

CHAPTER - VI

INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY SOFT RELATIONS

CHAPTER – VI

INTUTIONISTIC FUZZY SOFT RELATIONS

Definition : 6.1 [9]

Let U be an initial universal set and E be the set of parameters. Let $A, B \subseteq E$ and $(\mathcal{F}, A), (\mathcal{G}, B)$ be two intuitionistic fuzzy soft sets over (U, E) . Then the **cartesian product** of (\mathcal{F}, A) and (\mathcal{G}, B) is denoted by

$(\mathcal{F}, A) \times (\mathcal{G}, B) = (\mathcal{H}, C)$ where $C = A \times B$ and $\mathcal{H}: C \rightarrow IF^U$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{H}(a, b) = (\mathcal{F}, A) \cap (\mathcal{G}, B), (a, b) \in C.$$

Example: 6.2

Suppose that U is the set of medicine under consideration and $U = \{m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4\}$. Let $A = \{\text{fever, chest pain, cough}\}$ and $B = \{\text{fever, cough}\}$. i.e., $A = \{f, p, c\}$ and $B = \{f, c\}$. The intuitionistic fuzzy soft set (\mathcal{F}, A) be defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{F}(f) = \{(m_1, 0.9, 0.05), (m_2, 0.25, 0.6), (m_3, 0.65, 0.2), (m_4, 0.8, 0.1)\}$$

$$\mathcal{F}(p) = \{(m_1, 0.3, 0.6), (m_2, 0.9, 0.1), (m_3, 0.4, 0.6), (m_4, 0.3, 0.65)\}$$

$$\mathcal{F}(c) = \{(m_1, 0.6, 0.2), (m_2, 0.3, 0.6), (m_3, 0.9, 0.05), (m_4, 0.85, 0.1)\}.$$

The intuitionistic fuzzy soft set (\mathcal{G}, B) be defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{G}(f) = \{(m_1, 0.85, 0.1), (m_2, 0.2, 0.7), (m_3, 0.5, 0.4), (m_4, 0.8, 0.1)\}$$

$$\mathcal{G}(c) = \{(m_1, 0.65, 0.3), (m_2, 0.3, 0.65), (m_3, 0.9, 0.1), (m_4, 0.7, 0.2)\}.$$

Let $(\mathcal{F}, A) \times (\mathcal{G}, B) = (\mathcal{H}, C)$, where $C = A \times B$. Consider the t-norm function $*$ and t-conorm function \diamond as $a * b = ab$ and $a \diamond b = a + b - ab$.

Then the elements of (\mathcal{H}, C) will be as follows:

$$\mathcal{H}(f, f) = \{(m_1, 0.765, 0.145), (m_2, 0.05, 0.88), (m_3, 0.325, 0.52), (m_4, 0.64, 0.19)\}$$

$$\mathcal{H}(f, c) = \{(m_1, 0.585, 0.335), (m_2, 0.075, 0.86), (m_3, 0.585, 0.28), (m_4, 0.56, 0.28)\}$$

$$\mathcal{H}(p, f) = \{(m_1, 0.255, 0.64), (m_2, 0.18, 0.73), (m_3, 0.2, 0.76), (m_4, 0.24, 0.685)\}$$

$$\mathcal{H}(p, c) = \{(m_1, 0.195, 0.72), (m_2, 0.27, 0.685), (m_3, 0.36, 0.64), (m_4, 0.21, 0.72)\}$$

$$\mathcal{H}(c, f) = \{(m_1, 0.51, 0.28), (m_2, 0.06, 0.88), (m_3, 0.45, 0.43), (m_4, 0.68, 0.19)\}$$

$$\mathcal{H}(c, c) = \{(m_1, 0.39, 0.44), (m_2, 0.09, 0.86), (m_3, 0.81, 0.145), (m_4, 0.595, 0.28)\}.$$

Definition : 6.3

Let $(\mathcal{F}, A), (\mathcal{G}, B)$ be two intuitionistic fuzzy soft sets over (U, E) . Then an **intuitionistic fuzzy soft relation** from (\mathcal{F}, A) to (\mathcal{G}, B) is an intuitionistic fuzzy soft subset of $(\mathcal{F}, A) \times (\mathcal{G}, B)$.

In other words, an intuitionistic fuzzy soft relation from (\mathcal{F}, A) to (\mathcal{G}, B) is of the form (\mathcal{R}, C) where $C \subseteq A \times B$ and $\mathcal{R}(a, b) \subseteq (\mathcal{F}, A) \times (\mathcal{G}, B), \forall (a, b) \in C$.

Example : 6.4

Consider the intuitionistic fuzzy soft sets (\mathcal{F}, A) and (\mathcal{G}, B) over U defined as follows:

Let U be the set of medicines under consideration and $U = \{m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4\}$. A and B describes the disease of the patients. $A = \{\text{malaria, dengue}\}$ and $B = \{\text{filaria, cough}\}$. i.e., $A = \{m, d\}$ and $B = \{f, c\}$.

Define an intuitionistic fuzzy soft relation $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{C})$ from (\mathcal{F}, A) to (\mathcal{G}, B) as follows:

$(a, b) \in \mathcal{C} \subseteq A \times B$ if and only if a and b are both from mosquito.

Then the intuitionistic fuzzy soft relation $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{C}) = \{\mathcal{F}(m) \times \mathcal{G}(f), \mathcal{F}(d) \times \mathcal{G}(f)\}$.

Example : 6.5

Let $U = \{c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5, c_6, c_7\}$ be the set of candidates attending for an interview of school service and A denotes the academic qualification of candidates. $A = \{B.Sc., M.Com., M.A., M.Sc\}$ i.e., $A = \{b, c, a, s\}$.

Then the intuitionistic fuzzy soft set (\mathcal{F}, A) describes the B.Sc. passed students, M.Com. passed students and so on. A relation $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{C})$ on (\mathcal{F}, A) be defined by

$(a, b) \in \mathcal{C} \subseteq A \times A$ if and only if a and b both are of science.

Then $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{C}) = \{\mathcal{F}(b) \times \mathcal{F}(b), \mathcal{F}(b) \times \mathcal{F}(s), \mathcal{F}(s) \times \mathcal{F}(s), \mathcal{F}(s) \times \mathcal{F}(b)\}$.

Definition : 6.6

Let \mathcal{R} be an intuitionistic fuzzy soft relation from (\mathcal{F}, A) to (\mathcal{G}, B) then \mathcal{R}^{-1} is defined as $\mathcal{R}^{-1}(a, b) = \mathcal{R}(b, a), \forall (a, b) \in \mathcal{C} \subseteq A \times B$

Theorem : 6.7

If \mathcal{R} is an intuitionistic fuzzy soft relation from (\mathcal{F}, A) to (\mathcal{G}, B) then \mathcal{R}^{-1} is a intuitionistic fuzzy soft relation from (\mathcal{G}, B) to (\mathcal{F}, A) .

Proof :

$$\mathcal{R}^{-1}(a, b) = \mathcal{R}(b, a) = \mathcal{G}(b) \cap \mathcal{F}(a), \forall (a, b) \in \mathcal{C} \subseteq A \times B.$$

Hence \mathcal{R}^{-1} is an intuitionistic fuzzy soft relation from (\mathcal{G}, B) to (\mathcal{F}, A) .

Theorem : 6.8

If \mathcal{R}_1 and \mathcal{R}_2 be two intuitionistic fuzzy soft relations from (\mathcal{F}, A) to (\mathcal{G}, B) then

- 1) $(\mathcal{R}_1^{-1})^{-1} = \mathcal{R}_1$ and
- 2) $\mathcal{R}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{R}_2 \Rightarrow \mathcal{R}_1^{-1} \subseteq \mathcal{R}_2^{-1}$

Proof:

$$1) (\mathcal{R}_1^{-1})^{-1}(a, b) = (\mathcal{R}_1^{-1})(b, a).$$

$$\text{Hence } (\mathcal{R}_1^{-1})^{-1} = \mathcal{R}_1.$$

$$2) \mathcal{R}_1(a, b) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_2(a, b) \Rightarrow \mathcal{R}_1^{-1}(b, a) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_2^{-1}(b, a) \Rightarrow \mathcal{R}_1^{-1} \subseteq \mathcal{R}_2^{-1}$$

Definition : 6.9

The **composition** \circ of two intuitionistic fuzzy soft relations \mathcal{R}_1 and \mathcal{R}_2 is defined by $(\mathcal{R}_1 \circ \mathcal{R}_2)(a, c) = \mathcal{R}_1(a, b) \cap \mathcal{R}_2(b, c)$, where \mathcal{R}_1 is a intuitionistic fuzzy soft relation form (\mathcal{F}, A) to (\mathcal{G}, B) and \mathcal{R}_2 is a intuitionistic fuzzy soft relation from (\mathcal{G}, B) to (\mathcal{H}, C) .

Theorem : 6.10

If \mathcal{R}_1 and \mathcal{R}_2 be two intuitionistic fuzzy soft relations from (\mathcal{F}, A) to (\mathcal{G}, B) then $(\mathcal{R}_1 \circ \mathcal{R}_2)^{-1} = \mathcal{R}_2^{-1} \circ \mathcal{R}_1^{-1}$

Proof :

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{R}_1 \circ \mathcal{R}_2)^{-1}(a, c) &= (\mathcal{R}_1 \circ \mathcal{R}_2)(c, a) \\ &= \mathcal{R}_1(c, b) \cap \mathcal{R}_2(b, a) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mathcal{R}_2(b, a) \cap \mathcal{R}_1(c, b) \\
&= \mathcal{R}_2^{-1}(a, b) \cap \mathcal{R}_1^{-1}(b, c) \\
&= (\mathcal{R}_2^{-1} \circ \mathcal{R}_1^{-1})(a, c).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $(\mathcal{R}_1 \circ \mathcal{R}_2)^{-1} = \mathcal{R}_2^{-1} \circ \mathcal{R}_1^{-1}$.

Theorem : 6.11

\mathcal{R}_1 is an intuitionistic fuzzy soft relation from (\mathcal{F}, A) to (\mathcal{G}, B) and \mathcal{R}_2 is a intuitionistic fuzzy soft relation from (\mathcal{G}, B) to (\mathcal{H}, C) both satisfying the conditions $a * a = a$ and $a \diamond a = a$ then $\mathcal{R}_1 \circ \mathcal{R}_2$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy soft relation from (\mathcal{F}, A) to (\mathcal{H}, C) .

Proof :

By definition

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_1(a, b) &= \mathcal{F}(a) \cap \mathcal{G}(b) \\
&= \{(x, \mu_{\mathcal{F}(a)}(x) * \mu_{\mathcal{G}(b)}(x), \nu_{\mathcal{F}(a)}(x) * \nu_{\mathcal{G}(b)}(x)) : x \in U\}, \forall (a, b) \subseteq A \times B.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_2(b, c) &= \mathcal{G}(b) \cap \mathcal{H}(c) \\
&= \{(x, \mu_{\mathcal{G}(b)}(x) * \mu_{\mathcal{H}(c)}(x), \nu_{\mathcal{G}(b)}(x) * \nu_{\mathcal{H}(c)}(x)) : x \in U\}, \forall (b, c) \subseteq B \times C.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{R}_1 \circ \mathcal{R}_2)(a, c) &= \mathcal{R}_1(a, b) \cap \mathcal{R}_2(b, c) \\
&= \{(x, (\mu_{\mathcal{F}(a)}(x) * \mu_{\mathcal{G}(b)}(x)) * (\mu_{\mathcal{G}(b)}(x) * \mu_{\mathcal{H}(c)}(x)), (\nu_{\mathcal{F}(a)}(x) \diamond \nu_{\mathcal{G}(b)}(x)) \diamond (\nu_{\mathcal{G}(b)}(x) \diamond \nu_{\mathcal{H}(c)}(x)) : x \in U\}, \forall (a, b, c) \subseteq A \times B \times C.
\end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mu_{\mathcal{F}(a)}(x) * \mu_{\mathcal{G}(b)}(x)) * (\mu_{\mathcal{G}(b)}(x) * \mu_{\mathcal{H}(c)}(x)) &= \mu_{\mathcal{F}(a)}(x) * \mu_{\mathcal{G}(b)}(x) * \mu_{\mathcal{H}(c)}(x) \\
&\leq \mu_{\mathcal{F}(a)}(x) * 1 * \mu_{\mathcal{H}(c)}(x) \\
&= \mu_{\mathcal{F}(a)}(x) * \mu_{\mathcal{H}(c)}(x)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(v_{\mathcal{F}(a)}(x) \diamond v_{\mathcal{G}(b)}(x)) \diamond (v_{\mathcal{G}(b)}(x) \diamond v_{\mathcal{H}(c)}(x)) &= v_{\mathcal{F}(a)}(x) \diamond v_{\mathcal{G}(b)}(x) \diamond v_{\mathcal{H}(c)}(x) \\
&\geq v_{\mathcal{F}(a)}(x) \diamond 0 \diamond v_{\mathcal{H}(c)}(x) \\
&= v_{\mathcal{F}(a)}(x) \diamond v_{\mathcal{H}(c)}(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathcal{R}_1(a, b) \cap \mathcal{R}_2(b, c) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(a) \cap \mathcal{H}(c)$.

Thus $\mathcal{R}_1 \circ \mathcal{R}_2$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy soft relation from (\mathcal{F}, A) to (\mathcal{H}, C) .

Definition : 6.12

An intuitionistic fuzzy soft relation \mathcal{R} on (\mathcal{F}, A) is said to be **intuitionistic fuzzy soft symmetric relation** if $\mathcal{R}(a, b) = \mathcal{R}(b, a)$, $\forall (a, b) \in A$.

Definition : 6.13

An intuitionistic fuzzy soft relation \mathcal{R} on (\mathcal{F}, A) is said to be **intuitionistic fuzzy soft transitive relation** if $\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$.

Definition : 6.14

An intuitionistic fuzzy soft relation \mathcal{R} on (\mathcal{F}, A) is said to be **intuitionistic fuzzy soft reflexive relation** if $\mathcal{R}(a, b) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(a, a)$ and $\mathcal{R}(b, a) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(a, a)$, $\forall (a, b) \in A$.

Definition : 6.15

An intuitionistic fuzzy soft relation \mathcal{R} on (\mathcal{F}, A) is said to be an **intuitionistic fuzzy soft equivalence relation** if it is symmetric, transitive and reflexive.

Theorem : 6.16

If \mathcal{R} is symmetric if and only if \mathcal{R}^{-1} is so.

Proof:

Let \mathcal{R} is symmetric.

Then $\mathcal{R}^{-1}(a, b) = \mathcal{R}(b, a) = \mathcal{R}(a, b) = \mathcal{R}^{-1}(b, a)$.

So, \mathcal{R}^{-1} is symmetric.

Conversely, let \mathcal{R}^{-1} is symmetric.

Then $\mathcal{R}(a, b) = (\mathcal{R}^{-1})^{-1}(a, b) = \mathcal{R}^{-1}(b, a) = \mathcal{R}^{-1}(a, b) = \mathcal{R}(b, a)$.

So, \mathcal{R} is symmetric.

Theorem : 6.17

\mathcal{R} is symmetric if and only if $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}^{-1}$.

Proof:

Let \mathcal{R} is symmetric.

Then $\mathcal{R}^{-1}(a, b) = \mathcal{R}(b, a) = \mathcal{R}(a, b)$.

So, $\mathcal{R}^{-1} = \mathcal{R}$.

Conversely, let $\mathcal{R}^{-1} = \mathcal{R}$.

Then $\mathcal{R}(a, b) = \mathcal{R}^{-1}(a, b) = \mathcal{R}(b, a)$.

So, \mathcal{R} is symmetric.

Theorem : 6.18

If \mathcal{R}_1 and \mathcal{R}_2 are symmetric relations on (F, A) then $\mathcal{R}_1 \circ \mathcal{R}_2$ is symmetric on (F, A) if and only if $\mathcal{R}_1 \circ \mathcal{R}_2 = \mathcal{R}_2 \circ \mathcal{R}_1$.

Proof:

\mathcal{R}_1 and \mathcal{R}_2 are symmetric implies $\mathcal{R}_1^{-1} = \mathcal{R}_1$ and $\mathcal{R}_2^{-1} = \mathcal{R}_2$.

Now $(\mathcal{R}_1 \circ \mathcal{R}_2)^{-1} = \mathcal{R}_2^{-1} \circ \mathcal{R}_1^{-1}$

So, $\mathcal{R}_1 \circ \mathcal{R}_2$ is symmetric implies $\mathcal{R}_1 \circ \mathcal{R}_2 = (\mathcal{R}_1 \circ \mathcal{R}_2)^{-1} = \mathcal{R}_2^{-1} \circ \mathcal{R}_1^{-1} = \mathcal{R}_2 \circ \mathcal{R}_1$.

Conversely, $(\mathcal{R}_1 \circ \mathcal{R}_2)^{-1} = \mathcal{R}_2^{-1} \circ \mathcal{R}_1^{-1} = \mathcal{R}_2 \circ \mathcal{R}_1 = \mathcal{R}_1 \circ \mathcal{R}_2$.

So, $\mathcal{R}_1 \circ \mathcal{R}_2$ is symmetric.

Corollary : 6.19

If \mathcal{R} is symmetric then \mathcal{R}^n is symmetric for all positive integer n , where \mathcal{R}^n is $\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{R} \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{R}$ (n times).

Theorem : 6.20

If \mathcal{R} is transitive then \mathcal{R}^{-1} is also transitive.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}^{-1}(a, b) &= \mathcal{R}(b, a) \\ &\supseteq (\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{R})(b, a) \\ &= \mathcal{R}(b, c) \cap \mathcal{R}(c, a) \\ &= \mathcal{R}(c, a) \cap \mathcal{R}(b, c) \\ &= \mathcal{R}^{-1}(a, c) \cap \mathcal{R}^{-1}(c, b) \\ &= (\mathcal{R}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{R}^{-1})(a, b).\end{aligned}$$

So, $\mathcal{R}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{R}^{-1} \subseteq \mathcal{R}^{-1}$.

Hence the result.

Theorem : 6.21

If \mathcal{R} is transitive then $\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{R}$ is so.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{R})(a, b) &= \mathcal{R}(a, c) \cap \mathcal{R}(c, b) \\ &\supseteq (\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{R})(a, c) \cap (\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{R})(c, b) \\ &= (\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{R})(a, b).\end{aligned}$$

So, $\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{R}$.

Hence the result.

Theorem : 6.22

If \mathcal{R} is reflexive then \mathcal{R}^{-1} is so.

Proof:

$$\mathcal{R}^{-1}(a, b) = \mathcal{R}(b, a) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(a, a) = \mathcal{R}^{-1}(a, a) \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{R}^{-1}(b, a) = \mathcal{R}(a, b) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(a, a) = \mathcal{R}^{-1}(a, a).$$

Hence the proof.

Theorem : 6.23

If \mathcal{R} is symmetric and transitive then \mathcal{R} is reflexive.

Proof:

$$\mathcal{R}(a, a) \supseteq (\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{R})(a, a), \text{ since } \mathcal{R} \text{ is transitive}$$

$$= \mathcal{R}(a, b) \cap \mathcal{R}(b, a)$$

$$= \mathcal{R}(a, b) \cap \mathcal{R}(a, b), \text{ since } \mathcal{R} \text{ is symmetric}$$

$$= \mathcal{R}(a, b).$$

Similarly we can show that $\mathcal{R}(a, a) \supseteq \mathcal{R}(b, a)$.

Hence the proof.