see his better half grinning or sparkling; maybe what he could do was basically fulfill his bait of wedding 'gold'. "Nor might he at any point at any point win evaluation from a his relative. Most importantly, he was very little satisfied with himself even as we see him atone towards the finish of the play and he wishes that he "had never disrupted their lives". (WTW 515)

Coming to the profound and sexual existence of the characters in play comes to imagine that there can't be a space for profound or energizing sexual life where there is a dreadful wish for bringing in and setting aside money. There are just no snapshots of tender love in the play yet the married accomplices are tracked down driving a feline and canine life. Ajit is exceptionally mechanical in his relationship with his significant other Preeti, and she as opposed to inviting her better half home, begins battling with him and does not bomb in that frame of mind in the absolute worst language when he returns home. Again, the relationship of Kiran Jhaveri and her better half depends more on accommodation and material need instead of genuine feelings. Conversing with Ajit, Mrs. Jhaveri makes sense of her relationship with her spouse: KIRAN. In any case, everything worked out to be very advantageous. I got a spouse, my spouse got his liquor, and your dad got... all things considered, you know. (WTW 491)

Essentially, the third one yet most significant few, Mrs. and Mr. Hasmukh Mehta, who have a place with a different age, is physically tasteless and cold. Hasmukh tells us:

...What a bum she was. Comparable to mud. Same our sexual coexistence. Mud. A quarter century of marriage and I don't think she has at any point appreciated sex. Twenty-five long periods of marriage and I haven't

appreciated sex with her. So how does a man respond? You tell me. I began eating out. Indeed, I had the cash. (WTW 473)

Crushing the confidence of his better half, whom he personally depicts as "steadfast" (WTW 475), Hasmukh Mehta fosters an extramarital relationship with his right-hand Kiran. Furthermore, through this relationship of Hasmukh and Kiran the writer welcomes our consideration towards debasement at social, familial, moral and individual level.

Dattani portrays life for all intents and purposes. He scarcely appears to be keen on romanticizing it. Notwithstanding, he never bombs in featuring great over evil throughout everyday life. Being a cutting-edge women's activist, he lays out lady at the focal point of his imaginary world and gives upon her characteristics like candor, certainty, mind, power and judgment and yet keeping up with her so near fundamental human instinct and consequently likelihood. Kiran Jhaveri, the pith of 'new lady' in Dattani makes her rise in his absolute first play. Out of her insight and feeling of obligation, she comes to be an insider in the Mehta family shoved aside even the last memory of the genuine untouchable Hasmukh Mehta. Kiran's sense of belongingness to the unit is recognizable in her utilization of language - "I concluded I'll come and remain here for some time in our home" (WTW 488) as against Hasmukh's refusal to it with his rehashed utilization of expressions with possessive individual pronouns like "my home" (WTW 458) in the play. Again, her insight and wise nature helps her in changing a gathering into a family. Also, in the obligation of revising the family she has been appointed by her late chief, she doesn't work like one who has been blindfolded yet recognizes what is right and what's going on. At last, she stands far and away superior to all others' as she "utilizes powerplay to work on her connections" (Raina 46). On the other hand, Sonal might be feeble in the start of the play yet in the end she turns towards Kiran and appears to offer farewell to her reliance on anybody.

Characters' battle against difficult situations and their possible development away from restraint cause one to contemplate over the correlations and differentiations in the play. In the main portion of the play the family relations are managed by a biased patriarch Hasmukh Mehta while in the final part, thereins get controlled and stroked by a lady Kiran Jhaveri. The primary portion of the play is stamped with absence of confidence and heaps of turmoil and disarray in the family rather than the latter half were an air of trust and confidence wins and the family cruises without a hitch. Again, the state of mind split the difference of Act I transforms into a disposition of conflict in Act II as Ajit savagely won't be one more augmentation of his dad:

AJIT. OK. I can't battle him now. He has won. He has won since he's dead. Be that as it may, when he was alive, I dissented. In my own specific manner. Indeed, I'm cheerful I did that. Indeed. I retaliated. I peeped 'peep' to him! That was my little triumph. (*WTW 501*)

So likewise, Kiran, a casualty, will not remain deceived. She turns out to be important for Hasmukh's existence with hereyes totally open and mindful of the advantages that she will get from the relationship. Lastly, she comes to bond with Sonal. In this manner the man centric society gets strangulated and appears to pass on towards the finish of the play giving space to ordinary family connections. Kiran Jhaveri the solid, what's more, insightful lady has been appearing differently in relation to Sonal who has been left injured and incapacitated with no thought about her own by the severe cautiousness of her sister Minal. "Indeed, even at my better half's memorial service, she sat close to me and let me know when to cry" she tells (*WTW 511*). In any case, toward the finish of the play Sonal tells Minal:

. . . No, I needn't bother with another Maharaj, not from you at any rate! ... I simply don't, that's it in a nutshell. . . Indeed, with respect to as I'm concerned

you can go leap into an abyss! (Hammers the telephone down and turns towards Kiran) (WTW 516).

Hence at last the decider arises as Hasmukh Mehta himself picks a female Kiran ("someone intense") "to manage everything" (WTW 460) and lo! she demonstrates harder than he anticipated that she should be. Subsequently the change in the Dattani lady from a frail animal of Act I to a strong and solid power in Act II, and the impact this shift makes on human connections is momentous.

Again, smothered under the arrangement of custom, orientation, and sexuality, Dattani's characters are in steady quest for personality. Hasmukh Mehta practices his control over the remainder of his family to carry on his own origination of the inherited egocentric self. He is a devotee to a man-centric society and wishes to overwhelm and practice his power. Yet, it is maybe a peculiarity of destiny that he gives up the reins to a lady and changes the destiny of his own originations that he was attempting to protect since long. Potential gain turns down and the spaces for the singular character, that he has all along needed to deny, get opened up. He needs to gain his personality from the lips of Sonal and Kiran that he was "carrying on with his life in his dad's shadow." (WTW 509) Moreover, any remaining individuals from the family oppose Hasmukh as they likewise look for their own personality. Kiran who made due in the given conditions till now, has been continually looking for a personality for her 'self'. This spouse of a subordinate boozier and a special lady to a dictator strikes the iron when it is hot and gets recognized as a strong power. Essentially, Ajit is all mouth in requesting and getting some space for his 'self'. Towards the finish of the play Ajit says that he will get the tamarind tree slashed off when Decoder comes; hence emblematically disposing of the last remainders of the harsh past.

Family and society are two fundamental pieces of Dattani's emotional world. His characters are circled by a social framework that doesn't permit one to do what one needs. Guardians make the youngsters do what wins cheer from the general public never disapproving of what a kid's desire is. Rather than having an existence of urge, one is made to carry on with an existence coordinated by undetectable prevalent burdens and apparent family pressures. At the point when the individuals from Hasmukh family discuss Hasmukh Mehta's keeping a paramour, Preeti stresses less over what is happening in her family and more about her neighbors' standing by, listening to them and their turning of their TV off. In addition, every one of the individuals from the family feel offended when they discover that Hasmukh has passed on a will. It is simply the anxiety towards society that causes the family to feel: "... The will has left us generally exposed. The entire world is saying, Hasmukh Mehta didn't have confidence in his own family..." (WTW 493).

On the opposite side, the apparition of Hasmukh feels much better on finding what papers need to say about him, however he never facilitates what his child or spouse needs to say about him. John McRae, the theater chief, says: "... as the characters' veils fall, their feelings unwind and their lives deteriorate. For the issue isn't simply the characters' - it is everybody's, in a general public which not just supports however energizes deception, which requests double dealing and nullification, instead of permitting self-articulation, obligation and pride." (Collected Plays 451)

In the changed Indian situation where trade is arising excessively quick, something is required to be done to remake a social framework with amicable family connections. What's more, here Mahesh Dattani makes an intriguing perusing whose most loved area of interest is the social texture where he finds numerous things needing in people's way of behaving and acknowledged astuteness all in all. Remarking upon significance of his plays today Madhu Jain says: One can't keep the truth from getting the world that Dattani presents. It gazes you in

the face, making you automatically jump in every one of the plays. It is a reality you may not wish to encounter.

Indeed, Dattani never neglects to have an eye on friendly organizations like family. Broken family and fragile relationship structure the setting of a considerable lot of his plays. Yet, he never means to change the society; what he tries to do is to cause the observers to ponder their own lives. Conversing with Anita Nair, Dattani says:

You can't deal with a play like a thrill ride which even at its generally startling second you realize will end soon and joyfully when you hit solid land. It's as it was at the point when you are left hanging in air you begin to scrutinize your own character, discernments etc... the crowd need to complete in their own heads what the dramatist began. (Dattani in an interview)

Dattani doesn't compose a play with an expectation of instructing illustrations to the crowd. A moral or an illustration that is learnt is generally a side-effect.

Social notions are generally unique in relation to real factors of life. One can't anticipate a child continuously to be a faithful or a visually impaired devotee of his folks. Benjamin McLane Spock affirms that "Disobedience to guardians is a characteristic, unavoidable part of immaturity. It helps them in surrendering the solaces and security of home, accomplishing genuine autonomy, working for progress" (30). Conflict of conventional and current qualities is a fundamental piece of the existence of individuals at present. Also, this is the very thing Dattani appears through his plays overall and *Where There's a Will* in specific as here all family connections are flighty.

Again, if there should be an occurrence of his treatment of ladies a few pundits have tracked down him "a lady critic" (Preface *Gathered Plays*, xii) yet it doesn't appear to be so on the grounds that Dattani uncovers reality by showing a lady quiet in words however strong in

real life in contrast with man who yells a great deal yet neglects to do much. However, denying a spot among playwrights who can flaunt all-inclusive allure will be a bad form to the ability of the youthful dramatist yet it isn't inappropriate to say that his plays are about Indian life where individuals lead a lifestyle which is neither completely Indian nor simply Western however a mottled combination of the two. The profound portion of an Indian draws in him towards his folks just like his other individual mate while his longing for a free character like Westerns makes his other half repulse him away from them.

To investigate the reasons that make the Mehta family broken in the play *Where There is a Will*, Dattani contrasts the working of the patriarch Hasmukh Mehta who is prevailing, childish and dead to the others' desires, and brings just absence of confidence and heaps of mayhem and disarray in the family, with that of Kiran Jhaveri, the one who is wise, majority rule, forthcoming, altruistic and alive to others' requirements and brings an environment of trust and confidence to win in the family. In this manner it very well may be concluded that sympathy, trust and participation are expected to frame great and sound connections in a family.

The play investigates the weakened relational relationship among individuals from the same family. Ajit is appearing as innocuous whose voice is squashed down before his despotic dad. Sonal typifies the picture of a homegrown lady who is docile to the impulses of her better half. Preeti, the little girl in-law of Hasmukh is displayed as conspiring and cash disapproved and Kiran as astute, astute and free in thinking.

The play portrays materialistic culture in which individuals are pursuing cash. The texture of family relations disintegrates as it is broken without any social and moral ethos. Subsequently family connections are gravely impacted, really tainted by this mindset.

On the off chance to we discuss 'Complete Theater' in which music, dance, activity, language all are found in evident sensational and suggestive way, we it is important to specify one name, that is a complete theater character, Mahesh Dattani. He is the most remarkable and intense sensational voice of post autonomous India. He has advanced and adorned custom of Indian drama with his tests and developments. With the appearance of Mahesh Dattani on the abstract scene, the situation starts to change. His plays are, initially, written in English. He is an expert of social dramatization in India. His plays are about what he sees, feels and comprehends. He wears many caps as entertainer, dramatist, theater and movie chief, artist, what's more, instructor. His plays investigate human relationship and address contemporary individuals with their dilemmas and intricacies, happiness and misery. He is basically a theater individual whose mindfulness about society has honed as a result of his relationship with theater. As a dramatist and movie chief, he tracks down greater chance to uncover new aspects of innovativeness in both the mediums. He has created a lot of shows various on topics. His plays are portrayed by some dramatic and topical advancements. He has not just specialized power and ability to deliver a play in text yet likewise has a capacity to get it arranged effectively. While remarking on his plays; John McRae refers to him as "the voice of India" and sees as follows: "They are the plays of today, now and again as real as to cause debate, however at the same time they are the plays which encapsulate large numbers of the exemplary worry for world drama." (1)

The play marks many brand name characteristics of Dattani's emotional workmanship. It has Gujarati milieu and effectively runs on the stage. It presents how ladies in their homes are underestimated. However, the kitchen or home is portrayed as the realm of ladies, they are done administering over them. It is ascribed: "*Where There's a Will* has a few fascinating viewpoints; Mahesh portrayed it as the expulsion of the male centric code. Lady

be it girl in-regulation, spouse or escort are reliant on men and this play shows what happens when they are driven to the brink."(6)

The play is a parody on water tight man centric code. He parodies human indiscretions and flaws in an extremely intriguing manner. Truth be told, no person is gotten away from the stroke of his parody. Like Ben Johnson's play *Every Man in His Humor*, every one of the characters are outlined with or less mockery. The producer expects to acquire change in society by hitting at human flaws and imprudence. It has been properly noticed:" Joy is the pith of life and I have generally accepted that venue ought to ooze enchant. Where There's a Will is such a play. It isn't just interesting and contemplative yet in addition gives a night of amusement."(7)

In Hasmukh's life, there are two particular spaces addressing him between private relationship-his relationship with his better half and child, and his relationship with his business world. One is turbulent and deficient, while the other is great and complete. Be that as it may, in both these circumstances he keeps up with his outright control. The theater endeavors to verbalize habits of the general public proposing to cheer individuals by lifting them from physical, social and mental issues and furthermore offers a recommendation for driving life in a better and more joyful manner. In this furious life individuals are enduring with the sensation of estrangement which has become piece of our lives. In the play, Dattani presents that man centric groups control not just the existences of ladies of the family, yet in addition, men of the family. Dattani shows that a youngster generally attempts to become autonomous, however, their folks not permit him/her without any problem.

The play presents the intellectual prowess of city lady (Kiran) who is accomplished and gets anything she desires with her life. She can do anything for it. She crosses her cutoff points; she doesn't trust in old idea that man leads and lady follows. She made her own choice

which can be satisfactory by other. This is really a metropolitan reasonableness which rouses ladies' character to uninhibitedly live.

The play has a few sorts of issues brought up in a conventional family. It investigates the decayed relational relationship among individuals from a similar family. We witness the requirement relations between father v/s child and spouse v/s wife for cash and power. The play mirrors the imprudence's and biases of Indian culture as reflected in the microcosm of the nuclear family, the most powerful reality in working class Indian lives. Dattani calls the play an expulsion of the male centric code. Set in a common Indian setting of a joint family, the play depended on the guarantee of a Will that kept a business big shot and his family together. The cunningly planned items in the Will brought his better half and his escort together after his demise and hold the grieved family close. The job of the apparition of the dead Mr. Mehta added snapshots of splendid humor and inconspicuous perceptions to the play which skillfully managed the shades of the relationship that he has fashioned with his late dad, child, spouse, little girl in-regulation and courtesan. There are sharp punches of humoroustrades among father and child.

The play remains remarkable for showing the hero as the watcher of his own activity. He understands that he has been made survivor of his own component. He vested in Kiran with powers; to satisfy his craving for his post mortem command over family, yet she practices these powers to work on her relationship. This is the way the phantom of Hasmukh communicates its dismay towards Kiran, "You are here to put my family together. Remember your work." (15) The phantom of Hasmukh observes that he has been excused as a sad remnant of his dad, a man to be felt sorry for on, a man without his own fantasies and want, vision and so on. The authority of the will is at last pushed off, life proceeds and the apparition proceed to hang himself topsy turvy from the tree, absolutely dislodged, both genuinely and emblematically.

The play performs the social authenticity in an exceptionally silly and mocking manner. The play is loaded with giggling and gaiety. The tone of mockery and humor runs all through the play. Dattani's imaginative virtuoso handles balance and humor next to each other effectively. The familial association is frequently extremely diverting and mocking.

The undetectable presence of Hasmukh particularly after his appearance as Ghost, his perceptions and the free presentation of the inward sensations of various characters against the power of Hasmukh, is an extraordinary gadget utilized by the playwright for self-evaluation of the characters.

The play captures the quintessence of current nuclear family and strikes a nearby gander at elements of intra-familial connections. It focuses at areas of strength for the between the mentor and the other relatives. The utilization of the word 'mentor' doesn't in a real sense indicate father as the job bounces from one person to another. It starts with Hasmukh Mehta and his discourse of how he experienced his dad's assumptions for him. It continues on toward fancy woman, Kiran Jhaveri who in an epiphany reflects how she played the job of Hasmukh Mehta's dad in their relationship. The play closes with Kiran Jhaveri assuming Hasmukh Mehta's position at the head of table-showing that the family has another mentor.

The play portrays the materialistic culture where individuals are pursuing cash and the joys looked for by it. The texture of family relations disintegrates and it is broken without a trace of social and moral ethos. Thus, family relations are gravely impacted, really tainted by this attitude.

An Indian lady's self - insight as additionally the general public's assumptions from her have for long been to a not entirely settled by the complex of thoughts and values and convictions systematized in the Manusmirt. Many expresses that in youth a lady ought to be

influenced quite a bit by control, in youth under her better halves, and when her significant other is dead, under her child's. She shouldn't have autonomy. In this play Hasmukh Mehta's significant other Sonal Mehta is living in this condition. She is completely administered by others. She has been defrauded and taken advantage of by his significant other's power. For him, she is just a steadfast canine. She is extremely resigned, agreeable and submissive that Hasmukh likes. In any case, Hasmukh Mehta isn't in that frame of mind to pass judgment on his enjoying that really, he is in sort of need. He doesn't permit his better half to do anything she prefers, simultaneously forsex he could go to another expert woman. He wants super current woman to be fulfilled physically. As a matter of fact, Sonal is honest and uninformed ladies who have barely any insight into his sexual last and his delight with right ladies. She isn't given this sort of openness. She is committed and plummet spouse however her significant other is exceptionally tricky and inconsiderate. Hasmukh Mehta has no regard and love for his better half Sonal. She is to him just an individual to be administered over. According to be, "Then, at that point, I ought to be extremely cheerful man. I have a caring spouse who has been dedicated to me like any canine would be." (CP:473) Sonal has figured out how to execute her better half's guidelines and orders into in this sense. Hasmukh is hostile to postulation to her. Hasmukh appreciates extra-conjugal relationship for the good of his own, however, for that he accepts that his significant other is dependable. His better half never denies being with him in bed yethe isn't happy with her. He is scurrilous. According to be, "appreciated sex, twenty-five years of marriage and I haven't delighted in sex with her. So how does a man respond? I began eating out well, I had the cash. I could stand to eat in extravagant spots. Bear the cost of that as well. Those costly women of the night in the five-star lodgings! Something between a spouse and a get, yes. A courtesan! It didn't take me." (473) When Hasmukh was alive, he was experiencing sure perishes likehypertension, elevated cholesterol, and so on. His significant other was aware of his heath. She was taking

earnest consideration of him, however, despite the fact that he was unable to give her a spot in her heart.

When Hasmukh Mehta was smoking, Sonalsaid, "How frequently have I told you not to smoke? Who do you figure the specialists will fault assuming you get another coronary episode? Also, my sister Minal? Do you have any idea everything that she said to me when you got first append? You are not firm with him, she said. You realize he has a strange heart yet you actually let him continue smoking and drinking. My own sister faulting me for your circumstances! As though you would stand by listening to me regardless of whether I was uncompromising with." (C.P:463-465) Instead of observing her genuine consideration of him he expressed her while she was serving him with rich for health dishes. What in it? Just debilitated individuals eat carrot, cucumber and beetroot. I need some genuine food. What's this? When Hasmukh requested 'Aha' Sonal answered him that as a diabetic he was unable to eat that. Paying attention to this Hasmukh harshly said. Enough, one additional work from you and I'll actually put you on the following plane to Ahmedabad. He recognizes Sonal's organization as the best misfortune of his life. According to be, "When I was 21 the best misfortune of my life occurred that is his marriage with Sonal." (C.P:456) Sonal is misfortune to his life yet she is each time cautious about his tables, hypertension and coronary episode.

His treatment to his little girl in regulation is additionally not momentous. Preeti, Ajit's significant other, tells, "He was a slave master, your dad! He nearly made me frantic with his bossy nature. He prevailed with your mom." (C.P:508) Hasmukh Mehta is a proprietor of a manufacturing plant. However, his way of behaving with others is so perceptibly predominant and negative that his associates get thinks for even a second to remark upon it before his better half, Sonal, "He was so heartless toward others' necessities yes. Mrs. Mehta. My dad, your significant other they were frail men with bogus

strength."(C.P:510) This assertion demonstrates that both the guys have generally attempted to mistreat the female individuals from their loved ones.

Today the state of spouses isn't comparative in every single family yet a few families are there for whom ladies are just items to fulfill their actual need and make their lives agreeable. Indeed, even in current times, ladies are being treated as sex object and took advantage of genuinely and intellectually. They are not given the significance which male segment of the general public got. Kiran communicates her distresses as, "Isn't unusual the way that redundant life is? My siblings. They have ended up resembling their dad, returning home with restrains of aggregate enveloped by newspapers. Thrashing their spouses. Also, I - I also resemble my mom. I wedded a boozier and I paid attention to his swearing. Also, I also have figured out how to quietly endure. Gracious! Where will this end? Will the scars our folks lay on us remain until the end of time? (508). Hence Dattani has brought up very contact issues under the steady gaze of the crowd to pass judgment on values and standards of the general public which they have been complying and since an extremely extensive stretch and power them to reexamine over.

Present day training has made ladies mindful of their freedom and obligations. Presently, they are in the situation to notice their deeds, choice and position minutely with worry to the male centric culture. So, if requires, they fight with male centric unfair expert for their right and equities. In the play Kiran and Preeti are awesome instances of it. Presumably they are generally not continuing on in right course but rather can be happy with their choice power and activities they are taking on despite the fact that they address present day ladies' materialistic methodology. They are clever, cash disapproved, conspiring and tricky. In any case, the vital component of them is that they can do what they like.

Toward the finish of the play, it is uncovered that Preeti had hurried the passing of her father by marriage. It shows that how she has applied her cerebrum to eliminate the obstacle while heading to carry on with a very agreeable life. Kiran's disclosure of Preeti's demonstration shows the advanced methodology of making guys truly or intellectually frail by their mind. Their reasoning limit is gotten to the next level. All things considered, Kiran uncovered Preeti's demonstration, not to coerce her but rather to show us how bold she is! According to her, "No they are not. These are your father by marriage's tablets. They controlled his circulatory strain. They kept him alive. (Shows her the tablets in her other hand) There are your nutrients. Presently I'll stir them up. (Blends them and tosses them on the bed) might you at any point differentiate? No, you can't, except if you look exceptionally closely. The organization's names are embellished on the tablets, yet the individual who is accustomed to taking them consistently won't look so closely. (Concentrates on Preeti, who is shudder now.) The tablets you toss through of the window were the ones for his circulatory strain. The tablets I found on his dressing table were your.... Vitamins (Preeti begins wailing) When did you trade them? Gracious, you might have done, it whenever. You had plenty of chances. He was correct you are exceptionally shrewd. Obviously, you didn't kill him. You just let nature accomplish the work for you. Is it true or not that you were so eager? Would you be able to need a couple of additional years?"(C.P:515)

Mrs. Mehta who had lived and endured for such a long time under the predominance of her better half and her sister, is displayed to make her mark toward the finish of the play with the assistance of Mrs. Kiran Jaweri.

Kiran gained her example of strengthening from being so near life while living with her dad. She tells, " I gained my illustration from watching my mom enduring my dad when he got back home consistently with restrains of total enveloped by a newspaper. As I watched him pummelling her and calling out to her, I realized what life was the point at which my

mom imagined she was blissful before me and my sibling, so we wouldn't can't stand my dad. Also, I realized when I kept my mother away from my dad, so that consequently he would stay quiet for these three hours when he returned home, and before he nodded off at the eating table, excessively smashed to hurt us any longer. I served him those beverages, sitting tight for that second when he would become oblivious and I would agree that a request." She is of the assessment that her treatment to her dad and her better half shows her how to handle a rich chief. She is scholarly. She could undoubtedly emerge with the choice than why the tablets are through of the window and by whom.

Other than the topic of autocracy, control, subjection of ladies and ladies strengthening the play likewise centres around man centric code, father-child relationship, age hole, materialistic methodology, powerful components or more all driving qualities of Ultra - present day ladies like free-sex, egotism, cleverness, autonomy, speedy assurance power and so forth appealingly through basic and direct show. He reflects the contemporary society through short discoursed engaging straightforwardly to the heart and brain of the crowd. A few exchanges are exceptionally funny yet there is a parody behind it which moves the audience to reshape their custom reasoning in present day setting. Still customary individuals trust that through mastery and danger one can manage over other. In any case, the play uncovers the way that through this administration might be conceivable not the wilful discipline. It can't stand the guidelines. To endure one's presence one should prevail upon other by affection not by order and orders is the focal topic of the play. It investigates that the crumbled between private relationship among relatives ruin its establishment. It will bring forth the interior conflict which will harm the picture of solid society by implication.

Raising voice against any type of double-dealing is truly challenging, yet the errand turns out to be considerably really testing when one needs to scrutinize one's own family as

the talk that imbalances are regular and ordinary leads to terrorizing and control in connections. The impact of this talk is to cause individuals to understand that imbalances and concealment have regular beginnings and are inescapable. So, to show obstruction against this standard ought to be past one's cut-off points. Private enterprise assumes an urgent part in sustaining and building up this type of mastery since man-controlled society and private enterprise remain forever inseparable. The inclination of private enterprise to revamp economy for a bigger scope for its own benefit affects orientation relations. A nearer appraisal of the technique for free enterprise delineates that this framework depends generally on the significant qualities of male centric society, for example a prior arrangement of externalization and persecution. Regarding Mahesh Dattani's well known play *Where There's a Will*, this paper portrays this interdependency and synchronization of private enterprise and male-controlled society. It shows how man-controlled society and free enterprise work together to choke the development of men and what the evil impacts of male centric society mean for the orientation who has really figured out its standards.

In '*Where There is a Will*', Hasmukh Mehta wades into controversy through a very much planned 'will' to continue his strength over his relatives after his passing. This play is a comic caricature of male centric power and the governmental issues played by the hero to follow it. The evil of man centric arrangement becomes the dominant focal point in the play. The play demonstrates Dattani's brightness and his nonconformist expertise of introducing the hero Hasmukh Mehta in noticeable structure in first demonstration and in imperceptible structure in second demonstration of the play. Dattani portrays two unique cunning plots played by two characters of a similar family against each other. The primary plot is arranged by the man centric business mogul Hasmukh Mehta who uses his 'will' as a weapon to overwhelm his family even after his passing. The second plot in the play is played by Hasmukh's girl-in-regulation, Preeti who kills her father by marriage to have the entire

patrimonial property for her. Both these plots are uncovered towards the finish of the play by Kiran Jhaveri, the special lady of Hasmukh Mehta, who goes into Mehta's home after his passing as a legal administrator to administer over Mehta family.

In the primary portion of twentieth century, Indian economy was transcendently agrarian which encouraged the male centric code. The child ploughed the field similarly his dad did. In friendly and day to day life, codes were fixed and each succeeding age was educated to follow them in a thorough way. Business was conveyed forward as a family venture starting with one age then onto the next. Along these lines, whether in towns or in villages, life was coordinated around families, as opposed to people. The top of the family should be the overseer of the family, customs also, in total of the local area customs. However, this agrarian arrangement starts to disintegrate in autonomous India by the spread of instruction, development in business, and open doors in industry, trade and administration areas.

The soul of independence plagued different backgrounds. In any event, when a young fellow is working with his dad or in privately-owned company, he has his perspectives on various parts of the work, he is engaged with, and believes that them should be heard what's more, regarded. This impacted the male centric code incredibly. Dattani shows powerful urges of old age to save its position over the youthful, not entirely set in stone by the youthful to break liberated from the man centric code. As indicated by Mahesh Dattani the genuine risk of the man centric code lies in denying an individual, a chance for an autonomous development. For the sake of custom, great habits and obligation the child is supposed to follow aimlessly, anything that he is approached to do. This will deny a man of his drive. Mahesh Dattani calls the ones who request this sort of acquiescence as feeble men with bogus strength and censures the individuals who this sort of oppression. Dattani's sensational workmanship has been valued for its fine texture of philosophical connotation and social cognizance. *Where There's a Will* is

a parody on the watertight male centric code; as a matter of fact, no person is gotten away from the stroke of Dattani's parody.

The act begins when Hasmukh Mehta gets back from office and heard his child and the Managing Director about the Mehta's refusal to put resources into new business. Hasmukh characterizes that he had discarded his child's task recommendations uninitiated. Mehta is a diabetic and cardiovascular patient, with hypertension and elevated cholesterol furthermore, a broadened heart, with this the crowd come to realize that Mehta kicks the bucket in the absolute first scene, and his dead body is seen by Sonal. This isn't the start of a misfortune; in any case, Mehta's phantom waits in the house, however unheard and imperceptible to his loved ones. Everything appears to be the male centric predominance of Hasmukh Mehta over his life and, surprisingly, later his demise.

The play shows hopefully a way by what men and ladies can track down bliss in their own specific manner. Ajit is projected through his father's unsympathetic eye, as another's sweetheart and an incapable, however is displayed to have opposed and, surprisingly, won, be that as it may, microscopic a way against his dad in the skirmish of wills. Sonal, is a victim under Mehta and her sister Minal. Kiran endured her father, who regularly accompanied by containers of rum, and beating her mom and calling out to her. Kiran finds joy evaded her in past presence as a business chief - cum escort to a rich man and as little girl of a lush and spouse of another. The freedom of these characters from the abnormal hold of their past are there out to Mehta. The oppressive spouse, weighty dad what's more, domineering manager is steadily overshadowed and reduced to the mark of importance.

Dattani controls the occurrences for self-edification to uncover the deception of phony influence. He advances thought the enthusiasm for power and control, implies the instability of an individual, one can support the fantasy of overwhelming others for a brief time frame yet

every person outlines his own fantasies of life and their fundamental soul can never be checked. Mehta has command over his loved ones through his cash and swears off the open door to work on his relational relationship. That's what he sees his longing to control drove him to be the casualty of his own makeover. Dattani's plays have Gujrati family milieu as the setting or find and shows that how male centric ordinances control the methods of ladies as well as men of the family.

Where There's a Will is a play where customary family values conflict with unanticipated turns in the story that totally undermines generalizations. The theatre endeavours to articulate more and habits of the general public expecting to cheer individuals by lifting them from physical, social and mental issues and hardships and furthermore offer a piece of guidance for driving life in the better and more joyful manner. Dattani's shows that social bias and shows ruin the singular decisions and deny them from the way of conceivable development and advancement. The provincial reasonableness and social responsibility don't give approach to oneself longing and dreams. He investigates the deception of a awesome and unlimited oversight over the family for a more drawn out that is all. The play emerges the inquiries that why a man earns a lot for power and power. Does it imply any worth of life? Clearly it doesn't connect any importance to human life. Nor does it help in working on nature of human existence. Dattani is imagined that it is an endeavour to make oneself secure and get by. Along these lines, man's drive for the mastery emerges out of his own worry of uncertainty.

The title of the play is exceptionally huge. The word 'will' has two ramifications. It demonstrates an authoritative record ready by the top of the family. This word, 'will' likewise implies the resolve to stand on one's feet as on account of Kiran, Preeti and in conclusion Sonal. The 'will' for Hasmukh Mehta represents predominance though for the ladies it addresses freedom from the shackles of male mastery which they get through their

knowledge. Dattani depicts the space of ladies as severe and minimized, eclipsed by male presence. In the expressions of Spivak, Sonal and Preeti, an inferior space follows. According to Spivak, "Inferior isn't simply a tasteful word for mistreated, for the 'Other', for someone who's not getting a slice of the pie. In post-frontier terms, all that has restricted or no admittance to the social dominion is inferior, a space of contrast". Ajit gets by as an inferior who can't talk about his freedoms to his dad yet this voiceless demonstration goes to a half-breed way. Dattani shows the peruse/crowd that social bias and shows block the individual decisions and deny them from the way of conceivable development and improvement. The frontier reasonableness and social responsibility doesn't give way to oneself craving and dreams. He investigates the deception of awe and full oversight over the family for a more drawn-out period. The play emerges the inquiries that why a man yearns a lot for power and power.

All inconvenience emerges from Hasmukh's bogus thoughts of euphoria and bliss of life. He considers control as the main framework which can get delight and bliss family. Incidentally he neglects to comprehend that control kills delight of human heart what's more, soul. Mastery thrives killing other self and personality. Dattani broadly exorcizes male-centric code through his emotional craftsmanship. Hasmukh Mehta needs undeniable acquiescence and unchallengeable authority at home and at the workplace individually. In this manner, he doesn't permit developing the 'self' of his child, Ajit who opposes the despotic father, yet he neglects to verbalize his own space. He doesn't terrify to haul to male-centric power. Nor does he rebel against him fundamentally. He simply attempts to affirm his character before his dad. Dattani's play peeps into the past and figure out the truth. His peeping into past isn't fantasy, looking for endeavour, yet he jumps into the past for drawing out the truth lying in the murkiness, at the dull lower part of the past.

The acknowledgment of past blunder helps the person figuring out present in a superior manner. It has current importance. As a result of this; his plays are seen and delighted in over and over. Dattani doesn't stick to one specific practice of dramatization. He injects different practices into one making his auditorium valid, what's more, bona fide portrayal of genuine experience. Through this interaction, he incepts another custom of show which is very imaginative, legitimate, and fit for addressing the soul of Indian soil. In this manner, his plays are the genuine stories.

Hasmukh's cognizance of his position, his hatred for the straightforwardness of his child, and his joke of the accommodation of his better half, set up an incredibly ludicrous picture of man, centric power. He has his own vision of satisfaction, yet no joy can arise in the type of control that he has been practicing over Ajit and Sonal. In the initial segment of the play, Dattani presents an exhaustive, yet absurd idea of man-controlled society. It isn't bound to orientation pairing alone; however, it incorporates all methods of force control. It's anything but a question of show, rather a question of thought and disposition.

Dattani is a resolute trend-setter who explores different avenues regarding new dramatic gadgets to support honourability and dynamism. In the second piece of the play, Hasmukh expects to oversee the destiny of his relatives even after his passing. Ajit, a compliant of Hasmukh's authority, concedes as he moans, "He has destroyed us". Preeti, a faithful little girl in-regulation arises as a crafty and calculative lady, having framed an ideal thought of her father by marriage's expectations. The astonishing responses and clever comments of Hasmukh at the truth of Sonal, Ajit and Preeti makes the play a shining parody.

In man centric framework 'family' is the most essential and important circle in which most ladies need to carry on with their lives. It is the main organization in the general public. Be that as it may, in the contemporary world it has turned into a most abusive foundation for

ladies. We run over to various news connected with the aggressive behaviour at home against ladies like homicide, endowment demise, honour killing, constrained foetus removal, physical, mental, monetary and sexual maltreatment. These are the structures of brutality utilized against ladies in homegrown circle. A wide range of brutality against ladies come from power relations among people. Savagery against ladies is utilized as an instrument to control ladies' independence and is sustained by orientation standards that intervene assumptions concerning orientation jobs.

In any case, this play portrays the profound worries for gendered inferior. Sonal and Kiran address the one who endures all through their life. Both turned into the casualties of physical and mental maltreatment. The dramatist considers the orientation jobs and ladies' hopeless circumstances in the cutting-edge period. Ladies need to carry on with a long rough life till the end. Kiran describes it as, "How dreary life is? My siblings... whipping their spouses... gracious! Where will this end? Will the scars our folks lay on us remain until the end of time" (Dattani 508)

Ladies at marriage can be considered as another period of their life. They leave their folks' home with a hesitant psyche that regardless of whether they could change in the new encompassing. This idea urges them to carry on with a detached life. They are compelled to bear each torment of their spouses and parents in law. Social regulations train ladies to assume spouse home as a last objective to them. Kiran relates the ordinary encounters of ladies in the general public where ladies are pounded and embarrassed on a minor issue. She is the spirit observer of her dad's brutality against her mom in her experience growing up. At the point when she turns into a youthful woman, she observes the torments of her siblings against their spouses. The play uncovers that the savagery against ladies in the family is the significant spot of suppression for wedded ladies. The dramatist cautiously investigates the state of ladies through the female person and underlines its reality.

The social disparities among people are progressively addressed by ladies from very different milieux and pursuing their battle in different ways. Hypothetical positions and types of battle with a brief time frame, still had some validity, and to be sure some significance, have started to be changed by the broadness of the development, the subsequent discussions and investigations, and the dynamic experience with the work development and the gatherings of the Left. For certain individuals, male control in the existence of our general public is the sole significant type of persecution and must in this manner be a selective objective of battle. This position, embraced by some 'revolutionary women's activist' flows, could actually draw in average and insignificant common blessing, basically when 'the conflict of the genders' is held up as the main social fight to be pursued. For other people, conversely, male mastery is the most un-significant type of social mistreatment, making considerable progress behind class double-dealing, settler control, and racial isolation. At the limit and this was on occasion the perspective on certain assailants and left-wing circles such mastery could stand by now is the right time, destined to vanish along with class abuse, dominion and bigotry.

Today we can stand by no more: the battle for the social balance of ladies has turned into a mass battle; and it profoundly includes the common laborers, since every one of the unfortunate results of sexual imbalance heap onto the shoulders of working ladies. This request ought to be indispensable to common battle to change society. For every single social disparity, however never co-extensive, feed off each other and eventually benefit a similar class; every one, or at least, goes into the multiplication of the prevailing method of creation, which in our general public is the industrialist method of creation. Presently, whenever this has been remembered, it is significant to pinpoint the genuine significance, or explicit weight, of every social imbalance inside the progressive system of causes that shape, the working and development of our general public. This requires that we, most importantly, shouldn't accept

one disparity for another, regardless less decrease to each other. For each situation, in this manner, we should lay out the particular nature, length, beginning and method of development of the social disparity being referred to, so we might reveal its method of enunciation with different imbalances and its genuine effect on the working of our group society. Disparity between the genders doesn't exist just in entrepreneur society: it exists somewhere else and is more seasoned than free enterprise. To dissect it, we should accordingly have response to the relative information of human studies and history.

In a male-overwhelmed society men have various honours. Contrasted with ladies who are allowed to walk the roads around evening time, men have customarily gotten away from homegrown work, and on normal higher wages, better positions, and more power. In any case, these benefits in them-selves can't make sense of the singular proliferation of the relations of male control, that is to say, why the singular male from an early age embraces manliness. The embracing of manliness isn't just a "socialization" into a specific orientation job, as though a pre-framed human is being who learns a job that he then plays until the end of his life. Rather, through his mental improvement he embraces and takes into himself a bunch of orientation based social relations: the individual that is made through the course of development turns into the individual exemplification of those relations. When the kid is five or six years of age, the reason for long lasting manliness has previously been laid out. Two variables, natural for people and human turn of events, structure the reason for the singular procurement of orientation.

These circumstances don't make sense of the presence of orientation: they are essentially preconditions for its singular procurement. The primary variable is the pliability of human cravings. For the baby every real action contact, sight, smell, sound, taste, thought are potential sources of sexual joy. Or on the other hand rather, they are sexual request sure in the feeling of their capacity to get joy from their bodies. Yet, this unique polysexuality is

restricted, formed, and subdued through the development cycle that is important to fulfil the needs of the regular and social world. Dissimilar to different creatures' human sexuality isn't just impulse: it is exclusively and socially developed. As a direct result of this, and due to the human's ability to think and develop social orders and philosophies, that orientation can exist in separation from natural sex. As Herbert Marcuse and, following him, Gad Horowitz have brought up, the requests of social orders of mastery of "surplus-severe" social orders continuously limited down sexuality into genital contact, with a hetero standard. Marcuse contends that a certain "fundamental constraint" a damming up or redirection of human longings is essential for any possible human affiliation. In any case, also, progressive and dictator social orders require a "surplus constraint" to keep up with designs of control. This reducing onto genital contact isn't just a characteristic genital inclination yet is the impeding of energy from an entire scope of types of joy (counting "mental" exercises).

The second element that shapes the reason for the singular's securing of orientation is that the drawn-out time of human youth brings about strong connections to parental figures. The enthusiastic holding of the little youngster to the essential parental figures gets its specific power and remarkable quality for our self-improvement in social orders where detached ladies have the essential obligation regarding supporting babies and kids, where the kid's connection with the world is intervened most emphatically through a little family instead of through a little local area all in all, and in which attributes related with the "inverse" sex are stifled. This delayed time of human youth is a drawn-out time of feebleness. The extraordinary love for a couple of guardians is joined with extreme sensations of hardship and dissatisfaction. This normal uncertainty is enormously bothered in social orders where the consideration guardians can give the youthful is restricted, where social requests put extra disappointments on top of the inescapable ones experienced by a small individual, and where a couple disconnected parents relive and rehash the examples of their own life as a youngster.

As will be seen, part of the kid's securing of manliness is a reaction to this experience of feebleness. When kids are adequately grown actually, inwardly, and mentally at five or six to have plainly characterized themselves separately from their folks, these parental figures have previously been incorporated inside them. In the early years, as in later ones, one can relate to (or respond against) the evident qualities of affection protests and integrate them into their own characters. This is generally an oblivious interaction. This consolidation and assimilation, or dismissal, of the qualities of affection objects is essential for the method involved with developing self-image. This assimilation of the objects of adoration is a particular one, and it is a process that happens in unambiguous social conditions. The prompt climate is the family, which is an "incredible organization of class situation and a productive component for the creation and transmission of orientation disparity." Within itself, to a more prominent or lesser degree, the family reflects, repeats, and reproduces the various levelled orientation arrangement of society overall. As indicated over, the kid has undecided inclinations toward their essential caring figures. Love joins with sensations of feebleness, pressure, and dissatisfaction.

CHAPTER IV

CONCLUSION

CHAPTER IV

CONCLUSION

Mahesh Dattani's '*Where There's a Will*' and '*Dance Like a Man*' set in typical India drafts hegemonic masculinity through the fatherly characters Hasmukh Mehta and Amritlal. The term male dominance evolved in the twentieth century as a conceptual label to characterize the unequal power relations between men as a group and women as a group and even dominant man subjugates other men, women and society. Gender oppression and inequality take different forms throughout the world, and here Mahesh Dattani portray two different situations of families.

Both plays mark trademark qualities of Dattani's dramatic art. *Where There's a Will*, Mahesh Dattani described it as the exorcism of the Patriarchal code. Woman be it daughter-in-law or wife or mistress are dependent and controlled by men even after his demise.

Ajit Don of Hasmukh Mehta as a young, does not want to live under the shadow of their parents. After Mehta's demise the will was read it was totally understood that their lives will be ruled by Hasmukh even after his death. Dattani also portrayed as woman of modernity and educated and intelligent gets whatever she wants with her life. Play presents the archetypal picture of an overbearing father and domineering husband who is always unhappy with everyone around him as none lived up-to his expectations.

Dattani brings in references to three successive generation of the male line, and indicates the compulsion under which Hasmukh behaves in the way he does. He underestimates his son in the office before his colleague's, in the house before his wife and mother. Preeti, who like Chameleon changes behaviour. She is capable of doing anything for money like Ratna does anything for her daughter to reach fame. Here Amritlal stands as a

symbol of patriarchal dominance, who expected that his son and daughter-in-law should follow his advice. But he is not as harsh patriarch as Hasmukh.

'Dance Like a Man' was written when Dattani was learning Bharatnatyam which is integral to this play. Play centres on a young man who wanted to be a dancer, growing up in a world that believes dance is for women. In the world of hypocrisy, acting becomes a way of life. It demonstrates through the text and the plot, a hybridized state that emerges by both domination and subversion of tradition.

Lata's daughter of Preeti is the icon of assimilation between the heritage of dance and comfortable married life of a common woman. The aspirations of a middle class south Indian couple, by choice of profession as dancers, the couple reflects the past and present Indian culture, identity and the gender role. Dattani not only picture patriarch in which men dominates women, but men oppress men (intra gender) and explorations. Individual is as much a part of his own inner conscience, as much as he is a part of defined social code. The perpetual clash of human motives with the tradition of family, prejudice of society and the code of culture constitute the dramatic structure of the play *Dance Like a Man*.

Besides the theme of dictatorship, domination, subordination of women and women empowerment the play also focuses on patriarchal code, father-son relationship, generation gap, materialistic approach, supernatural elements and above all leading characteristics of modern women, self-centeredness, cunningness, independence, quick determination power etc. very attractively through simple and straightforward presentation. He mirrors the contemporary society.

Mahesh Dattani's plays offer fine post-colonial analysis. His plays represent the problems of those whose voices are silenced under the pressure of social conventions and prejudices as well as oppressed by forces of patriarchy. Dattani has been constantly

attempting to present such issues under the hidden agenda of sensitizing the mass about the problems faced by the colonized class of Indian society.

Hasmukh Meta, the patriarch is the supreme malcontent with the typical problems of familial expectations on the other hand, Amritlal hearty wishes to make his son a grown-up man. Amritlal who belongs to a noble family and Sethi of the town knows the blemishes that follow while keeping contact with the artists like long-haired dance guru (Jairaj's guru) and the old prostitute as guru (Ratna's guru). His objection is a part of preventing forthcoming danger or disgrace. Unlike Hasmukh, Amritlal is a caring father who protects and care for the family. Both the plays represent a post-colonial condition of ambivalent cultural moods, forms, transition and translations in Indian society. Dattani puts forth the paradoxes that the Indian society faces, it also shows India's rich cultural tradition is a great advantage and a disadvantage as well.

Dattani's plays always on point of family as Gauri Neelakandan Mehta observes:"Dattani takes his subjects from within the complicated dynamics of the modern urban family for his play". The multiple layers of the Indian society, the paradoxes of stereotypical gender roles, the strained relationship are all components of Dattani's plays. Thus, Dattani is successful in creating the post-colonial scenario illustrating the truth about the Indian society.

WORK CITED

WORK CITED

- Amur, G. S., *Kaila Sam's Quest for Greatness in Critical Essay on Indian Writing in English*, Macmillan, 1977. p. 186.
- Agrawal, Beena, 'Gender Issues in *Dance Like a Man*', *Mahesh Dattani's Plays: A New Horizon in Indian Theatre*, Book Enclave, Jaipur, 2008, p. 97.
- Agrawal, Dipti. "The Plays of Mahesh Dattani: A Study in Thematic Diversity and Dramatic Technique." Discovery Publishing House Pvt. Ltd., 2013.
- Ayyar, Raj. "Mahesh Dattani: India's Gay Cinema Comes of Age". *Gay Today*, 2004.
- Beynon, John. "Understanding Masculinities." *Masculinities and Culture*. Open University Press, 2002. P.53-74.
- Bowers, Fabian; 'Introduction', *The Complete Work of Currimbhoy*, Oxford and I.B.H., Publishing House, 1970. p.12.
- Boulton, Marjorie, *The Anatomy of Drama*, Kalyani Publisher, 1985. p.3.
- Chaudhuri, Asha Kothari. *Contemporary Indian Writer in English Mahesh Dattani an Introduction*. Cambridge University Press India Pvt. Ltd., 2005.
- Das Bijay Kumar: *Postmodern Indian English Literature*, Nice Printing Press, 2006.
- Dasgupta, Uma Mahadevan, "The Minute I write a Play, I want to Direct it." 2001 <http://www.maheshdattani.com>
- Dattani, Mahesh. *Collected Plays*. Noida: Anubha Printers, 2000.
- Dattani, Mahesh. *Collected Plays*. Vol 2. Screen, Stage and Radio Plays. Penguin Books. 2005.
- Dattani, Mahesh. *Dance Like a Man: A Stage Play in Two Acts*. Penguin Books, 2006.
- Devanesen, Mithran, 'A Note on the Play of *Dance Like a Man*', Mahesh Dattani, *Collected Plays*, Penguin Books, New Delhi, 2000, p.388.

- Deeba, Farha. *Prioritizing the invisible: A Study of the Select Plays of Mahesh Dattani*. Diss. University of Kashmir, 2013.
- Joshipura, Pranav. *A Critical Study of Mahesh Dattani Plays*. New Delhi: Pinnacle Technology, 2009.
- Ibid, p.1
- Ibid, p.1
- Ibid, p.4
- Mc. Rae, John. “A note on the play”, *Where There’s a Will* in *Collected Plays Mahesh Dattani*, Penguin Books, 2000.p.430.
- Miller, Daniel. *The Comfort of Things*. Polity Press, 2008.
- Myles, Anita. “*Contemporary Indian English Drama: An Overview.*” Sarup Book Publishers Pvt. Ltd., 2010.
- Nair, Anita. ‘An Unveiling of a Playwright in Three Acts’. *The Gentleman*. May 2001.<http://www.anitanair.net>. Web.
- Parmar B.R: *A critical study of Mahesh Dattani’s Stage Plays*. (article)Shodhganga in flibnet. ac. In.
- Prasad, Amar Nath, ed. “*The Dramatic World of Mahesh Dattani: A Critical Exploration.*” Sarup Book Publishers Pvt. Ltd., 2009.
- Raina, Rita. “A note on the play”, *where There’s a Will* in *Collected Plays of Mahesh Dattani*, Penguin Books, 2000.p.451.
- Raj Ayyar, ‘*Mahesh Dattani: India’s Gay Cinema Comes of Age*’. Gay. 2004.
- Raju, B. Yadava. *The Plays of Mahesh Dattani: A Critical Response*. ed. R.K. Dhawan and Tanu Pant. Prestige, 2005.
- Rooks, Pamela (Director). (2004). *Dance like a man* [Motion picture]. India: English.

- Rozario Ignatius. “*Contemporary Social Issues in the Plays Mahesh Dattani*”, the *Plays of Mahesh Dattani: A Critical Response*, eds. Dhawan R. K. et.al. Prestige Books, 2005. p. 108.
- Sharma Laxmi: *Mahesh Dattani’s Where There is a Will*, [www literaryindia.com](http://www.literaryindia.com)
- Sharma Ram: *Social issues in the plays of Mahesh Dattani*. Article posted on net 11/7/2008. www.amazines.com.
- Shepard, Sam. *Buried Child*. Vintage Publications, 1979.